

**AMENDED FOR NOV 2020**

**CA AMIT JAIN'S**

**CA FINAL IDT  
PART II  
CUSTOM AND  
FTP  
SCANNER**

**Includes ICAI Test Your  
Knowledge**

**40+ Exemptions  
with Highest  
78 marks**



CA Amit Jain is renowned faculty of Narayan Commerce Academy, Pune. He is rank holder CA and 2<sup>nd</sup> Global Rank Holder in Professional Gateway Exam of CIMA, UK. He is also qualified Diploma in IFRS (ACCA, UK), Diploma in Business Finance, Certificate in Derivatives. He is ex Top Management personnel of Multinational and Indian companies with practical experience of GST implementation in Big Corporate. He is ex-member of IMA CFO forum.

- ✓ CA Final IDT Lecture available in 100% English and Mixed Hindi & English
  - ◆ Pendrive @ INR 6500 including colourful book
  - ◆ Google Drive @ INR 5500 including colourful book
- ✓ 100% English Regular Lectures ~ 90 hours with 5 views
- ✓ Mixed Hindi & English Regular Lectures ~ 104 hours with 5 views
- ✓ Telegram/WhatsApp group for Doubt Clearance



<https://www.youtube.com/user/1979amitjain>

20 hours Chapter wise Revision videos covering 100% syllabus & all twisted provisions (very important to cover 100% syllabus from MCQs point of view)

| Sr. No. | Particulars                                        | Page No. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1       | Levy of & Exemptions from Customs Duty             | 1        |
| 2       | Types of Duty                                      | 13       |
| 3       | Classification of Imported & Export Goods          | 18       |
| 4       | Valuation under the Customs Act, 1962              | 20       |
| 5       | Importation, Exportation & Transportation of Goods | 53       |
| 6       | Duty Drawback                                      | 61       |
| 7       | Refund                                             | 68       |
| 8       | Foreign Trade Policy                               | 75       |

In this book, important case laws related questions and answers are included

**All the Best!**

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Chapter 1: - Levy of & Exemptions from Custom Duty

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes "7 Q & A" from Custom main book.  
Do refer "15 examples" from Custom Main Book.

Q1. What are the provisions relating to effective date of notifications issued under section 25 of the Customs Act, 1962?

**Ans.** Date of effect of every notification issued will be the date of its issue by the Central Government for publication in the Official Gazette, unless provided otherwise in the notification.

Issue means signed by competent authority and sent for publication to Government press.

The provision is made as there may be delay of one or two days in publishing in Gazette e.g. if the notification is issued on 2<sup>nd</sup> November and published in Official Gazette on 4<sup>th</sup> November, the notification will be effective from 2<sup>nd</sup> November.

The above rules do not apply to exemptions granted through special orders. Special orders are issued separately for each case and communicated to the beneficiary directly by the Government.

Q2. ASC Ltd. entered in to technical collaboration with MSC Ltd. of Netherlands and imported drawings and designs in paper form through professional courier and post parcels. ASC Ltd. declared the value of these drawings and designs at a very nominal value. However, the Assistant Commissioner of Customs valued these drawings and designs at intrinsic value and levied duty on them. ASC Ltd. contended that customs duty cannot be levied on drawings and designs as they do not fall in the definition of goods under the Customs Act, 1962.

Do you feel the stand taken by the ASC Ltd. is tenable in law? Support your answer with a decided case law, if any.

**Ans.** This issue has been settled by the Supreme Court in the case of Associated Cement Companies Ltd. v. CC 2001 (128) ELT 21 (SC). The Apex Court observed that though technical advice or information technology are intangible assets, but the moment they are put on a media, whether paper or cassettes or diskettes or any other thing, they become movable and are thus, goods. Therefore, the Supreme Court held that drawings, designs, manuals and technical material are goods liable to customs duty.

Therefore, the stand taken by the ASC Ltd. is not correct in law.

Note: At present, drawings fall under heading under 4906 and 4911 and are exempt as per Sr No. 275 of Notification No. 12/2012 Cus dated 17.03.2012.

Q3. An importer imported certain inputs for manufacture of final product. A small portion of the imported inputs were damaged in transit and could not be used in the manufacture of the final product. An exemption notification was in force providing exemption in respect of specified raw materials imported into India for use in manufacture of specified goods, which was applicable to the imports made by the importer in the present case.

Briefly examine whether the importer could claim the benefit of the aforesaid notification in respect of the entire lot of the inputs imported including those that were damaged in transit.

**Ans.** The facts of the case are similar to the case of BPL Display Devices Ltd. v. CCE., Ghaziabad (2004) 174 ELT 5 (SC) wherein the Supreme Court has held that the benefit of the notifications cannot be denied in respect of goods which are intended for use for manufacture of the final product but cannot be so used due to shortage or leakage.

The Apex Court has held that no material distinction can be drawn between loss on account of leakage and loss on account of damage. The benefit of said exemption cannot be denied as inputs were intended for use in the manufacture of final product but could not be so used due to shortage/leakage/damage. It has been clarified by the Supreme Court that words "for use" have to be construed to mean "intended for use".

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Therefore, the importer can claim the benefit of the notification in respect of the entire lot of the inputs imported including those that were damaged in transit.

Q4. M/s. Pure Energy Ltd. is engaged in oil exploration and has imported software containing seismic data. The importer is entitled to exemption from customs duty subject to the condition that an "essentiality certificate" granted by the Director General of Hydrocarbons is produced at the time of importation of the goods. Though the importer applied for the certificate within the statutory time limit prescribed for the same, the certificate was not made available to the importer within a reasonable time by the Director General of Hydrocarbons. The customs department rejected the importer's claim for exemption.

Examine briefly whether the department's action is sustainable in law.

Ans. This issue has been addressed by the Supreme Court in the case of *Commissioner of Customs v. Tullow India Operations Ltd.* (2005) 189 ELT 401 (SC). The Apex Court has observed that if a condition is not within the power and control of the importer and depends upon the acts of public functionaries, non-compliance of such a condition, subject to just exceptions cannot be held to be a condition precedent which would disable it from obtaining the benefit for all times to come.

In the given case also the certificate has not been granted within a reasonable time. Therefore, in view of the above-mentioned judgement, the importer M/s Pure Energy Ltd. cannot be blamed for the lapse by the authorities. The Directorate General of Hydrocarbons is under the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas and such a public functionary is supposed to grant the essentiality certificate within a reasonable time so as to enable the importer to avail of the benefits under the notification.

Q5. Lucrative Laminates imported resin impregnated paper and plywood for the purpose of manufacture of furniture. The said goods were warehoused from the date of its import. Lucrative Laminates sought an extension of the warehousing period which was granted by the authorities. However, even after the expiry of the said date, it did not remove the goods from the warehouse. Subsequently, Lucrative Laminates applied for remission of duty under section 23 of the Customs Act, 1962 on the ground that the said goods had lost their shelf life and had become unfit for use on account of non-availability of orders for clearance.

Explain, with the help of a decided case law, if any, whether the application for remission of duty filed by the Lucrative Laminates is valid in law?

Ans. No, the application for remission of duty filed by the Lucrative Laminates is not valid in law.

The facts of the given case are similar to the case of *CCE v. Decorative Laminates (I) Pvt. Ltd.* 2010 (257) E.L.T. 61 (Kar.). The High Court, while interpreting section 23, stipulated that section 23 states that only when the imported goods have been lost or destroyed at any time before clearance for home consumption, the application for remission of duty can be considered. Further, even before an order for clearance of goods for home consumption is made, relinquishing of title to the goods can be made; in such event also, an importer would not be liable to pay duty.

Therefore, the expression "at any time before clearance for home consumption" would mean the time period as per the initial order during which the goods are warehoused or before the expiry of the extended date for clearance and not any period after the lapse of the aforesaid periods. The said expression cannot extend to a period after the lapse of the extended period merely because the licence holder has not cleared the goods within the stipulated time.

Moreover, since in the given case, the goods continued to be in the warehouse, even after the expiry of the warehousing period, it would be a case of goods improperly removed from the warehouse as per section 72(1)(b) read with section 71.

The High Court, overruling the decision of the Tribunal, held that the circumstances made out under section 23 were not applicable to the present case since the destruction of the goods or loss of the goods had not occurred before the clearance for home consumption within the meaning of that section. When the goods are not cleared within the period or extended period as given by the authorities, their continuance in the warehouse will not attract section 23 of the Act.



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Further, in *Kesoram Rayon v. CC* 1996 (86) ELT 464, the Supreme Court has held that goods which are not removed from warehouse within the permissible period, are deemed to be improperly removed on the day they ought to have been removed. In view of this decision, goods would be deemed to have been removed when licensing period was over. Hence, section 23 would not be applicable as such loss occurred after 'deemed removal' of goods.

Q6. Explain, with reference to decided case law, whether clearances from Domestic Tariff Area (DTA) to Special Economic Zone is chargeable to export duty under the SEZ Act, 2005 or the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. In the case of *Tirupati Udyog Ltd. v. UOI* 2011 (272) E.L.T. 209 (A.P.), it is held that the clearances of goods from DTA to Special Economic Zone are not chargeable to export duty either under the SEZ Act, 2005 or under the Customs Act, 1962 on the basis of the following observations:-

- The charging section needs to be construed strictly. If a person is not expressly brought within the scope of the charging section, he cannot be taxed at all.
- SEZ Act does not contain any provision for levy and collection of export duty on goods supplied by a DTA unit to a Unit in a Special Economic Zone for its authorised operations. Since there is no charging provision in the SEZ Act providing for the levy of customs duty on such goods, export duty cannot be levied on the DTA supplier.
- Reading section 12(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 along with sections 2(18), 2(23) and 2(27) makes it apparent that customs duty can be levied only on goods imported into or exported beyond the territorial waters of India.

Since both the SEZ unit and the DTA unit are located within the territorial waters of India, supplies from DTA to SEZ would not attract section 12(1) [charging section for customs duty].

The above view has also been confirmed in *Essar Steel v. UOI* 2010 (249) ELT 3 (Guj.) wherein the Departmental appeal has been dismissed by Supreme Court on 12.07.2010 - 2010 (255) ELT A115.

Q7. M/s. XYZ, a 100% export oriented undertaking (100% E.O.U. in short) imported DG sets and furnace oil duty free for setting up captive power plant for its power requirements for export production. This benefit was available vide an exemptions notification. They used the power so generated for export production but sold surplus power in domestic tariff area.

Customs Department has demanded duty on DG sets and furnace oil as surplus power has been sold in domestic tariff area. The notification does not specifically restrict the use of imported goods for manufacture of export goods.

Do you think the demand of the Customs Department is valid in law.

Ans. The facts of the case are similar to the case of *Commissioner v. Hanil Era Textile Ltd.* 2005 (180) ELT A044 (SC) wherein the Supreme Court agreed to the view taken by the Tribunal that in the absence of a restrictive clause in the notifications that imported goods are to be solely or exclusively used for manufacture of goods for export, there is no violation of any condition of notification, if surplus power generated due to unforeseen exigencies is sold in domestic tariff area. Therefore, no duty can be demanded from M/s XYZ for selling the surplus power in domestic tariff area for the following reasons:

- (i) They have used the DG sets and furnace oil imported duty free for generation of power, and
- (ii) such power generated has been used for manufacturing goods for export, and
- (iii) only the surplus power has been sold, as power cannot be stored.

Q8. Referring to section 25 of the Customs Act, 1962, discuss the following:

- (i) Special exemption
- (ii) General exemption

Ans.

- (i) Special Exemption: As per section 25(2) of the Customs Act, 1962, if the Central Government is satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, it may, by

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

special order in each case, exempt from payment of duty, any goods on which duty is leviable only under circumstances of an exceptional nature to be stated in such order. Further, no duty shall be collected if the amount of duty leviable is equal to, or less than, Rs. 100. This type of exemption is called as ad hoc exemption. Order under section 25(2) is not required to be published in the Official Gazette.

- (ii) General Exemption: As per section 25(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, if the Central Government is satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, it may, by notification in the Official Gazette, exempt generally either absolutely or subject to such conditions (to be fulfilled before or after clearance) as may be specified in the notification, goods of any specified description from the whole or any part of duty of customs leviable thereon. Further, this exemption applies to all importers while exemption under section 25(2) is for specific importer and specific goods under import.

Q9. Write a brief note on the following with reference to the Customs Act, 1962:

- (i) Remission of duty on imported goods lost
- (ii) Pilfered goods

Ans.

- (i) Remission of duty on imported goods lost: Section 23(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides for remission of duty on imported goods lost (otherwise than as a result of pilferage) or destroyed, if such loss or destruction is at any time before clearance for home consumption. Such loss or destruction covers loss by leakage. Duty is payable under this section but it is remitted by Assistant/Deputy Commissioner of Customs if the importer is able to prove the loss or destruction. Thus, unless remitted, duty has to be paid and burden of proof is on the importer. The provisions of this section are applicable for warehoused goods also.
- (ii) Pilfered goods: Section 13 provides that if imported goods are pilfered after unloading thereof but before the proper officer has made an order for clearance for home consumption or deposit in a warehouse, no duty is payable on the goods, unless the pilfered goods are restored to importer. In such a case, duty on pilfered goods is payable by the Port authorities. Also, the importer does not have to prove pilferage. However, the loss must be only due to pilferage. Section 13 is not applicable for warehoused goods.

Q10. Distinguish between Pilfered goods and Lost/destroyed goods .

Ans.

| Sr. No. | Pilfered Goods                                                                                       | Lost/destroyed Goods                                                |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Covered by section 13                                                                                | Covered by section 23(1)                                            |
| 2       | No duty payable on such goods                                                                        | Duty paid on such goods to be remitted                              |
| 3       | Department gets compensation from the custodian [Section 45(3)]                                      | No such compensation                                                |
| 4       | Petty theft by human being                                                                           | Loss/Destruction by fire, flood etc (Act of God)                    |
| 5       | Restoration possible                                                                                 | Restoration is not possible                                         |
| 6       | Occurrence is after unloading and before Customs clearance order for home consumption or warehousing | Occurrence may be at any time before clearance for home consumption |
| 7       | Occurrence in warehouse not recognized                                                               | Occurrence in warehouse is recognized                               |
| 8       | Duty need not be calculated                                                                          | Duty should be calculated for determining the remission amount      |
| 9       | No need to prove pilferage. It is quite obvious                                                      | Should be proved and remission sought for                           |

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

Q11. Goods manufactured or produced in India, which were earlier exported and thereafter imported into India will be treated at par with other goods imported into India. Is the proposition correct or any concession is provided on such import? Discuss briefly.

Ans. The given proposition is correct i.e., goods produced in India, which were earlier exported and thereafter imported into India will be treated at par with other goods imported into India [Section 20 of the Customs Act, 1962]. However, the following concessions are being provided in this regard:

- (i) Maximum import duty will be restricted to duty drawback or rebate availed or central excise duty not paid at the time of export.
- (ii) Where the goods were originally exported for repairs, the duty on reimportation is restricted to the fair cost of repairs including cost of materials used in repairs whether such costs are actually incurred or not, insurance and freight charges, both ways done abroad.

The above two concessions are given subject to the condition that:

- (a) the re-importation is done within 3 years or 5 years if time is extended.
- (b) the exported goods and re-imported goods must be the same.

In case of point (ii) above, the ownership of the goods should also not have changed.

However, these concessions would not be applicable if-

- re-imported goods had been exported by EOU
- re-imported goods had been exported from a public/private warehouse
- the process of repairs to which the re-imported goods had been subjected to abroad amounts to manufacture

[Notification No. 45/2017 Cus dated 30.06.2017]

- (iii) When exported goods come back for repairs and re-export, the reimported goods other than the specified goods can avail exemption from paying of import duty subject to the following conditions:

- (i) the re-importation is for repairs only
- (ii) the time limit is 3 years. In case of Nepal, such time-limit is 10 years.
- (iii) the goods must be re-exported after repairs
- (iv) the time limit for export is 6 months (extendable to one year).

[Notification No. 158/95 Cus. dated 14.11.1995 as amended vide

Notification No. 60/2018 Cus dated 11.09.2018]

Please refer table given in Page no. 17 in main custom book

Q12. Write a brief note on stages of imposition of taxes and duties.

Ans. Three stages of imposition of taxes and duties All taxes and duties are imposed in three stages, which are levy, assessment and collection: -

- a. **Levy** is the stage where the declaration of liability is made and the persons or the properties in respect of which the tax or duty is to be levied is identified and charged.
- b. **Assessment** is the procedure of quantifying the amount of liability. The liability to tax or duty does not depend upon assessment.
- c. The final stage is where the tax or duty is actually collected. The **collection** of tax or duty may for administrative or other reasons be postponed to a later time as done in the case of excise duty, wherein the liability towards duty arises upon manufacture of excisable goods, the duty is collected only upon removal of goods from the factory.

Q13. Discuss the provisions relating to denaturing or mutilation of goods.

Ans. Section 24 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that an importer can request Central Government to make rules for permitting to denature/mutilate the imported goods, which are ordinarily used for more than one purpose, so as to render them unfit for one or more of such purpose.

If any imported goods can be used for more than one purpose and duty is leviable on the basis of its purpose of utilisation, than denaturing or mutilation of such goods is useful. By denaturing, goods

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

# Join India's largest IDT doubt solving telegram group

<https://telegram.me/cafinalidtqueryamitjain>

are made unfit for other purposes. After denaturing process, goods can be used only for one purpose and accordingly duty can be levied.

Example: you may import pure ethyl alcohol attracting 150% of BCD and you may denature it by adding copper sulphate. Then after denaturing it is classified as denatured ethyl alcohol which attracts only 5% BCD.

Q14. Briefly explain the provisions relating to abatement of duty on damaged or deteriorated goods under section 22 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. According to Section 22, abatement is available if the goods are damaged/deteriorated under any of the following circumstances:

|                                                                                              |                                                                                                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| before or during unloading                                                                   |                                                                                                                   |
| by accident after unloading but before examination for assessment by the customs authorities | Provided such accident is not due to any wilful act, negligence or default of the importer, his employee or agent |
| by accident in warehouse before their actual clearance from such warehouse                   |                                                                                                                   |

The term 'damage' denotes physical damage to the goods. This implies that the goods are not fit to be used for the purpose for which they are meant.

Deterioration is reduction in quality of goods due to natural causes.

Duty leviable on such damaged or deteriorated goods =

$$\frac{\text{Duty chargeable on the goods before the damage or deterioration}}{\text{Value of the goods before damage or deterioration}} \times \text{Value of the damaged or deteriorated goods}$$

The value shall be:-

(a) Value ascertained by the proper officer

or

(b) The proper officer may sell such goods by public auction/tender or if the importer agrees, in any other manner and the gross sale proceeds shall be deemed to be the value of such goods.

Example: If the value of goods is Rs. 10,000 and after damage the value is Rs. 2,000 then duty payable on Rs. 10,000 should be appropriately reduced to 20% (proportion of 2000 to 10000).

Q15. Explain in detail, the significance of Indian customs waters under the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. Indian customs waters cover both the Indian territorial waters and exclusive economic zone as well. Indian territorial waters extend up to 12 nautical miles (nm) from the base line Whereas, exclusive economic zone of India is an area beyond the Indian territorial waters. The limit of exclusive economic zone is 200 nautical miles from the nearest point of the baseline. Therefore, Indian customs waters extend to a total of 200 nm from base line.

Territorial water important to determine export/import

## Significance of Indian customs waters

- If an officer of Customs has reason to believe that any person in India or within the Indian customs waters has committed an offence punishable under section 132/133/135/135A/136, he may arrest such person informing him of the grounds for such arrest [Section 104 of the Customs Act, 1962].
- Where the proper officer has reason to believe that any vessel in India or within the Indian customs waters has been, is being, or is about to be, used in the smuggling of any goods or in the carriage of any smuggled goods, he may stop any such vehicle, animal or vessel or, in case of an aircraft, compel it to land [Section 106 of the Customs Act, 1962].

All these sections mentioned in answer are out of syllabus



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

- (iii) Any vessel which is or has been within the Indian customs waters is constructed, adapted, altered or fitted in any manner for the purpose of concealing goods shall be liable to confiscation [Section 115(1)(a) of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (iv) Customs officer has the power to search any person who has landed from/about to board/is on board any vessel within Indian customs waters and who has secreted about his person, any goods liable to confiscation or any documents relating thereto [Section 100 of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (v) Any goods which are brought within the Indian customs waters for the purpose of being imported from a place outside India, contrary to any prohibition imposed by or under this Act or any other law for the time being in force, shall be liable to confiscation [Section 111(d) of the Customs Act, 1962].

Q16. Briefly explain the following with reference to the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962:

(i) Indian customs waters

(ii) India

Ans.

- (i) Please refer Q15 for provision related to Indian Customs Water
- (ii) India: "India" includes the territorial waters of India.  
Territorial waters of India extend to 12 nautical miles into sea from the appropriate base line. Goods are deemed to have been imported if the vessel enters the imaginary line on the sea at the 12th nautical mile i.e. if the vessel enters the territorial waters of India. Therefore, a vessel not bound to India should not enter these waters. India includes not only the surface of sea in the territorial waters, but also the air space above and the ground at the bottom of the sea.

Q17. Distinguish between Indian territorial waters and Indian custom waters.

Ans. Territorial waters of India extend to 12 nautical miles into sea from the appropriate base line. Goods are deemed to have been imported if the vessel enters the imaginary line on the sea at the 12th nautical mile i.e. if the vessel enters the territorial waters of India.  
Indian customs waters cover both the Indian territorial waters and exclusive economic zone as well. Indian territorial waters extend up to 12 nautical miles (nm) from the base line Whereas, exclusive economic zone of India is an area beyond the Indian territorial waters. The limit of exclusive economic zone is 200 nautical miles from the nearest point of the baseline. Therefore, Indian customs waters extend to a total of 200 nm from base line.

Q18. Write a brief note on the constitutional provisions governing the levy of customs duties.

Ans. All the enactments enacted by the Parliament should have its source in the Constitution of India. The power for enacting the laws is conferred on the Parliament and on the legislature of a State by Article 245 of the Constitution. The said Article states:  
Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, Parliament may make laws for the whole or any part of the territory of India, and the legislature of a State may make laws for the whole or any part of the state. No law made by the Parliament shall be deemed to be invalid on the ground that it would have extra-territorial operation.  
Article 246 governs the subject matter of the laws made by the Parliament and by the legislature of states. The matters are listed in the seventh schedule to the Constitution.

The seventh schedule is classified into three lists as follows:

List I [referred as Union List]

This list enumerates the matters in respect of which the Parliament has an exclusive right to make laws. Entry 83 of Union List has given the power to Central Government to levy duties of Customs including export duties.

List II [referred as State List]

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

This list enumerates the matters in respect of which the legislature of any state has an exclusive right to make laws.

List III [referred as the concurrent list]

This list enumerates the matters in respect of which both the Parliament and, subject to List I, legislature of any state, have powers to make laws.

Parliament has a further power to make any law for any part of India not comprised in a state, notwithstanding that such matter is included in the state list.

Q19. 'Queen Marry', was a vessel containing the goods imported by XML Ltd. The events relating to its entry into India and the discharge and onward movement and storage of the goods were as follows.

24.05.20XX Vessel entered the India territorial waters.

25.05.20XX Import manifest was delivered to the customs authorities

27.05.20XX XML Ltd filed bill of entry for the goods

29.05.20XX Entry inwards granted to the vessel

The rate of customs duty on the goods was increased from 8% to 10% on 28.05.20XX. At what rate should XML Ltd. pay the customs duty on the goods imported by it?

Ans. Rate of duty will be 10%, because the bill of entry is deemed to have been filed on the date of entry inward though it was actually filed before the rate of duty increased.

Q20. Write a brief note on self-assessment in customs under the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. The provisions relating to self-assessment of duty, contained in the section 17 of the Customs Act, 1962, are as follows (for detail refer :

- The importer or the exporter has to self-assess the duty leviable on goods imported or exported.
- The proper officer may verify the entries made under section 46 or section 50 and the self-assessment of goods and for this purpose, examine or test any imported goods or export goods or such part thereof as may be necessary. Further, the selection of cases for verification shall primarily be on the basis of risk evaluation through appropriate selection criteria.
- After verification, if it is found that the self-assessment has not been done correctly, the proper officer may re-assess the duty leviable on such goods.
- Where any re-assessment done is contrary to the self-assessment done by the importer or exporter and in cases other than those where the importer or exporter, as the case may be, confirms his acceptance of the said re-assessment in writing, the proper officer shall pass a speaking order on the re-assessment, within fifteen days from the date of re-assessment of the bill of entry or the shipping bill, as the case may be.

Q21. State briefly the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962 relating to payment of interest in case of provisional assessment.

Ans. The provisions of the Customs Act, 1962 relating to payment of interest in case of provisional assessment are as under:

**(I) Interest payable by the importer/exporter on amount payable to the Central Government, consequent to the final assessment/reassessment:**

- The importer or exporter shall be liable to pay interest, on any amount payable to the Central Government, consequent to the final assessment order/re-assessment order under section 18(2).
- The interest shall be payable at the rate prescribed under section 28AA of the Customs Act, 1962. Presently, the rate of interest has been fixed @ 15% p.a.

It is different from GST (18%)

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) May '18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

**CA Amit Jain (CA, CIMA (UK), DFRS (ACCA, UK), DBF, Cer. in Der.)**

**Ex-Top Management (CFO/FC) of Multi National & Indian Companies**

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

- (iii) The interest shall be payable from the first day of the month in which the duty is provisionally assessed till the date of payment thereof.

**(II) Interest payable by the Central Government to the importer/exporter on amount refundable to the importer/exporter on final assessment of duty/re-assessment of duty:**

- (i) Subject to the provisions of unjust enrichment, if any refundable amount is not refunded to the importer/exporter on final assessment of duty or re-assessment of duty, within three months from the date of final assessment of duty or reassessment of duty.
- (ii) The interest shall be payable at the rate prescribed under section 27A of the Customs Act, 1962. Presently, the rate of interest has been fixed @ 6% p.a.
- (iii) The interest shall be payable from the first day immediately succeeding the period of three months from the date of assessment of duty finally or re-assessment of duty till the date of refund of such amount.

In GST, first day after the due date of payment

Q22. ONGC oil rig and a foreign oil rig are drilling oil beyond 12 nautical miles in the sea in the Exclusive Economic Zone of India. Which of the two is a foreign going vessel? Explain.

Ans. Foreign going vessel or aircraft is one that carries passengers and (or) goods between ports/airports in India and out of India. It does not matter if it touches any intermediate port/airport in India. The following are also included in the definition:

- (a) A foreign naval vessel doing naval exercises in Indian waters.
- (b) A vessel engaged in fishing or any other operation (like oil drilling by O.N.G.C. oil rig) outside territorial waters.
- (c) A vessel or aircraft going to a place outside India for any purpose whatsoever [section 2(21)].

However, it is to be noted that Customs Act, 1962 has been extended to the Continental Shelf and Exclusive Economic Zone of India for the purposes of extraction or production of mineral oils and supply of any goods as defined in section 2(22) of the Customs Act in connection with such activities vide Notification No. SO 189(E) dated 07.02.2002.

Further, In *Aban Loyd Chiles v. UOI* (2008) 227 ELT 24 (SC), it was held that oil rigs located beyond territorial waters of country but within exclusive economic zone are deemed to be in Indian territory and not a foreign going vessel as in that zone/area country's fiscal laws are applicable. Hence, both the ONGC oil rig and the foreign oil rig will not be 'foreign going vessel'.

Q23. What are the circumstances under which assessment is done provisionally under section 18?

Ans. Provisional assessment can be resorted to in the following circumstances:

- (a) where the importer or exporter is unable to make self-assessment under sub-section (1) of section 17 and makes a request in writing to the proper officer for assessment; or
- (b) where the proper officer deems it necessary to subject any imported goods or export goods to any chemical or other test; or
- (c) where the importer or exporter has produced all the necessary documents and furnished full information, but the proper officer deems it necessary to make further enquiry; or
- (d) where necessary documents have not been produced or information has not been furnished and the proper officer deems it necessary to make further enquiry.

### Other Questions

Q24. Peerless Scraps, imported during August 20XX, by sea, a consignment of metal scrap weighing 6,000 M.T. (metric tonnes) from U.S.A. They filed a bill of entry for home consumption. The Assistant Commissioner passed an order for clearance of goods and applicable duty was paid by them. Peerless Scraps thereafter found, on taking delivery from the Port Trust Authorities (i.e., before the clearance for home consumption), that only 5,500 M.T. of scrap were available at the docks although they had paid duty for the entire 6,000 M.T., since there was no short-landing of cargo. The short-delivery of 500 M.T. was also substantiated by the Port-Trust Authorities, who gave a "weightment certificate" to Peerless Scraps. On filing a representation to the Customs Department, Peerless Scraps has been directed in writing to justify as to which provision of the Customs Act, 1962 governs their claim for remission of duty on the 500 M.T. not delivered by the Port-Trust. You are approached by Peerless Scraps as "Counsel" for an opinion/advice. Examine the issues and tender your opinion as per law, giving reasons. (ICAI Example)

Ans. As per provisions of section 23, where it is shown to the satisfaction of Assistant or Deputy Commissioner that any imported goods have been lost or destroyed, otherwise than as a result of pilferage at any time before clearance for home consumption, the Assistant or Deputy Commissioner shall remit the duty on such goods. Therefore, duty shall be remitted only if loss has occurred before clearance for home consumption.

In the given case, it is apparent from the facts that quantity of scrap received in India was 6000 metric tonnes and 500 metric tonnes thereof was lost when it was in custody of Port Authorities i.e. before clearance for home consumption was made. Also, the loss of 500 MT of scrap cannot be construed to be pilferage, as loss of such huge quantity cannot be treated as "Petty Theft". Hence, Peerless Scraps may take shelter under section 23 justifying his claim for remission of duty.

Q25. Mr. Krishna Bhansali, has imported some garments from Paris. He is unable to make self-assessment under section 17(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 and hence has made a request in writing to the proper officer for provisional assessment. Can he apply for provisional assessment? Discuss.

Ans Yes, Mr. Krishna Bhansali can apply for provisional assessment under section 18 of the Customs Act, 1962. Section 18(1) provides that provisional assessment can be resorted to, inter alia, where the importer or exporter is unable to make self-assessment under sub-section (1) of section 17 and makes a request in writing to the proper officer for assessment. Please note that 'unable' is not about willingness but deficiency of information to make an accurate determination of the liability. Information relevant for assessment could be technical test or analysis report to determine classification which is required for the rate of duty. It could also be a comparative valuation exercise to determine correctness of the declared value.

In such a circumstance, the proper officer may order for provisional assessment of duty if the importer/exporter, furnishes such security as the proper officer deems fit for the payment of the deficiency, if any, between the final re-assessed duty and the provisionally assessed duty. After the finalization of assessment, if the importer/exporter is entitled to refund of duty, such refund shall be subject to doctrine of unjust enrichment.

Q26. Moris Lal has imported goods from Germany and is finally re-assessed u/s 18(2) of the Customs Act, 1962 for two such consignments. Particulars are as follows:

|                                       |                               |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of provisional assessment        | 12th December, 2017           |
| Date of final re-assessment           | 2nd February, 2018            |
| Duty demand for 1st consignment       | Rs. 1,80,000                  |
| Refund for the 2nd consignment        | Rs. 4,20,000                  |
| Date of refund made by the department | 28 <sup>th</sup> April 2018   |
| Date of payment of duty demanded      | 5 <sup>th</sup> February 2018 |

Determine the interest payable and receivable, if any, by Moris Lal on the final reassessment of the two consignments, with suitable notes thereon. (May 2018 Old)

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Ans. As per section 18(3) of the Customs Act, 1962, an importer is liable to pay interest at the rate of 15% p.a. (Notification No. 33/2016-Cus. (NT) dated 01.03.2016), on any amount payable consequent to the re-assessment order from the first day of the month in which the duty is provisionally assessed till the date of payment.

Therefore, in the given case, Moris Lal is liable to pay following interest in respect of 1st consignment:

$$= \text{Rs. } 1,80,000 \times 15\% \times 67/365$$

$$= \text{Rs. } 4,956 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

If any amount refundable consequent to the re-assessment order is not refunded within 3 months from date of re-assessment of duty, interest is payable to importer on unrefunded amount at the specified rate till the date of refund of such amount in terms of section 18(4) of the Customs Act, 1962.

Since in the given case, refund has been made (28.04.2018) within 3 months from the date of re-assessment of duty (02.02.2018), interest is not payable to Moris Lal on duty refunded in respect of 2nd consignment.

Q27. Ramdev manufactured as specified in Annexure and exported goods worth Rs. 10,00,000 to Vishwanath of Australia on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2018 and availed duty drawback of Rs. 15,000. Ramdev imported the same goods on 8<sup>th</sup> February 2018. What will be the customs duty payable by Ramdev, if rate of BCD is 10% and goods are exempt from IGST and GST Cess?

Ans. Since exported goods have been re-imported within 3 years from date of export, hence, as per exemption issued by the Central Government, the duty payable = Export Incentive viz. Duty Drawback claimed at the time of export. Therefore, duty payable = Rs. 15,000. It is assumed that the goods are re-imported without any re-manufacturing or re-processing {Section 20 of CGST Act, 1962}.

Q28. ABC Ltd. has exported some goods by air. The FOB price of goods exported is US \$ 50,000. The shipping bill was presented electronically on 10-3-2019 and Let Export Order is passed by proper officer on 19-4-2019. The rate of exchange notified by CBIC on 10-3-2019 and 19-4-2019 are US \$ = Rs. 65 and US \$ = Rs. 63 respectively. Compute the export duty payable by ABC Ltd. with the help of following details provided

| Particulars                   | Date       | Rate of Duty |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Presentation of Shipping Bill | 10-03-2019 | 12%          |
| Let Export Order              | 19-04-2019 | 10%          |

Ans. Computation of export duty is as under :-

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                | Amount         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| FOB Price of export goods                                                                                                                                                                                  | US \$ 50,000   |
| Rate of exchange<br>{as per Section 14 (1), rate of exchange as in force on the date on which a shipping bill or bill of export is presented U/s 50}                                                       | US \$ = Rs. 65 |
| Assessable Value in INR = US \$ 50,000* Rs. 65                                                                                                                                                             | Rs. 32,50,000  |
| Export Duty Rate {As per Section 16, in the case of goods entered for export U/s 50, the date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of goods for exportation U/s 51} | 10%            |
| Export Duty Payable (No SWS is leviable on export duty)                                                                                                                                                    | Rs. 3,25,000   |

Q29. In January, 2018, Rock & Rock India Ltd. imported a consignment from U.S.A (by sea). The value of consignment was Rs. 7,50,000 and total duty payable was Rs. 1,50,000.

Company filed bill of entry for home consumption but before inspection and clearance for home consumption it found that the goods were damaged.

On filing a representation to the Customs Department, proper officer refused the claim for abatement because goods were already unloaded. The proper officer is in agreement with the claim that the value of goods has come down to only Rs. 1,50,000.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



Examine the issue with reference to the relevant statutory provisions and calculate the amount of total duty payable:

Would your answer be different in the above case if the goods get deteriorated after unloading and examination but before clearance for home consumption, and value comes down to Rs. 7,00,000.

(CA Final – New Syllabus Nov 2018)

Ans. The abatement of duty is allowed where it is shown to the satisfaction of the Assistant/Deputy Commissioner of Customs that, inter alia, any imported goods, other than warehoused goods, had been damaged at any time after the unloading thereof in India but before their examination, on account of any accident not due to any wilful act, negligence or default of the importer.

Thus, in view of the above mentioned provisions, the stand taken by the proper officer of refusing the claim for abatement is not valid in law.

The duty to be charged on the damaged goods shall be reduced in proportion to the reduction in the value of goods on account of damage.

Thus, in the given case, the amount of total duty payable

= [Rs. 1,50,000/Rs. 7,50,000] x Rs. 1,50,000

= Rs. 30,000

The abatement of duty is allowed where it is shown to the satisfaction of the Assistant/Deputy Commissioner of Customs that, inter alia, warehoused goods had been damaged at any time before clearance for home consumption on account of any accident not due to any wilful act, negligence or default of the owner, his employee or agent.

Since in this case, imported goods have deteriorated before clearance for home consumption, abatement of duty will not be allowed and full duty will have to be paid.

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Chapter 2: - Types of Duty

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes  
"6 Q & A" from  
Custom main book.  
Do refer examples  
"5 examples" in  
Custom main book .

Q1. When shall the safeguard duty under section 8B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 be not imposed? Discuss briefly.

Ans. The safeguard duty under section 8B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is not imposed on the import of the following types of articles:

- (i) Articles originating from a developing country, so long as the share of imports of that article from that country does not exceed 3% of the total imports of that article into India;
- (ii) Articles originating from more than one developing country, so long as the aggregate of imports from developing countries each with less than 3% import share taken together does not exceed 9% of the total imports of that article into India;
- (iii) Articles imported by a 100% EOU or units in a Special Economic Zone unless the duty is specifically made applicable on them or the article imported is either cleared as such into DTA or used in the manufacture of any goods that are cleared into DTA. In such cases, safeguard duty shall be levied on that portion of the article so cleared or so used as was leviable when it was imported into India.

Export will  
become  
competitive

Q2. What are the conditions required to be fulfilled by the importer to make the imported goods eligible for preferential rate of duty prescribed by the Central Government by notification under section 25 of the Customs Act, 1962?

Ans. The Government may by notification under section 25 of the Customs Act, 1962 prescribe preferential rate of duty in respect of imports from certain preferential areas. The importer will have to fulfill the following conditions to make the imported goods eligible for preferential rate of duty:

- (i) At the time of importation, he should make a specific claim for the preferential rate.
- (ii) He should also claim that the goods are produced or manufactured in such preferential area.
- (iii) The area should be notified under section 4(3) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 to be a preferential area.
- (iv) The origin of the goods shall be determined in accordance with the rules made under section 4(2) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

Determination of Origin' is important to allow concessional rate of customs duty. Generally, as per the rules (a) if the goods are un-manufactured, it should be grown or produced in that area (b) If it is fully manufactured in that country, it should be manufactured from material produced or with un-manufactured materials from that country. (c) if it is partially manufactured in that country, final process should be completed in that country and at least specified percentage of expenditure on material or labour should be in that country.

Q3. Write a note on "Emergency power to impose or enhance import duties under section 8A of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975".

Ans. Section 8A of Customs Tariff Act, 1975 provides that where the Central Government is satisfied that the basic customs duty leviable on any article should be increased and that circumstances exist which render it necessary to take immediate action, it may, by notification amend the First Schedule of the Customs Tariff to increase the import duty leviable on such article to such extent as it thinks necessary.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Q4. Determine the customs duty payable under the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 including the safeguard duty of 30% under section 8B of the said Act with the following details available on hand:

|                                                                                                                                                                         |               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Assessable value (including landing charges) of Sodium Nitrite imported from a developing country from 26th February, 2017 to 25th February, 2018 (both days inclusive) | Rs. 30,00,000 |
| Share of imports of Sodium Nitrite from the developing country against total imports of Sodium Nitrite to India                                                         | 4%            |
| Basic custom duty                                                                                                                                                       | 10%           |
| Integrated tax under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975                                                                                                       | 12%           |
| Social welfare surcharge                                                                                                                                                | 10%           |

Ans.

### Computation of customs duty and integrated tax payable thereon

| Particular                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Amount in INR |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Assessable value of sodium nitrite imported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 30,00,000     |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 10% (Rs. 30,00,000 × 10%)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 3,00,000      |
| Safeguard duty @ 30% on Rs. 30,00,000 [Safeguard duty is imposable in the given case since share of imports of sodium nitrite from the developing country is more than 3% of the total imports of sodium nitrite into India (Proviso to section 8B(1) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975)] | 9,00,000      |
| Social welfare surcharge @ 10% x Rs. 3,00,000                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 30,000        |
| Total                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 42,30,000     |
| Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of Customs Tariff Act (Rs. 42,30,000 × 12%) [Note]                                                                                                                                                                                           | 5,07,600      |
| Total customs duty payable (Rs. 3,00,000 + Rs. 9,00,000+ Rs. 30,000+ Rs. 5,07,600)                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 17,37,600     |

✦ Note: It has been clarified by DGFT vide Guidance note that value for calculation of integrated tax shall also include safeguard duty amount.

Q5. Marwar Industries imported finishing agents, dye - carriers, printing paste etc. to be used for manufacture of textile articles. The importer claimed exemption for additional duty of customs (CVD) leviable under section 3 of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, on the ground that there was an exemption for excise duty in respect of said goods used in the 'same factory' for manufacture of textile articles. The Department contended that CVD is payable on the ground that the goods which were to be used must also be manufactured in the 'same factory'. You are requested to comment upon the contention of Department, with reference to a decided case law, if any.

Ans. The contention of the Department is not valid in law. The Supreme Court in a similar case of CCus. v. Malwa Industries Ltd. (2009) 235 ELT 214 (SC) held that literal meaning should be avoided if it leads to absurdity. When the goods are imported, obviously, the same would not be manufactured in the same factory and therefore, it would become impossible to apply the provision of section 3(1) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975. It was observed that the object of countervailing duty (CVD) is that importer should not be placed at some more advantageous position vis-a-vis purchaser/manufacturer of similar goods in India. Considering the purpose of exemption, it was held that same factory means imported goods should be used in factory belonging to importer where manufacturing activity takes place. Hence, the exemption will be available to imported goods also and CVD would not be applicable.

## Other Questions

Q6. Determine the total duties (duty, tax and cess) payable under Customs Act if Mr. Rao imported Rubber from Malaysia at landed price of Rs. 25 lakhs. It has been notified by Central Government that share of imports of Rubber from the developing country against total imports to India exceeds 5% and safeguard duty is notified to this product @ 30% and rate of integrated tax U/s 3 (7) is 12%, and rate of Basic customs duty was 10%.  
(May 2019- New Syllabus)

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

Ans. Computation of customs duty payable there (amount in Rs.):-

|                                                                                       |                  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Assessable value of imported rubber                                                   | 25,00,000        |
| Add: Basic Custom Duty @ 10% (Rs. 25,00,000*10%)                                      | 2,50,000         |
| Add:-Safeguard Duty @ 30%                                                             | 7,50,000         |
| Add:-Social Welfare Surcharge @ 10% of BCD (No SWS is leviable on safeguard Duty)     | 25,000           |
| <b>Total for IGST</b>                                                                 | <b>35,25,000</b> |
| IGST U/s 3 (7) of Customs Tariff Act (Rs. 35,25,000*12%)                              | 4,23,000         |
| <b>Total Customs Duty Payable (Rs. 2,50,000+Rs. 7,50,000+Rs. 25,000+Rs. 4,23,000)</b> | <b>14,48,000</b> |

Working Note: Safeguard Duty is imposable in the given case since share of imports of rubber from the developing country is more than 3% of the total imports of rubber into India.

Q7. Chaintop Industries has challenged the imposition of anti-dumping duty retrospectively from the date prior to the date of imposition of anti-dumping duty on the grounds that it is unconstitutional. Explain whether it would succeed in its contention. (May 2018- Old Syllabus)

Ans. Section 9A(3) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 provides that the anti-dumping duty can be imposed with retrospective effect provided the Government is of the opinion that:-

- there is a history of dumping which caused injury or that the importer was, or should have been, aware that the exporter practices dumping and that such dumping would cause injury, and
- the injury is caused by massive dumping of an article imported in a relatively short time, which in the light of timing and volume of the imported article dumped and other circumstances is likely to seriously undermine the remedial effect of the anti-dumping duty liable to be levied.

The duty can be levied retrospectively by issuing a notification but not beyond 90 days from the date of notification.

Thus, Chaintop Industries would succeed in its contention if all of the above conditions are not satisfied.

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q8. Examine the validity of the following statements with reference to the Customs Act, 1962 giving brief reasons. Goods exempt from basic customs duty would automatically be exempt from additional duty of customs.

A. The statement is not correct. Exemption from basic customs duty would not mean exemption from additional duty. When goods are exempted from basic customs duty in terms of section 12 of the Customs Act, 1962 it would not mean that they are exempted from additional duty of customs also, as basic customs duty is leviable by virtue of section 12 of the Customs Act, 1962 while additional customs duty is leviable under section 3 of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 [Kaur Sarin Traders v. UOI [2006] 199 ELT 224 (Pat.)].

Q9. What will be the dates of commencement of the definitive anti-dumping duty in the following cases under section 9A of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 and the Rules made there under –

- Where no provisional duty is imposed;
- Where provisional duty is imposed;
- Where anti – dumping duty is imposed retrospectively from a date prior to the date of imposition of provisional duty.

A. The Central Government has power to levy anti-dumping duty on dumped articles in accordance with the provisions of Section 9A of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 and the rules framed there under.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

- I. In a case where no provisional duty is imposed, the date of commencement of anti-dumping duty will be the date of publication of notification, imposing anti-dumping duty, in the Official Gazette.
- II. In a case where provisional duty is imposed, the date of commencement of anti-dumping duty will be the date of publication of notification, imposing provisional duty, in the Official Gazette.
- III. In a case where anti-dumping duty is imposed retrospectively from a date prior to the date of imposition of provisional duty, the date of commencement of anti-dumping duty will be such prior as may be notified in the notification imposing anti – dumping duty retrospectively, but not beyond 90 days from the date of such notification of provisional duty.

Q10. Please provide comparative outlook of Safeguard Duty, Countervailing Duty & Anti-Dumping Duty.

A.

| Criteria              | Safeguard duty                                      | Countervailing duty                                       | Anti-dumping duty                                   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Notified              | Suo moto or upon receipt of complaint / information | Suo moto or upon receipt of complaint / information       | Suo moto or upon receipt of complaint / information |
| Relief against        | Serious injury to domestic industry                 | Subsidy enjoyed in export pricing                         | Dumping in price or quantity of goods               |
| Extent of relief      | To the extent adequate to prevent or remedy         | To the full extent of subsidy allowed in the export price | To the full extent of the 'margin of dumping'       |
| Investigation         | Required                                            | Required                                                  | Required                                            |
| Injury determination  | To be investigated                                  | To be investigated                                        | To be investigated                                  |
| Provisional duty      | Yes, until completion of investigation              | Yes, until completion of investigation                    | Yes, until completion of investigation              |
| Final findings        | Within 8 months from start of investigations        | Within 1 year from start of investigations                | Within 1 year from start of investigations          |
| Excess duty           | Refund allowed                                      | Refund allowed                                            | Refund allowed                                      |
| Duration of duty levy | 4 years. Total 10 years                             | 5 years + 5 years extension                               | Perpetual, subject to periodic review               |

Q11. With reference to section 9AA of Customs Tariff Act, 1975, state briefly the provisions of refund of anti-dumping duty.

A. According to the provisions of section 9AA of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, where an importer proves to the satisfaction of the Central Government that he has paid any anti-dumping duty imposed on any article, in excess of the actual margin of dumping in relation to such article, he shall be entitled to refund of such excess duty. However, the importer will not be entitled for refund of provisional anti-dumping duty under section 9AA as the same is refundable under section 9A(2) of the said Act. Refund of excess anti-dumping duty paid is subject to provisions of unjust enrichment – Automotive Tyre Manufacturers Association v. Designated Authority 2011 (263) ELT 481 (SC).

Q12. With reference to section 9A(1A) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, mention the ways that constitute circumvention of antidumping duty imposed on an article which may warrant action by the Central Government.



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

A. As per section 9A(1A) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, following are the ways that would constitute circumvention (avoiding levy of duty by unscrupulous means) of antidumping duty imposed on an article that may warrant action by the Central Government:

- (i) altering the description or name or composition of the article subject to such anti-dumping duty,
- (ii) import of such article in an unassembled or disassembled form,
- (iii) changing the country of its origin or export, or
- (iv) any other manner, whereby the anti-dumping duty so imposed is rendered ineffective.

In such cases, investigation can be carried out by Central Government and then anti-dumping can be imposed on such articles

Similar provision is also there for Countervailing Duty (May 2020 amendment)

Q13. With reference to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, discuss the validity of the imposition of customs duties in the following cases:-

- (a) Both countervailing duty and anti-dumping duty have been imposed on an article to compensate for the same situation of dumping.
- (b) Countervailing duty has been levied on an article for the reason that the same is exempt from duty borne by a like article when meant for consumption in the country of origin.
- (c) Definitive anti-dumping duty has been levied on articles imported from a member country of World Trade Organization as a determination has been made in the prescribed manner that import of such article into India threatens material injury to the indigenous industry.

Ans.

- (a) **Not valid.** As per section 9B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, no article shall be subjected to both countervailing and anti-dumping duties to compensate for the same situation of dumping or export subsidization.
- (b) **Not valid.** As per section 9B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, countervailing or anti-dumping duties shall not be levied by reasons of exemption of such articles from duties or taxes borne by the like articles when meant for consumption in the country of origin or exportation or by reasons of refund of such duties or taxes.
- (c) **Valid.** As per section 9B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, no definitive countervailing duty or anti-dumping duty shall be levied on the import into India of any article from a member country of the World Trade Organisation or from a country with whom Government of India has a most favored nation agreement, unless a determination has been made in the prescribed manner that import of such article into India causes or threatens material injury to any established industry in India or materially retards the establishment of any industry in India.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

## Chapter 3: - Classification of Imported & Export Goods

Do refer important  
"7 examples"  
from Custom Main  
Book.

### ICAI Test your knowledge

Q1. What is the purpose of including Interpretation Rules in Customs Tariff? Do they form part of the Tariff Schedule? Explain the Akin Rule of interpretation.

Ans. The Customs Tariff has a set of six Rules for Interpretation of the Tariff Schedule and three General Explanatory Notes. The six Rules of Interpretation and three General Explanatory Notes are integral part of the Tariff Schedule. The purpose of their inclusion in Customs Tariff is to standardize the manner in which the nomenclature in the schedule is to be interpreted so as to reduce classification disputes.

Rule 4 of the Rules of Interpretation is called as akin rule. This rule lays down that goods which cannot be classified in accordance with rules 1, 2 and 3 of the Rules of Interpretation shall be classified under the heading appropriate to the goods to which they are most akin. In other words, 'akin rule' is a residual rule which is to be applied when classification is not possible by applying any of the earlier rules. It is a rule of last resort.

Q2. Write a note on "Project Imports" under the Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

Ans. Project Imports are the imports of machinery, instruments, and apparatus etc., falling under different classifications, required for initial set up of a unit or for substantial expansion of an existing unit.

Heavy customs duty on imported machinery for projects make the initial project cost very high and project may become unviable. Hence, concept of 'project import' is introduced to bring machinery etc. required for initial setup or substantial exemption at concessional customs duty.

In a project several different items are required, each of which is importable at different rates of customs duties. Thus, this simple method is adopted, as otherwise, classifying each machinery and its parts in different heads and valuing them would have been cumbersome and would have delayed clearances, which would cause demurrages. Further, individual exemption notification will apply even for items grouped under the said heading of the customs tariff liable to duty at the project rate as per recent Supreme Court judgement.

The items eligible for project import are specified in Heading 9801 of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975. These are: all items of machinery including prime movers, instruments, apparatus and appliances, control gear and transmission equipment, auxiliary equipment (including those for research and development, testing and quality control); as well as components or raw materials for manufacture of these items and their components; required for initial setting up of a unit or substantial expansion of a specified (1) industrial project (2) Irrigation project (3) Power projects (4) Mining project (5) Project for exploration of oils or other minerals and (6) Other projects as may be notified by Central Government.

The spare parts, raw material and consumables stores upto 10% of the value of goods can be imported. Few of the eligible projects are:

- (i) Industrial plant
- (ii) Irrigation project
- (iii) Power project
- (iv) Mining project
- (v) Oil & mineral exploration project
- (vi) Other projects as notified by the Central Government

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Q3. Explain rule 3 of the rules for Interpretation of the Customs Tariff.

Ans. Rule 3 – Classification in case goods are classifiable under two or more headings: The application of this rule arises when the goods consist of more than one material or substance.

When by application of rule 2(b) or for any other reason, goods are, prima facie, classifiable under two or more headings, classification shall be affected as follows:

### Rule 3(a) – Specific over general

- i. The heading which provides the most specific description shall be preferred to headings providing a more general description.
- ii. However, when two or more headings each refer to part only of the materials or substances contained in mixed or composite goods or to part only of the items in a set up for retail sale, those headings are to be regarded as equally specific in relation to those goods, even if one of them gives a more complete or precise description of the goods.

### Rule 3(b) – Essential character principle:

Mixtures, composite goods consisting of different materials or made up of different components, and goods put up in sets for retail sale, which cannot be classified with reference to (a), shall be classified as if they consisted of material which gives them their essential character, in so far as this criterion is applicable.

### Rule 3(c) – Latter the better:

When goods cannot be classified by reference to (a) or (b), they shall be classified under the heading which occurs last in numerical order among those which equally merit consideration.

## Other Questions

Q4. Write a brief note on rule 1 of the Rules of Interpretation of the First Schedule to Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

Ans. Rule 1 of the general rules for interpretation states that the titles of sections, chapters and sub-chapters in the First Schedule to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 are provided for ease of reference only. For legal purposes, classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes and provided such headings or chapter notes do not otherwise require, according to the subsequent rules.

Thus, the titles of sections, chapters and sub-chapters cannot be used to determine classification of a product.

## Chapter 4: - Valuation under the Custom Act, 1962

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes  
"36 Q & A" from  
Custom main book.  
Do refer examples  
"5 examples" in  
Custom main book .

Q1. Briefly explain the following with reference to the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007:

- (i) Goods of the same class or kind
- (ii) Computed value

Ans.

- (i) As per rule 2(1)(c) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, goods of the same class or kind, means imported goods that are within a group or range of imported goods produced by a particular industry or industrial sector and includes identical goods or similar goods.
- (ii) As per rule 2(1)(a) of the said rules, computed value means the value of imported goods determined in accordance with rule 8. The value of imported goods is taken as computed value when valuation is not possible as per any of rules earlier than rule 8 and cost is ascertainable.

As per rule 8, subject to the provisions of rule 3, the value of imported goods shall be based on a computed value, which shall consist of the sum of –

- (a) the cost or value of materials and fabrication or other processing employed in producing the imported goods;
- (b) an amount for profit and general expenses equal to that usually reflected in sales of goods of the same class or kind as the goods being valued which are made by producers in the country of exportation for export to India;
- (c) the cost or value of all other expenses under sub-rule (2) of rule 10.

Q2. Whether the assessable value of the warehoused goods which are sold before being cleared for home consumption, should be taken as the price at which the original importer has sold the goods?

Ans. Section 14 of the Customs Act provides that the value of the imported goods shall be the transaction value of goods which is the price actually paid or payable for the goods when sold for export to India for delivery at the time and place of importation. The sale of goods after warehousing them in India cannot be considered a sale for export to India. It cannot be stated that the export of goods is not complete even after the imported goods were cleared for warehousing in the country of import. Hence, the price at which the imported goods are sold after warehousing them in India does not qualify to be the transaction value as per section 14. This has been clarified vide Circular No. 11/2010 Cus. dated 03.06.2010.

Q3. Explain when are the costs and services as given in rule 10 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 be added to the value of the identical goods under rule 4.

Ans. As per rule 4(1)(c) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods Rules, 2007) where imported goods are being valued as per rule 4, the value of the identical goods is adjusted to take into account the difference attributable to the commercial level or to the quantity or both. According to rule 4(2) where costs and charges referred to in rule 10 are included in the value of identical goods, adjustment has to be made of the difference in such costs and charges between the imported goods and the identical goods.

Therefore, if the value of the identical goods does not include certain specific costs and charges relating to the imported goods, these are to be included as per rule 10.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Q4. Examine the validity of the following statements with reference to the Customs Act, 1962 giving brief reasons:

- (i) Service charges paid to canalizing agent are not includible in the assessable value of imports. Such agent imports the goods from foreign sellers and enters into an agreement to sell such goods with buyers in India in high seas.
- (ii) Charges for "vendor inspection" on the second hand goods carried out by foreign supplier on his own and not required for making the goods ready for shipment, are not includible in the assessable value of the imported goods.

Ans.

- (i) The statement is not valid. Since the canalizing agent is not the agent of the importer nor does he represent the importer abroad, purchases in bulk by canalizing agency from foreign seller and subsequent sale by it to Indian importer on high seas sale basis are independent of each other. Hence, the commission or service charges paid to the canalizing agent are includible in the assessable value as these cannot be termed as buying commission [Hyderabad Industries Ltd. v. UOI 2000 (115) ELT 593 (SC)].
- (ii) The statement is valid. As per rule 10(1)(e) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, only the payments actually made as a condition of sale of the imported goods by the buyer to the seller are includible in the assessable value. Thus, charges of vendor inspection on the goods carried out by foreign supplier on his own and not required for making the goods ready for shipment, are not includible in the assessable value of the imported goods [Bombay Dyeing & Mfg. v. CC 1997 (90) ELT 276 (SC)].

Q5. An importer entered into a contract for supply of crude sunflower seed oil @ U.S. \$ 435 C.I.F./Metric ton. Under the contract, the consignment was to be shipped in the month of July. The period was extended by mutual agreement and goods were shipped on 5th August at old prices. In the meanwhile, the international prices had gone up due to volatility in market and other imports during the month of August were at higher prices. Department sought to increase the assessable value on the basis of the higher prices of contemporaneous imports.

Decide whether the contention of the Department is correct, with reference to a decided case law, if any.

Ans. No, the contention of the Department is not correct.

The facts of the given case are similar to the case of CCus., Vishakhapatnam v. Aggarwal Industries Ltd. 2011 (272) E.L.T. 641 (SC). The Supreme Court, in the instant case, observed that since the contract entered into for supply of crude sunflower seed oil @ US \$ 435 CIF/metric ton could not be performed on time, the extension of time for shipment was agreed upon by the contracting parties. The Supreme Court pointed out that the commodity involved had volatile fluctuations in its price in the international market, but having delayed the shipment; the supplier did not increase the price of the commodity even after the increase in its price in the international market.

Further, there was no allegation regarding the supplier and importer being in collusion. Thus, the appeal was allowed in the favour of the assessee and the contract price was accepted as the 'transaction value'.

Q6. BSA & Company Ltd. has imported a machine from U.K. From the following particulars furnished by it, arrive at the assessable value for the purpose of customs duty payable.

|       | Particulars                                                                        | Amount                  |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| (i)   | Price of the machine                                                               | 10,000 U.K. Pounds      |
| (ii)  | Freight (air)                                                                      | 3,000 U.K. Pounds       |
| (iii) | Engineering and design charges paid to a firm in U.K.                              | 500 U.K. Pounds         |
| (iv)  | License fee relating to imported goods payable by the buyer as a condition of sale | 20% of Price of machine |
| (v)   | Materials and components supplied in UK by the buyer free of cost valued at        |                         |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



|      |                                                          |                 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|      | Rs. 20,000                                               |                 |
| (vi) | Insurance paid to the insurer in India                   | Rs. 6,000       |
| (vi) | Buying commission paid by the buyer to his agent in U.K. | 100 U.K. Pounds |

Other particulars:

- Inter-bank exchange rate: Rs. 98 per U.K. Pound.
- CBIC had notified for purpose of section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962, exchange rate of Rs. 100 per U.K. Pound.
- Importer paid Rs. 5,000 towards demurrage charges for delay in clearing the machine from the Airport.

(Make suitable assumptions wherever required and show workings with explanations)

Ans.

### Computation of assessable value of machine imported by BSA & Co.

| Particulars                                                                                                           | Amount (In Pound) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Price of the machine                                                                                                  | 10,000            |
| Add: Engineering and design charges paid in UK [Note 1]                                                               | 500               |
| License fee relating to imported goods payable by the buyer as a condition of sale (20% of Price of machine) [Note 1] | 2,000             |
| Total                                                                                                                 | 12,500            |
|                                                                                                                       | Amount (In INR)   |
| Value in Indian currency [£12,500 x Rs. 100] [Note 2]                                                                 | 12,50,000         |
| Add: Materials and components supplied by the buyer free of cost [Note 1]                                             | 20,000            |
| FOB                                                                                                                   | 12,70,000         |
| Add: Freight [Note 3]                                                                                                 | 2,54,000          |
| Insurance paid to the insurer in India [Note 1]                                                                       | 6,000             |
| CIF value                                                                                                             | 15,30,000         |
| Assessable value (rounded off)                                                                                        | 15,30,000         |

### Notes:

- Engineering and design charges paid in UK, licence fee relating to imported goods payable by the buyer as a condition of sale, materials and components supplied by the buyer free of cost and actual insurance charges paid are all includible in the assessable value [Rule 10 of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
- As per Explanation to section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value should be calculated with reference to the rate of exchange notified by the CBIC.
- If the goods are imported by air, the freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB price [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
- Buying commission is not included in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(a) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
- Only ship demurrage charges on chartered vessels are included in the cost of transport of the imported goods. Thus, demurrage charges for delay in clearing the machine from the Airport will not be includible in the assessable value [Explanation to Rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].

Q7. Briefly explain with reference to the provisions of the Customs Act, the relevant date for determination of rate of duty and tariff valuation for imports through a vehicle where bill of entry is filed prior to the arrival of the vehicle.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Ans. As per section 15(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, the relevant date for determination of rate of duty and tariff valuation of goods entered for imports through a vehicle is the date of presentation of bill of entry OR date of arrival of the vehicle, whichever is later.

Therefore, the relevant date for determination of rate of duty and tariff valuation for imports through a vehicle where bill of entry is filed prior to the arrival of the vehicle will be the date of the arrival of the vehicle.

Q8. With reference to the provisions of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, explain briefly the chief reasons on the basis of which the proper officer can raise doubts on the truth or accuracy of the declared value.

Ans. As per explanation to rule 12 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, the chief reasons on the basis of which the proper officer can raise doubts on the truth or accuracy of the declared value may include:-

- the significantly higher value at which identical or similar goods imported at or about the same time in comparable quantities in a comparable commercial transaction were assessed;
- the sale involves an abnormal discount or abnormal reduction from the ordinary competitive price;
- the sale involves special discounts limited to exclusive agents;
- the misdeclaration of goods in parameters such as description, quality, quantity, country of origin, year of manufacture or production;
- the non-declaration of parameters such as brand, grade, specifications that have relevance to value;
- the fraudulent or manipulated documents.

Q9. Jagat Corporation Limited imported some goods from US. The details of the transaction are as follows:-

| Authority | Rate of Exchange |
|-----------|------------------|
| CBIC      | 1 US \$=Rs. 70   |
| RBI       | 1 US \$=Rs. 71   |

CIF value of the goods is \$ 1,50,000

Rate of basic custom duty is 10%

Rate of social welfare surcharge is 10%

Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is 18%. Ignore GST Compensation Cess.

Calculate total customs duty and integrated tax payable thereon.

Ans.

### Computation of total custom duty and integrated tax payable

| Particulars                                                                                            | Amount             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| CIF Value                                                                                              | \$ 1,50,000.00     |
| Assessable value (in Rs.) = \$1,50,000 × Rs. 70 (Note -1)                                              | Rs. 1,05,00,000.00 |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 10% (Rs. 1,05,00,000 × 10%)                                                   | Rs. 10,50,000.00   |
| Add: Social Welfare surcharge [Rs. 10,50,000 × 10%]                                                    | Rs. 1,05,000       |
| Sub-total                                                                                              | 1,16,55,000.00     |
| Add: Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of Customs Tariff Act (Rs. 1,16,55,000 × 18%) (Note-3) | Rs. 20,97,900      |
| Total custom duty and integrated tax payable (rounded off)                                             | Rs. 32,52,900      |

Notes:-

- The applicable exchange rate is the rate notified by CBIC [Explanation to section 14(1) of the customs Act, 1962].

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

- (2) Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable social welfare surcharge.

Q10. ABC Industries Ltd. imports an equipment by air. CIF price of the equipment is 6,000 US\$, freight paid is 1,200 US\$ and insurance cost is 1,800 US\$. The banker realizes the payment from importer at the exchange rate of Rs. 61 per US\$. Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs notifies the exchange rate as Rs. 70 per US\$ while rate of exchange notified by RBI is Rs. 72 per US\$. ABC Industries Ltd. expends Rs. 56,000 in India for certain development activities with respect to the imported equipment.

Basic customs duty is 10%, Integrated tax u/s 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act is leviable @ 12% and social welfare surcharge is 10% on duty. Ignore GST Compensation Cess.

You are required to compute the amount of total duty and integrated tax payable by ABC Industries Ltd. under Customs law.

Ans.

### Computation of customs duty and integrated tax payable by ABC Industries Ltd.

| Particulars                                                                            | Amount            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| CIF Value                                                                              | 6,000 US \$       |
| Less: Freight                                                                          | 1,200 US \$       |
| Less: Insurance                                                                        | 1,800 US \$       |
| FOB value                                                                              | 3,000 US \$       |
| Add: Freight (20% of FOB value) [Note 1]                                               | 600 US \$         |
| Add: Insurance (actual)                                                                | 1,800 US \$       |
| CIF                                                                                    | 5,400 US \$       |
| Exchange rate as per CBIC [Note 3]                                                     | Rs. 70 per US \$  |
| Assessable value = Rs. 70 x 5,400 US \$                                                | Rs. 3,78,000      |
| Add: Basic customs duty @ 10%                                                          | Rs. 37,800        |
| Add: Social Welfare Surcharge @ 10%                                                    | Rs. 3,780         |
| Sub-total                                                                              | Rs. 4,19,580      |
| Integrated tax u/s 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act @ 12% of Rs. 4,19,580 [Note 5]       | Rs. 50,349.60     |
| Total customs duty and integrated tax payable [Rs. 37,800 + Rs. 3,780 + Rs. 50,349.60] | Rs. 91,929.60     |
| <b>Total customs duty and integrated tax payable (rounded off)</b>                     | <b>Rs. 91,930</b> |

### Notes:

1. If the goods are imported by air, the freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB price [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
2. Rate of exchange determined by CBIC is considered [Clause (a) of the explanation to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962].
3. Rule 10(1)(b)(iv) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 inter alia provides that value of development work undertaken elsewhere than in India is includible in the value of the imported goods. Thus, development charges of Rs. 56,000 paid for work done in India have not been included for the purposes of arriving at the assessable value.
4. Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable social welfare surcharge.

Q11. Compute the total customs duty and integrated tax payable under Customs law on an imported machine, based on the following information:

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

|                                                                           |                                                                         | US\$                                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (i)                                                                       | Cost of the machine at the factory of the exporter                      | 20,000                                                                           |
| (ii)                                                                      | Transport charges from the factory of exporter to the port for shipment | 800                                                                              |
| (iii)                                                                     | Handling charges paid for loading the machine in the ship               | 50                                                                               |
| (iv)                                                                      | Freight charges from exporting country to India                         | 5,000                                                                            |
| (v)                                                                       | Buying commission paid by the importer                                  | 100                                                                              |
| (vi)                                                                      |                                                                         | <b>Rs.</b>                                                                       |
| (vi)                                                                      | Lighterage charges paid by the importer at port of importation          | 12,000                                                                           |
| (vi)                                                                      | Freight incurred from port of entry to Inland Container depot           | 60,000                                                                           |
| (ix)                                                                      | Ship demurrage charges paid at port of importation                      | 24,000                                                                           |
| Date of bill of entry                                                     |                                                                         | 20.01.20XX (Rate BCD 20%; Exchange rate as notified by CBIC Rs. 70 per US \$)    |
| Date of entry inward                                                      |                                                                         | 25.03.20XX (Rate of BCD 10%; Exchange rate as notified by CBIC Rs. 75 per US \$) |
| Integrated tax payable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 |                                                                         | 12%                                                                              |

| Particulars                                                                      | US\$            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cost of the machine at the factory                                               | 20,000          |
| Transport charges up to port                                                     | 800             |
| Handling charges at the port                                                     | 50              |
| FOB value                                                                        | 20,850          |
| FOB value in Indian rupees @ Rs. 70/- per \$ [Note 1]                            | 14,59,500       |
| Freight charges up to India [US \$ 5,000 x Rs. 70]                               | 3,50,000        |
| Lighterage charges paid by the importer [Note 2]                                 | 12,000          |
| Ship demurrage charges on chartered vessels [Note 2]                             | 24,000          |
| Insurance charges @ 1.125% of FOB [Note 3]                                       | 16,419.38       |
| CIF                                                                              | 18,61,919.38    |
| Add: Basic customs duty @ 10% [Note 4] [a]                                       | 1,86,192        |
| Add: Social Welfare surcharge @ 10% [b]                                          | 18,619.20       |
| Total                                                                            | 20,66,730.58    |
| Add: Integrated tax @ 12% of Rs. 20,66,730.58 [c] [Note 5]                       | 2,48,007.67     |
| <b>Total custom duty and integrated tax payable [(a) +(b) + (c)] rounded off</b> | <b>4,52,819</b> |

## Notes:

1. Rate of exchange notified by CBIC on the date of presentation of bill of entry is considered [Explanation to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962].
2. Cost of transport of the imported goods includes ship demurrage charges and lighterage charges [Explanation to Rule 10(2) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
3. Insurance charges is included @ 1.125% of FOB value of goods [Third proviso to rule 10(2) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
4. Rate of duty is the rate prevalent on the date of presentation of bill of entry or the rate prevalent on the date of entry inwards, whichever is later [Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962].
5. Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable Social welfare surcharge.
6. Buying commission is not included in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(a)(i) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

7. Freight incurred from port of entry to Inland Container depot is not includible in assessable value [Rule 10(2)(a) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].

Q12. Kaveri Enterprises imported some goods from Italy. On the basis of certain information obtained through computer printouts from the Customs House, Department alleged that during the period in question, large number of consignments of such goods were imported at a much higher price than the price declared by Kaveri Enterprises. Therefore, Department valued such goods on the basis of transaction value of identical goods as per rule 4 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 and demanded the differential duty along with penalty and interest from the Kaveri Enterprises. However, Department did not provide these printouts to Kaveri Enterprises.

Kaveri Enterprises contended that Department's demand was without any basis in law, without any legally admissible evidence and opposed to the principles of natural justice as the computer printouts which formed the basis of such demand had not been supplied to them. Resultantly, they had no means of knowing as to whether any imports of comparable nature were made at the relevant point of time.

You are required to examine the contention of Kaveri Enterprises, with the help of a decided case law, if any.

**Ans.** The facts of the given case are similar to the case of *Gira Enterprises v. CCus.* 2014 (307) ELT 209 (SC) decided by the Supreme Court. In the instant case, the Supreme Court observed that since Revenue did not supply the copy of the computer printout, which formed the basis of the conclusion that the appellants under-valued the imported goods, the appellants obviously could not and did not have any opportunity to demonstrate that the transactions relied upon by the Revenue were not comparable transactions.

The Supreme Court held that mere existence of alleged computer printout was not proof of existence of comparable imports. Even if assumed that such printout did exist and content thereof were true, such printout must have been supplied to the appellant and they should have been given reasonable opportunity to establish that the import transactions were not comparable.

In view of the above-mentioned judgment, contention of Kaveri Enterprises is correct.

Q13. M/s Impex imported some consignment of goods on 01.6.20XX. A bill of entry for warehousing of goods was presented on 05.6.20XX and the materials were duly warehoused. The goods were subject to duty @ 50% ad valorem. In the meanwhile, on 01.07.20XX, an exemption notification was issued reducing the effecting customs duty @ 30%, ad valorem. M/s Impex filed their bill of entry for home consumption on 01.08.20XX claiming duty @ 30% ad valorem. However, Customs Department charged duty @ 50% ad valorem being the rate on the date of clearance into the warehouse.

Explain with reference to the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962:

- (i) the rate of duty applicable for clearance for home consumption in this case.
- (ii) whether the rate of exchange on 01.08.20XX could be adopted for purpose of conversion of foreign currency into local currency?

**Ans.**

- (i) Section 15(1)(b) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that in the case of goods cleared from a warehouse, rate of duty applicable is the rate of duty in force on the date on which a bill of entry for home consumption in respect of such goods is presented.

In the given case, since M/s Impex has filed the bill of entry for home consumption on 01.08.20XX, rate of duty is the rate prevalent on the said date viz. 30%.

- (ii) Third proviso to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that the rate of exchange notified by the CBIC as prevalent on the date of presentation of bill of entry for warehousing is the applicable rate of exchange for conversion of foreign currency into local currency. Therefore, in the given case, rate of exchange that would be prevalent on date of



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

presentation of bill of entry for warehousing i.e. 05.06.20XX and not the one prevalent on date of presentation of bill of entry for home consumption i.e., 01.08.20XX, would be adopted.

### Other Questions

Q14. Answer the following with reference to the provisions of section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 and the rules made thereunder:

- i. What shall be the value, if there is a price rise of the imported goods in international market between the date of contract and the date of actual importation but the importer pays the contract price?
- ii. Whether the payment for post-importation process is includible in the value if the same is related to imported goods and is a condition of the sale of the imported goods?

Ans.

- (i) The value of the imported goods or export goods is its transaction value, which means the price actually paid or payable for the goods. Where a contract has been entered into, the transaction value shall be the price stated in the contract, unless it is not legally acceptable.  
Price rise between date of contract and date of actual import is irrelevant, as the price actually paid or payable shall be taken to be the value. Thus, price stated in the contract (unless unacceptable) shall be taken.
- (ii) As per explanation to Rule 10(1) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, the payment for post-importation process is includible in the value of the imported goods if the same is related to such imported goods and is a condition of the sale thereof.

Q15. Mother Mary Hospital and Research Centre imported a machine from Delta Scientific Equipments, Chicago for in house research. The price of the machine was settled at US \$ 5,000. The machine was shipped on 10.04.20XX. Meanwhile, the Hospital Authorities negotiated for a reduction in the price. As a result, Delta Scientific Equipments agreed to reduce the price by \$ 850 and sent the revised price of \$ 4,150 under a telex dated 15.04.20XX. The machine arrived in India on 18.04.20XX. The Commissioner of Customs has decided to take the original price as the transaction value of the goods on the ground that the price is reduced only after the goods have been shipped.

Do you agree to the stand taken by the Commissioner? Give reasons in support of your answer.

Ans. No, the Commissioner's approach is not correct in law.

As per section 14 of the Customs Act, the transaction value of the goods is the price actually paid or payable for the goods at the time and place of importation. Further, the Supreme Court in the case *Garden Silk Mills v. UOI* has held that importation gets complete only when the goods become part of mass of goods within the country. Therefore, since in the instant case the price of the goods was reduced while they were in transit, it could not be contended that the price was revised after importation took place. Hence, the goods should be valued as per the reduced price, which was the price actually paid at the time of importation. into, the transaction value shall be the price stated in the contract, unless it is not legally acceptable.

Q16. 'A' had imported goods from Finland. Due to deep draught at the port, such goods were not taken to the jetty in the port but were unloaded at the outer anchorage. The charges incurred for such unloading and transport of the goods from outer anchorage to the jetty in barges (small boats) were Rs. 1,35,000. 'A' claims that such charges form part of the loading and unloading charges and should be deemed to be included in the CIF value of such goods, made under rule 10(2)(b) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007. Discuss the tenability of 'A's' claim.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Ans. As per Rule 2(da), "place of importation" means the customs station, where the goods are brought for being cleared for home consumption or for being removed for deposit in a warehouse. Therefore, the outer anchorage where the goods are unloaded would not be the place of importation. Rule 10(2)(a) stipulates that for the purposes of section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 and Valuation rules, value of imported goods shall be the value of such goods and shall include, the cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation.

Therefore, in cases where the big mother vessels cannot enter the harbour for any reason and goods are brought to the docks by smaller vessels like barges, the cost incurred by the importer for bringing the goods to the landmass or place of consumption, such as barge charges will also be included in the cost of transportation. Therefore, 'A's claim is not tenable in law.

Q17. Compute export duty from the following data:

(i) FOB price of goods: US \$ 1,00,000.

(ii) Shipping bill presented electronically on 26.04.20XX.

(iii) Proper officer passed order permitting clearance and loading of goods for export (Let Export Order) on 04.05.20XX.

(iv) Rate of exchange and rate of export duty are as under:

|               | Rate of Exchange | Rate of Export Duty |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| On 26.04.20XX | 1 US \$ = Rs. 70 | 10%                 |
| On 04.05.20XX | 1 US \$ = Rs. 72 | 8%                  |

(v) Rate of exchange is notified for export by Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs. (Make suitable assumptions wherever required and show the workings.)

Ans.

| Particulars                                                 | Amount (US \$) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| FOB price of goods [Note 1]                                 | 1,00,000       |
|                                                             | Amount (Rs.)   |
| Value in Indian currency (US \$ 1,00,000 x Rs. 70) [Note 2] | 70,00,000      |
| Export duty @ 8% [Note 3]                                   | 5,60,000       |

Notes:

- As per section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value of the export goods is the transaction value of such goods which is the price actually paid or payable for the goods when sold for export from India for delivery at the time and place of exportation.
- As per third proviso to section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value has to be calculated with reference to the rate of exchange notified by the CBIC on the date of presentation of shipping bill of export.
- As per section 16(1)(a) of the Customs Act, 1962, in case of goods entered for export, the rate of duty prevalent on the date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of the goods for exportation, is considered.

Q18. A consignment of 800 metric tonnes of edible oil of Malaysian origin was imported by a charitable organization in India for free distribution to below poverty line citizens in a backward area under the scheme designed by the Food and Agricultural Organization. This being a special transaction, a nominal price of US\$ 10 per metric tonne was charged for the consignment to cover the freight and insurance charges. The Customs House found out that at or about the time of importation of this gift consignment there were following imports of edible oil of Malaysian origin:

| S. No. | Quantity imported in metric tonnes | Unit price in US \$ (CIF) |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.     | 20                                 | 260                       |
| 2.     | 100                                | 220                       |
| 3.     | 500                                | 200                       |
| 4.     | 900                                | 175                       |
| 5.     | 400                                | 180                       |
| 6.     | 780                                | 160                       |

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

The rate of exchange on the relevant date was 1 US \$ = Rs. 70.00 and the rate of basic customs duty was 10% ad valorem. Ignore Integrated tax and GST Compensation Cess. Calculate the amount of duty leviable on the consignment under the Customs Act, 1962 with appropriate assumptions and explanations, where required.

Ans.

### **Determination of transaction value of the subject goods:-**

In the instant case, while determining the transaction value of the goods, following factors need consideration:-

1. In the given case, US \$10 per metric tonne has been paid only towards freight and insurance charges and no amount has been paid or payable towards the cost of goods. Thus, there is no transaction value for the subject goods. Consequently, we have to look for transaction value of identical goods under rule 4 of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 [Customs Valuation (DVIG) Rules, 2007].
2. Rule 4(1)(a) of the aforementioned rules provides that subject to the provisions of rule 3, the value of imported goods shall be the transaction value of identical goods sold for export to India and imported at or about the same time as the goods being valued. In the six imports given during the relevant time, the goods are identical in description and of the same country of origin.
3. Further, clause (b) of rule 4(1) of the said rules requires that the comparable import should be at the same commercial level and in substantially same quantity as the goods being valued. Since, nothing is known about the level of the transactions of the comparable consignments, it is assumed to be at the same commercial level.
4. As far as the quantities are concerned, the consignments of 20 and 100 metric tonnes cannot be considered to be of substantially the same quantity. Hence, remaining 4 consignments are left for our consideration.
5. However, the unit prices in these 4 consignments are different. Rule 4(3) of Customs Valuation (DVIG) Rules, 2007 stipulates that in applying rule 4 of the said rules, if more than one transaction value of identical goods is found, the lowest of such value shall be used to determine the value of imported goods. Accordingly, the unit price of the consignment under valuation would be US \$ 160 per metric tonne.

Computation of amount of duty payable

CIF value of 800 metric tonnes: = 800 x 160 = US \$ 1,28,000

At the exchange rate of \$ 1 = Rs. 70

CIF Value (in Rupees) = Rs. 89,60,000

Assessable Value = Rs. 89,60,000

10% of Ad Valorem duty on Rs. 89,60,000 = Rs. 8,96,000

Add: Social Welfare Surcharge @ 10% (rounded off) = Rs. 89,600

Total custom duty payable = Rs. 9,85,600

Q19. From the following particulars, calculate total customs duty and integrated tax payable:

- i. Date of presentation of bill of entry: 20.6.20XX [Rate of BCD 20%; Inter-bank exchange rate: Rs. 61.60 and rate notified by CBIC Rs. 70].
- ii. Date of arrival of aircraft in India: 30.6.20XX [Rate of BCD 10%; Inter-bank exchange rate: Rs. 61.80 and rate notified by CBIC Rs. 73.00].
- iii. Rate of Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act: 12%. Ignore GST Compensation Cess.
- iv. CIF value 2,000 US Dollars; Air freight 500 US Dollars, Insurance cost 100 US Dollars [Landing charges not ascertainable].
- v. Social Welfare Surcharge 10%

Ans.

### **Computation of total customs duty and integrated tax payable**

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

| Particulars                                                          |     | Amount               |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------|
| CIF Value                                                            |     | 2000 US Dollars      |
| Less: Freight                                                        | 500 |                      |
| Insurance                                                            | 100 |                      |
| FOB Value                                                            |     | 1400 US Dollars      |
| Add: Air Freight [Note1]                                             | 280 |                      |
| Insurance (actual amount)                                            | 100 | 380 US Dollars       |
|                                                                      |     | 1780 US Dollars      |
|                                                                      |     | <b>Amount in Rs.</b> |
| Value @ Rs. 70.00 [Note 2]                                           |     | 1,24,600.00          |
| Assessable Value                                                     |     | 1,24,600.00          |
| Basic Custom Duty @ 10% (a) [Note 3]                                 |     | 12,460.00            |
| Add: Social Welfare Surcharge @ 10% on 1,24,600 (b)                  |     | 1,246.00             |
| Sub-total                                                            |     | 1,38,306.00          |
| Integrated tax under section 3(7) (12% on Rs. 1,38,306) (c) [Note 4] |     | 16,596.72            |
| Total duty and integrated tax (a + b + c) (rounded off)              |     | 30,303.00            |

## Notes:

- (1) If the goods are imported by air, the freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB price [Fifth proviso to Rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
- (2) Rate of exchange notified by CBIC on the date of presentation of bill of entry would be the applicable rate. [Proviso to Section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (3) Rate of duty would be the rate as prevalent on the date of filing of bill of entry or arrival of aircraft, whichever is later [proviso to section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (4) Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable social welfare surcharge.

Q20. F Ltd. imported a machine from UK in May, 20XX. The details in this regard are as under:

- FOB value of the machine: 10,000 UK Pound
- Freight (Air): 3000 UK Pound
- Licence fee, the buyer was required to pay in UK: 400 UK Pound
- Buying commission paid in India Rs. 20,000
- Date of bill of entry was 20.05.20XX and the rate of exchange notified by CBIC on this date was Rs. 99.00 per one pound. Rate of BCD was 7.5%.
- Date of arrival of aircraft was 25.05.20XX and the rate of exchange notified by CBIC on this date was Rs. 98.50 per pound and rate of BCD was 10%.
- Integrated tax under section 3(7) of Customs Tariff Act was 12% and ignore GST Compensation Cess.
- Insurance premium details were not available.

You are required to compute the total customs duty and integrated tax payable on the importation of machine. You may make suitable assumptions wherever required.

Ans.

## Computation of assessable value and total customs duty and integrated tax payable by F Ltd.

| Particulars                                                                          | Amount (in UK Pound) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| FOB value                                                                            | 10,000               |
| Add: License fee required to be paid in UK [Note – 1]                                | 400                  |
| Customs FOB value                                                                    | 10,400               |
| Exchange rate is Rs. 99 per £ [Note – 2]                                             |                      |
|                                                                                      | <b>Amount in INR</b> |
| Value in rupees                                                                      | 10,29,600.00         |
| Add: Air freight [Restricted to 20% of Rs. 10,29,600 (customs FOB value)] [Note – 3] | 2,05,920.00          |

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

|                                                                             |              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Insurance @ 1.125% of Rs. 10,29,600 [Note – 4]                              | 11,583.00    |
| Buying commission is not includible in the assessable value [Note – 5]      | ---          |
| CIF Value                                                                   | 12,47,103.00 |
| Assessable value                                                            | 12,47,103.00 |
| Rate of duty is 10% [Note – 6]                                              |              |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 10% (Rs. 12,47,103 × 10%) – rounded off (A)        | 1,24,710.00  |
| Add: Social Welfare Surcharge (10% of Rs. 1,24,710) [rounded off] (B)       | 12,471.00    |
| Value for integrated tax under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 | 13,84,284.00 |
| Add: Integrated tax under section 3(7) @ 12% - rounded off (C) [Note – 7]   | 1,66,115.00  |
| Total customs duty and integrated tax payable [(A) + (B) + (C)]             | 3,03,295.00  |

Notes:

1. Engineering and design charges paid in UK, licence fee relating to imported goods payable by the buyer as a condition of sale, materials and components supplied by the buyer free of cost and actual insurance charges paid are all includible in the assessable value - Rule 10(1)(c) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 [hereinafter referred to as Customs Valuation Rules].
2. Rate of exchange notified by CBIC on the date of filing of bill of entry has to be considered [Third proviso to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962].
3. In case of goods imported by air, freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB value [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs Valuation Rules].
4. Insurance charges, when not ascertainable, have to be included @ 1.125% of FOB value of goods [Third proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs Valuation Rules].
5. Buying commission is not included in the assessable value [Clause (a)(i) of sub-rule (1) of rule 10 of the Customs Valuation Rules].
6. Rate of duty will be the rate in force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or on the date of arrival of the aircraft, whichever is later [Proviso to section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962].
7. Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable social welfare surcharge.

Q21. Niketan Industries Ltd., New Delhi has imported certain machine (by sea) from Japan. From the following particulars furnished by it, work out the assessable value of the machine and customs duty payable by Niketan Industries Ltd. with appropriate working notes:

| Sr. No. | Particulars                                                     | Amount in INR |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| i.      | CIF value of the machine                                        | 4,23,379.69   |
| ii.     | Freight incurred from port of entry to Inland Container depot   | 25,000.00     |
| iii.    | Unloading and handling charges paid at the place of importation | 40,000.00     |
| iv.     | Designing charges paid to Consultancy firm in Mumbai            | 10,000.00     |

|    |                                                                                    |               |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Basic Customs Duty leviable                                                        | 10% advalorem |
| 2. | Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 is 18%. |               |
| 3. | Note: Ignore GST Compensation Cess.                                                |               |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



Ans.

## Computation of assessable value and customs duty payable

| Particulars                                                         | Amount in INR |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| CIF value of machine                                                | 4,23,379.69   |
| Unloading and handling charges at the place of importation [Note-1] | Nil           |
| Freight from port of entry to ICD [Note-2]                          | Nil           |
| Designing charges paid to consultancy firm in Mumbai [Note-3]       | Nil           |
| Assessable Value                                                    | 4,23,379.69   |
| Add: Basic customs duty (BCD) @10% (rounded off)                    | 42,338        |
| Add: Education cesses @3% of BCD (rounded off)                      | 1,270         |
| Value for computing IGST                                            | 4,66,987.69   |
| IGST @ 18% (rounded off)                                            | 84,058        |
| Total duty and tax payable = [Rs. 42,338 + Rs. 1,270 + Rs. 84,058]  | 1,27,666      |

### Notes:-

1. Only charges incurred for delivery of goods "to" the place of importation are includible in the transaction value vide rule 10(2)(a) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007. The loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods "at" the place of importation are not to be added to the CIF value of the goods.
2. In case of goods imported by sea and transshipped to another custom station in India, the cost of transport associated with such transshipment is excluded in terms of sixth proviso to rule 10(2) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007.
3. Rule 10(1)(b)(iv) of Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 provides that only the design and engineering work undertaken elsewhere than in India is includible in the assessable value.

Q22. Determine the assessable value of imported goods in the following cases:

#### Case I

| Particulars                                                                                                                     | US \$     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| FOB Value                                                                                                                       | 1,000     |
| Freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation | Not Known |
| Insurance Charges                                                                                                               | 10        |

#### Case II

| Particulars                                                                                                                     | US \$     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| FOB Value plus insurance charges                                                                                                | 1,010     |
| Freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation | Not Known |

#### Case III

| Particulars                                                                                                                         | US \$     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| FOB Value                                                                                                                           | 1,000     |
| Sea freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation | 60        |
| Insurance Charges                                                                                                                   | Not Known |

#### Case IV

| Particulars                                                                                                                                           | US \$     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| FOB value plus sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation | 1,060     |
| Insurance Charges                                                                                                                                     | Not Known |

#### Case V

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

| Particulars                                                                                                                         | US \$     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| FOB Value                                                                                                                           | 1,000     |
| Air freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation | 250       |
| Insurance Charges                                                                                                                   | Not Known |

Ans. Rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, provides that for the purposes of sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 and these rules, the value of the imported goods shall be the value of such goods, and shall include –

- the cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation;
- the cost of insurance to the place of importation:

Provided that where the cost referred to in clause (a) is not ascertainable, such cost shall be 20% of the free on board value of the goods.

Provided further that where the free on board value of the goods is not ascertainable but the sum of free on board value of the goods and the cost referred to in clause (b) is ascertainable, the cost referred to in clause (a) shall be 20% of such sum:

Provided also that where the cost referred to in clause (b) is not ascertainable, such cost shall be 1.125% of free on board value of the goods.

Provided also that where the free on board value of the goods is not ascertainable but the sum of free on board value of the goods and the cost referred to in clause (a) is ascertainable, the cost referred to in clause (b) shall be 1.125% of such sum.

Provided also that in the case of goods imported by air, where the cost referred to in clause (a) is ascertainable, such cost shall not exceed 20% of free on board value of the goods.

Provided also that in the case of goods imported by sea or air and transshipped to another customs station in India, the cost of insurance, transport, loading, unloading, handling charges associated with such transshipment shall be excluded.

Explanation-The cost of transport of the imported goods referred to in clause (a) includes the ship demurrage charges on chartered vessels, lighterage or barge charges.

In the backdrop of the above provisions, the assessable value in the various cases will be computed as under:

Don't include  
Port  
demurrage  
charges

## Computation of assessable value

### Case I

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                      | US \$        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| FOB Value                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 1,000        |
| Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [20% of FOB value in terms of first proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR] | 200          |
| Cost of insurance [Includible in terms of rule 10(2)(b) of CVR]                                                                                                                                                  | 10           |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                                                                                                                                                                              | <b>1,210</b> |

### Case II

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | US \$        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| FOB value plus insurance charges                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 1,010        |
| Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [20% of sum of FOB value of the goods and the cost of insurance in terms of second proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR] | 202          |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <b>1,212</b> |

### Case III

| Particulars | US \$ |
|-------------|-------|
| FOB Value   | 1,000 |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

|                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Add: Cost of sea transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [Includible in terms of rule 10(2)(a) of CVR] | 60              |
| Insurance [1.125% of sum of FOB value of the goods in terms of third proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]                                                                                               | 11.25           |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                                                                                                                                                              | <b>1,071.25</b> |

## Case IV

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | US \$            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| FOB value plus sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation                                                                                                | 1,060            |
| Add: Insurance [1.125% of sum of FOB value of the goods and sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation in terms of fourth proviso to rule 10 (2) of CVR] | 11.925           |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <b>1,071.925</b> |

## Case V

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | US \$           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| FOB value                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 1,000           |
| Add: Cost of air transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation is restricted to 20% of FOB value when transportation of goods is through air [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR] | 200             |
| Cost of insurance                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 11.25           |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>1,211.25</b> |

Q23. Care Energy Ltd., imported a lift from UK at an invoice price of Rs. 20,00,000. The assessee had supplied raw material worth Rs. 5,00,000 to the supplier for the manufacture of said lift. Due to safety reasons, the lift was not taken to the jetty in the port but was unloaded at the outer anchorage. The charges incurred for such unloading amounted to Rs. 25,000 and the cost incurred on transport of the lift from outer anchorage to the jetty was Rs. 50,000. The importer was also required to pay ship demurrage charges Rs. 10,000. The lift was imported at an actual cost of transport Rs. 45,000 and insurance charges Rs. 20,000. **Apart from this freight incurred from port of entry to Inland Container Depot Rs. 25,000.** Compute its assessable value.

(CS June 2010, adapted)

Ans.

### Computation of Assessable Value (amount in INR)

| Particulars                                                   | Amount           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| FOB value being the invoice price                             | 20,00,000        |
| Add: Raw material supplied by assessee under Rules 10 (1) (b) | 5,00,000         |
| <b>FOB Value</b>                                              | <b>25,00,000</b> |
| Add: Transportation under Rule 10 (2)                         |                  |
| Sea Freight                                                   | 45,000           |
| Ship demurrage Charges                                        | 10,000           |
| Lighterage                                                    | 25,000           |
| Barge Charges                                                 | 50,000           |
| Add: Cost of Insurance (Actual)                               | 20,000           |
| <b>Assessable value [CIF value]</b>                           | <b>26,50,000</b> |

Working Note:

- The cost of transport of the imported goods includes the ship demurrage charges on chartered vessels, lighterage or barge charges.
- No landing charges are to be added to the CIF value according to Rule 10 (2).

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

- (iii) Freight incurred from port of entry to Inland Container Depot is not includible in assessable value.

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q24. XYZ Co. has claimed before the Customs Authority that since the exports of goods in its case attracted no duty, the value for purposes of Customs Act, 1962 to be declared shall be the value of the goods, which he expects to receive on sale of goods in the overseas market. Discuss whether the stand taken by XYZ Co. is correct.

Ans. The facts of the case are similar to the Supreme Court judgment in the case of M/s. Om Prakash Bhatia v. CC [2003] 155 ELT 423. The Apex Court observed that for finding the true export value of the goods, section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 has to be read along with section 2(41) which defines the word 'value'. Value in relation to any goods means the value thereof determined in accordance with the provisions of section 14(1). Section 14 specifically provides that in case of assessing the value of purpose of export, value is to be determined at the price at which such or like goods are ordinarily sold or offered for sale at the place of exportation in the course of international trade where the seller and buyer have no interest in the business of each other and the price is the sole consideration for the sale. Therefore, for determining the export value of the goods, the method of determining the value under section 14 has to be followed even if no duty is leviable on the export of goods. Hence, the contention of XYZ Co. in the instant case will be rejected.

Q25. Bill of entry was filed on 27-10-2017. Will you apply exchange rate notified by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs on 25-9-2017 or notified on 25-10-2017?

Ans. According to Section 14, the value shall be calculated with reference to the rate of exchange as in force on the date on which a bill of entry is presented under section 46. The rates of exchange are notified by the Board in every week or at other intervals and such rates continue to be in force unless new rates are notified. Hence, for the bill of entry filed on 27-10-2017, the latest exchange rate notified by Board on 25-10-2017 will be applicable.

Q26. What shall be the value if there is a price rise between the date of contract and the date of actual importation?

Ans. As per the amended section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, the value of imported or export goods shall be their transaction value. Accordingly, the value of the goods shall be the price of contract (i.e., the transaction value), even if there is price rise subsequently. Therefore, in case there is price rise between the date of contract and the date of actual importation or exportation, then, in that case too, the transaction value i.e. price determined on the date of contract shall be taken, provided that such transaction value is the sole consideration for the sale and is acceptable. - CCv. Aggarwal Industries Ltd. [2011] 272 ELT 641 (SC).

Q27. C & Co. imported a second – hand machinery and declared transaction value in the Bill of Entry filed for the purposes of assessment of duty. The Assistant Commissioner ignored the transaction value and based on the Chartered Engineer's certificate showing that the machinery was in a working condition and had a residual life of 10 years he completed the assessment under Rule 9 of the Customs Import Valuation Rules, 2007 after allowing maximum depreciation of 70%. Discuss whether the action of the Assistant Commissioner is valid.

Ans. The facts in this case are similar to the facts in Tolin Rubbers Pvt. Ltd. v. CC [2004] 163 ELT 289 (SC). In this case, the Supreme Court stated that the value of the goods has to be determined as per Rule 3(1) of the Customs Valuation Rules, 1988 and only in circumstances specified under Rule 3(2) the transaction value as per Rule 3(1) has to be rejected and further determination has to be made as per Rule 9. The assessing authority had not provided any reasons for rejecting the transaction value.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Hence, the Bill of Entry made by the company and the transaction value as declared by it had to be accepted by the Department. Applying the same, it could be said that the action of the Assistant Commissioner in the instant case is not valid in law.

Q28. RI is an indenting agent of an Italian company. The agreement provides for payment of 20% commission on imported equipments supplied by RI to users in India. However, in respect of RI's own requirements of the equipment supplied by the Italian company no commission was payable as there was to be no value addition by the indenting agent. The department wants to enhance the value of the imports by 20% as according to them the Indenting Agent is a 'Related person'.

Examine briefly whether the stand taken by the Department is correct.

Ans. The agent M/s. RI gets 20% commission for sale effected through him, but no commission is allowable in case of imports made by it. The Customs authorities want to load the value of imports made by M/s. RI by such 20%. The Customs authorities cannot enhance the value of imports by 20% due to the following reasons: –

- (a) Related person: A mere indenting agent doesn't fall within the definition of 'related person' given under rule 2 (2) of the valuation rules.
- (b) Rule 10(1): According to Rule 10(1), cost and services incurred by the buyer, value of any proceeds of subsequent sale or use of imported goods that flows directly and indirectly to the seller and also for any payment made by the buyer to the exporter as a condition of sale shall be added to the price actually paid or payable for imported goods.

In this case, the importer – buyer is RI. The 20% commission is receivable by RI on sales effected through it, it is neither incurred by RI nor it is paid by RI to the exporter – Italian company. Further, there is no allegation that any part of the proceeds of subsequent sale or use of imported equipments flows directly and indirectly to the exporter – company.

The same view has been upheld by tribunal in *Mittal International v. CC* [2002] 145 ELT 667 (Tri. – Del.)

Q29. The assessee M Ltd. entered into a joint venture with a foreign collaborator 'N' for promotion and selling antennas, accessories and other communication equipment. The agreement between them indicates that 'N' owned majority of equity shares in M Ltd. Technical services were provided by 'N' to M Ltd. for various functions that were carried out in respect of manufacturer of antenna system in India, for which technical service fee was paid to 'N' by M Ltd. Based on the above facts, the department opined that both M Ltd. and 'N' were related person in terms of Rules 2(2) (i) and 2(2)(iv) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 and that the technical fee paid by M Ltd was includible in the assessable value of the imported components in terms of Rule 10(1)(c) of the Rules. Decide referring to decided case law.

Ans. The Department was not able to prove that the relationship between the assessee and the foreign collaborator had influenced the value of the imported goods.

As per the Explanation to Rule 10(1) of the Customs Import Valuation Rules, 2007, any royalty or licence fee or any other payment made for a process, which is carried out on the imported goods after importation thereof, shall be included in the assessable value of imported goods, if the same is related to the imported goods and is paid as a condition of sale.

In this case, M Ltd. had imported antenna parts from N and has paid technical fees to N for various functions to be carried out on such imported antenna parts for manufacturer of antenna system in India. Though this technical fees relates to a post importation activity, the same is includible in assessable value of imported antenna parts in view of express provisions of the said explanation.

Q30. Discuss includability to assessable value under Customs Act, 1962 of the following payments made by an importer to the overseas supplier of a second hand plant in India:



# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

- (i) Dismantling charges for removing the second hand plant at the supplier's place and shipping to the Indian importer.
- (ii) Fees for supervision of erection and commissioning of plant in India. For this purpose, the foreign supplier deputed their technicians in India.
- (iii) Payments for tools, dies and moulds (imported along with the plant) for use in connection with the manufacture of excisable goods on successful commissioning of the plant.
- (iv) Lumpsum payment and annual royalty for transfer of technical knowhow for manufacturing goods.

**Ans. For computing assessable value under Customs Act, 1962 –**

- (i) Dismantling charges for removing the second hand plant at the supplier's place and then exporting to India is a payment which can be linked to the import of plant and such payment appears to be a payment as a condition of sale of the imported plant. Therefore such payment shall be included in arriving at value of second hand plant for customs duty purposes.
- (ii) The payment of fee for supervision of erection and commissioning of the plant in India is in the nature of post import and has nothing to do with the activity of import of second hand plant and the same is not again liable to customs duty. However, if the same is a condition of sale, then the same shall form part of the transaction value.
- (iii) Such payment will be included in the assessable value of goods. The purpose behind import of such tools, dies, etc. is irrelevant here.
- (iv) The royalty doesn't relate to imported goods being plant, it relates to manufacture of goods in India. Hence, the same is not includible..

**Q31. Discuss the includability or otherwise of the following payments made by an importer to the overseas supplier of an imported machine / equipment, to the assessable value of goods imported.**

- (i) Process licence fee and technology transfer fees.
- (ii) Dismantling charges for removing the machine (before shipment to India) at the foreign supplier's site.
- (iii) Training charges paid to supplier, for imparting training to the Indian company's personnel, on how to use the equipment. Your answer shall be with reference to Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962.

**Ans. In view of CC v. Essar Gujarat Ltd. [1996] 88 ELT 609 (SC) –**

- (i) Process licence fee and technology transfer fees is includible, as the same is related to imported goods / machine and is payable as a condition of sale of the imported goods, being machine.
- (i) Dismantling charges for removing the second hand plant at the supplier's place and then exporting to India is a payment which can be linked to the import of plant and such payment appears to be a payment as a condition of sale of the imported plant. Therefore, such payment shall be included in arriving at value of second hand plant for customs duty purposes.
- (ii) Training charges paid to supplier, for imparting training to the Indian company's personnel, or how to use the equipment is not includible, as the same is a post importation activity. However, if the same is a condition of sale, the same will form part of the transaction value under rule 10 in view of Explanation to Rule 10.

**Q32. Examine the validity of the following statements with reference to the Customs Act, 1962 giving brief reasons:**

- (i) Service charges paid to canalizing agent are not includible in the assessable value of imports.
- (ii) Design and engineering charges are includible in the assessable value of the imported goods only if the goods imported are specifically manufactured on the basis of the design and engineering specification provided by the importer.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

*Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT*

**Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi**

- (iii) Inspection charges are not includible in the assessable value of the imported goods, if contract does not specify for certification by an independent agency.

Ans. With reference to the Customs Act, 1962, the validity of the statements are –

- (i) The statement is not valid: Since the canalizing agent is not the agent of the importer nor does he represent the importer abroad, purchases by canalizing agency from foreign seller and subsequent sale by it to Indian importer are independent of each other. Hence, the commission or service charges paid to the canalizing agent are includible in the assessable value as these cannot be termed as buying commission.  
- Hyderabad Industries Ltd. v. UOI [2000] 115 ELT 593 (SC)
- (ii) The Supreme Court in Andhra Petro chemical v. CC [1997] 90 ELT 275 (SC) has held that, Design and engineering charges are includible in the assessable value when goods imported are specially manufactured on the basis of design and engineering specifications.  
Post-importation design and engineering charges – Includible, if related to imported goods and paid as a condition of sale: Designing and engineering charges which have gone into erection, commissioning and supervision or short – term and long-term tests of machinery/ equipments in India or the value of the drawings and documents for use during construction, erection, assembly etc. of imported goods, which is relatable to post import activity, will be includible in the value of imported goods if the same is paid as condition of sale of imported goods.
- (i) The statement is valid. Where there is no requirement in the contract for independent inspection and the inspection is carried out by foreign supplier on his own and is not required for the purpose of fulfilling the condition of the contract, then such charges incurred on inspection are not includible in assessable value.  
- Bombay Dyeing & Mfg. v. CC [1997] 90 ELT 276 (SC)

Q33. M/s. Hind IT Co. imported laptops with Hard Disc Drivers (HDD) preloaded with operating software like Windows XP, XP home etc. The department has claimed that the said laptop along with the operating software was classifiable and assessable as a single unit. It is the claim of the assessee that the software loaded HDD should be classified and assessed separately as an exemption is available as per notification issued under section 25(1) of the Customs Act, 1962. Decide with a brief not whether the action proposed by the department is correct in law.

Ans. The action proposed by the Department is correct in law. The facts of the case are similar to CC v. Hewlett Packard India Sales (P) Ltd. [2007] 215 ELT 484 (SC). In this case, the Supreme Court observed that the pre – loaded operating system recorded in HDD in the laptop (item of import) forms an integral part of the laptop as the laptop cannot work without the operating system. A laptop without an operating system is like an empty building. Hence, laptop should be treated as one single unit and assessed accordingly. However, if the operating system had been imported as packaged software like an accessory, then the benefit of exemption notification would have been available on it.

Q34. Miss Priya imported certain goods weighing 1,000 Kgs. with CIF value US \$ 45,000. Exchange rate was 1 US \$ = Rs.65 on the date of presentation of bill of entry. Basic customs duty is chargeable @ 10% and SWS is applicable. IGST is 18% and GST compensation cess in NIL. As per Notification issued by the Government of India, anti – dumping duty has been imposed on these goods. The anti – dumping duty will be equal to difference between amount calculated @ US \$ 60 per kg. and 'landed value' of goods. You are required to compute custom duty and anti – dumping duty payable by Miss Priya.

Ans. The following points are to be taken note of –

- (i) For the purposes of the notifications imposing anti – dumping duty, "landed value" means the assessable value as determined under the Customs act, 1962 and includes all duties of customs except duties levied u/s 3, 8B, 9 and 9A of the said Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

(ii) No SWS is imposable on anti – dumping duty.

|                                                                                                                                                                            |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| CIF Value of the consignment (in Rs.) [US \$ 45000 X 65] [Assessable Value]                                                                                                | 29,25,000 |
| Add: Basic Customs Duty @ 10%                                                                                                                                              | 2,92,500  |
| Add: SWS @ 10% on Basic Customs Duty                                                                                                                                       | 29,250    |
| Landed Value / Cost of the goods                                                                                                                                           | 32,46,750 |
| Add: Anti-dumping duty [Cost of commodity for the purposes of anti – dumping notification] [1000Kg. X US \$ 60 per Kg. X Rs. 65 per dollar – Landed Value – Rs. 32,46,750] | 6,53,250  |
| Value for purpose of IGST                                                                                                                                                  | 39,00,000 |
| Add: IGST u/s 3(7) of the CTA, 1975 [18/% of Rs. 39,00,000]                                                                                                                | 7,02,000  |
| Total duties payable [Rs. 2,92,500 + Rs. 29,250 + Rs. 6,53,250 + Rs. 7,02,000]                                                                                             | 16,77,000 |

Q35. Ganga Ltd., an Indian company located at Jaipur, imported into Indian certain commodities in July, 2018 from a country which is covered by a Notification issued under Section 9A of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975. The relevant particulars relating to import are as follows:

- CIF value of the consignment – US \$ 35,000
- Quantity imported – 700 Kgs.
- Exchange rate applicable – US \$ 1 = Rs. 62
- Basic Customs Duty (BCD) – 20%
- As per the Notification, the anti – dumping duty leviable will be 75% of the difference between the cost of the commodity calculated @ US \$ 80 per kg. and the landed value of the commodity as imported.
- IGST is 12% and GST compensation cess is NIL.

You are required to calculate the amount of total Customs duty (including anti – dumping duty) payable by Ganga Ltd.

Note: SWS may be adopted, wherever applicable. Working notes with brief reasons should form part of the answer.

Ans. Computation of total customs duty payable (amount in Rs.):

|                                                                           |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Computation of Landed Value:                                              |           |
| Total CIF value in INR = US \$ 35,000 x Rs. 62 being Assessable Value [A] | 21,70,000 |
| Basic customs duty (BCD) @ 20% [B]                                        | 4,34,000  |
| SWS @ 10% on BCD (rounded off) [C]                                        | 43,400    |
| Landed Value / Cost of the goods [D]                                      | 26,47,400 |
| Add: Anti-dumping duty (See Note) [E]                                     | 6,18,450  |
| Value for purpose of IGST [F]                                             | 32,65,850 |
| Add: IGST u/s 3(7) of the CTA, 1975 [12% of Rs 32,65,850] [G]             | 3,91,902  |
| Total customs duty payable [(B) + (C) + (D) + (E) + (G)]                  | 14,87,752 |
| Note: Computation of Anti – dumping duty payable:                         |           |
| Cost of commodity = 700Kg X US \$ 80 X Rs. 62                             | 34,72,000 |
| Less: Landed value of goods                                               | 26,47,400 |
| Difference [D]                                                            | 8,24,600  |
| Anti – dumping duty (rounded off) [(E) = 75% of (D)]                      | 6,18,450  |

Always, put working note in answer to get marks in exam. For presentation, Follow ICAI RTP/MTP answer.

Q36. From the particulars given below, find out the assessable value of the imported goods under the Customs Act, 1962:

| Particulars                                                                              | US \$  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| (1) Cost of the machine at the factory of the exporting country                          | 10,000 |
| (2) Transport charges incurred by the exporter from his factory to the port for shipment | 500    |
| (3) Handling charges paid for loading the machine in the ship                            | 50     |
| (4) Buying commission paid by the importer                                               | 50     |
| (5) Freight charges from exporting country to India                                      | 1,000  |
| (6) Exchange rate to be considered: 1 \$ = Rs60                                          |        |

Ans. Computation of Assessable Value of the Imported Goods –

|                                                             |    |           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| Cost of the machine at the factory of the exporting country | \$ | 10,000.00 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

|                                                                                              |     |             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| Transport charges incurred by the exporter from his factory to the port for shipment [WN -2] | \$  | 500.00      |
| Handling charges paid for loading machine in ship                                            | \$  | 50.00       |
| FOB Value                                                                                    | \$  | 10,550.00   |
| Buying commission paid by the importer [WN – 1]                                              | \$  | Nil         |
| Freight charges from exporting country to India                                              | \$  | 1,000.00    |
| Insurance Charges @ 1.125% of FOB [WN-3]                                                     | \$  | 118.69      |
| CIF Value                                                                                    | \$  |             |
| CIF Value in Indian Rs. (CIF Value x Rs.60) being Assessable Value                           | Rs. | 11,668.69   |
| (Rounded off)                                                                                |     | 7,00,121.00 |

## Working Notes:

- (1) Buying commission is not included in the assessable value as per Rule 10(1)(a) (i) of the Customs Valuation Rules, 2007.
- (2) Transport charges incurred by the exporter from his factory to the port for shipment have been included as it is logical to presume that the same have been recovered separately from the importer.
- (3) Insurance charges have been included @ 1.125% of FOB value of goods.

## Q37. Computation of assessable value & customs duty:

- (1) Vishal Industries imported goods from U.S.A., CIF Value bearing US \$ 2600. Air freight 500 US \$, insurance cost 100 US \$, landing charges are not ascertainable.
- (2) Date of bill of entry is 25-09-2018 and basic custom duty on this date is 10% and exchange rate notified by Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs in US \$ = Rs. 62.
- (3) Date of entry inward is 21-10-2018. Basic customs duty on this date is 20% and exchange rate notified by Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs in US \$ 1= Rs. 60.
- (4) Integrated tax under section 3 (7) of the Customs Tariff Act is 12%. Compute the assessable value and amount of total customs duty payable under the Customs Act, 1962. Make suitable assumptions, where required. Working notes should form part of your answer.

## Ans. Computation of Assessable value and customs duty payable thereon:

|                                                                                |     |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|
| CIF Value                                                                      | \$  | 2,600    |
| Less: Air freight                                                              | \$  | 500      |
| Less: Insurance                                                                | \$  | 100      |
| FOB                                                                            | \$  | 2,000    |
| Add: Air freight (2,000 X 20%) [WN – 1]                                        | \$  | 400      |
| Add: Insurance (Actual)                                                        | \$  | 100      |
| CIF value being Assessable Value for customs purposes                          | \$  | 2,500    |
| Exchange rate [WN -2]                                                          | Rs. | 62       |
| Assessable value in Indian Rupees = US \$ 2,500 X Rs. 62                       | Rs. | 1,55,000 |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 20% (Rs. 1,55,000 X 20%) [WN – 3]                     | Rs. | 31,000   |
| Add: SWS @ 10% of BCD                                                          |     | 3,100    |
| Total for Integrated Tax                                                       | Rs. | 1,89,100 |
| Add: Integrated tax leviable u/s 3(7) @ 12% (Rs. 1,89,100 X 12%)               | Rs. | 22,692   |
| Total customs duty payable (Rs. 31,000 + Rs. 3,100 + Rs. 22,692) (rounded off) | Rs. | 56,792   |

## Working Notes:

- (1) In case of goods imported by air, freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB price – Rule 10 of Customs Valuation Rules.
- (2) Rate of exchange notified by CBFC on the date of filing of bill of entry to be considered – Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962.
- (3) Rate of duty will be rate in force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or on the date of arrival of the aircraft, whichever is later – Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Q38. 15000 Chalices were imported for charitable distribution in India by XY Charitable Trust. The Trust did not pay either for the cost of goods or for the design and development charges, which was

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

borne by the supplier. Customs officer computed its FOB value at US \$ 20,000 (including design and development charges), which was accepted by the Trust. Other details obtained were as follows:

| Particulars                                                          | Amount                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Freight paid (air) (in USD)                                          | 4,500                    |
| Design & Development charges paid in USA (in USD)                    | 2,500                    |
| Commission payable to an agent in India (in Rs.)                     | 12,500                   |
| Exchange rate and rate of basic duty notified by CBIC is as follows: |                          |
| Date of Bill of Entry                                                | BCD Exchange Rate in Rs. |
| 08-09-2018                                                           | 20% 60                   |
| Date of Entry Inward                                                 | BCD Exchange Rate in Rs. |
| 30-09-2018                                                           | 30% 62                   |
| While the inter – bank rate was 1 USD = Rs. 63                       |                          |
| Integrated Tax payable u/s 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975      | 12%                      |
| SWS as applicable                                                    |                          |

Compute the Assessable value and amount of total customs duty payable under the Customs Act, 1962. Make suitable assumptions where required. Working notes should form part of your answer.

**Ans. Computation of assessable value and customs duty payable thereon –**

| Particulars                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Amount       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| FOB value computed by Customs Officer (including design and development charges) (in US \$)                                                                                                                                                         | 20,000.00    |
| Exchange rate (for 1 US \$) [Rate of exchange notified by CBFC on the date of filing of bill of entry to be considered – Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962]                                                                                       | 60.00        |
| FOB Value in Indian Rupees                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 12,00,000.00 |
| Add: Commission payable to agent in India                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 12,500.00    |
| Customs FOB Value                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 12,12,500.00 |
| Add: Air freight (Rs. 12,12,500 X 20%) [In case of goods imported by air, freight cannot exceed 20% of Customs FOB value – Rule 10 Customs Valuation Rules]                                                                                         | 2,42,500.00  |
| Add: Insurance (1.125% of Rs. 12,12,500) [Insurance charges, when not ascertainable, have to be included @ 1.125% of Customs FOB value of goods – Rule 10 of Customs Valuation Rules]                                                               | 13,640.63    |
| CIF value for customs purposes being Assessable Value                                                                                                                                                                                               | 14,68,640.63 |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 30% (Rs. 14,68,640.63 X 30%) [Rate of duty will be the rate in force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or on the date of arrival of the aircraft, whichever is later – Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962] [A] | 4,40,592.19  |
| Add: SWS @ 10% of Rs. 4,40,592.19 [B]                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 44,059.21    |
| Total for Integrated Tax                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 19,53,292.03 |
| Add: Integrated tax leviable u/s 3(7) of Customs Tariff Act (CTA) @ 12% (Rs. 19,53,292.03 x 12%) [C]                                                                                                                                                | 2,34,395.04  |
| Total customs duty payable (Rs. 4,40,592.19 + Rs. 44,059.21 + Rs. 2,34,395.04) [A + B + C] (rounded off)                                                                                                                                            | 7,19,046.44  |

Q39. Mr. Backpack imported goods from UK supplier by air, which was contracted on CIF basis. However, there were changes in prices in the international market between the date of contract and actual importation. As a result of several negotiations, the parties agreed for a negotiated price payable as follows:

| Particulars | Contract Price (£) | Changed Price (£) | Negotiated Price (£) |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| CIF Value   | 5,000              | 5,800             | 5,500                |
| Air Freight | 300                | 600               | 500                  |
| Insurance   | 500                | 650               | 600                  |

Other details for computing assessable value and duty payable are as tabled below:

| Particulars                                                                      | Amount (£) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Vendor inspection charges (not required for making the goods ready for shipment) | 600        |
| Commission payable to local agent 1% of FOB in local currency                    |            |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



| Date of Bill of Entry       | Basic Customs Duty | Exchange rate in Rs. (notified by CBIC) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 18-02-2018                  | 10%                | 102                                     |
| Date of arrival of aircraft | Basic Customs Duty | Exchange rate in Rs. (notified by CBIC) |
| 15-02-2018                  | 15%                | 98                                      |

\*Inter – bank rate 1 UK Pound = Rs. 106

Compute the assessable value and calculate basic customs duty payable by Mr. Backpack.

Ans. Computation of assessable value and calculate basic customs duty payable –

|                                                                                          |     |             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| CIF value (negotiated price) [WN -1]                                                     | £   | 5,500.00    |
| Less: Air freight                                                                        | £   | 500.00      |
| Less: Insurance                                                                          | £   | 600.00      |
| FOB Value                                                                                | £   | 4,400.00    |
| Add: Vendor inspection charges [WN -2]                                                   | £   | -           |
| Freight [WN – 3]                                                                         | £   | 500.00      |
| Insurance – Actual                                                                       | £   | 600.00      |
|                                                                                          | £   | 5,500.00    |
| Exchange rate is Rs. 102 per £ [SN-4]                                                    |     |             |
| Value in Rupees                                                                          | Rs. | 5,61,000.00 |
| Add: Commission payable to local agent [1% of FOB] = (US \$ 4,400 X Rs. 102) x 1% [WN-5] | Rs. | 4,488.00    |
| CIF Value being Assessable Value                                                         | Rs. | 5,65,488.00 |
| Add: Basic custom duty @ 10% (Rs. 5,65,488 x 10% [WN-6])                                 | Rs. | 56,548.80   |
| Add: SWS (10% of Rs. 56,548.80)                                                          | Rs. | 5,654.88    |
| Customs duty payable [rounded off]                                                       | Rs. | 62,203.68   |

Working Notes:

- (1) The value of the imported goods is the transaction value, which means the price actually paid or payable for the goods. In this case, since the contract was re – negotiated and the importer paid the re – negotiated price, the transaction value would be such re – negotiated price and not the contract price.
- (2) Where there is no requirement in the contract for independent inspection and the inspection is carried out by foreign supplier on his own and is not required for the purpose of fulfilling the condition of the contract, then such charges incurred on inspection are not includible in assessable value – Bombay Dyeing & Mfg. v. CC [1997] 90 ELT 276 (SC)
- (3) In case of goods imported by air, freight cannot exceed 20% of FOB price. Since actual freight is not more than 20% of FOB value, actual air freight is considered as per Rule 10(2) of Customs Valuation Rules.
- (4) Rate of exchange notified by CBIC on the date of filing of entry to be considered – Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962.
- (5) Local agent's commission is includible in the assessable value as per Rule 10(1)(a)(i).
- (6) Rate of duty will be the rate of force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or on the date of arrival of the aircraft, whichever is later – Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Q40. Compute the assessable value of the machine imported by M/s. Exports India Pvt. Ltd. under the Customs Act, 1962.

|                                         |                   |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| FOB price of the machine [US \$]        | 10,000            |
| Air freight paid [US \$]                | 2,500             |
| Insurance for transit of machine        | Not Ascertainable |
| Cost of development work in India (Rs.) | 40,000            |
| Local agent's commission (Rs.)          | 10,000            |
| Cost of local transport (Rs.)           | 5,000             |

Exchange rate applicable US \$ 1 = Rs. 60. Provide explanation for your answer.

Ans. Computation of Assessable Value –

|                                                                      |     |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| FOB price of the machine                                             | \$  | 10,000.00 |
| Exchange rate to be applied in 1 US \$ = Rs. 60, as notified by CBFC | Rs. | 60.00     |

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

|                                                                                                                               |     |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| FOB price in Indian Rupees                                                                                                    | Rs. | 6,00,000.00 |
| Add: Commission to the Agent (since not buying commission, hence includible)                                                  | Rs. | 10,000.00   |
| Customs FOB Value                                                                                                             | Rs. | 6,10,000.00 |
| Add: Air freight (Actual Air freight i.e. Rs. 2,500 x 60 = Rs. 1,50,000 cannot exceed 20% of Customs FOB value of the goods.) | Rs. | 1,22,000.00 |
| Add: Insurance charges @ 1.125% of the Customs FOB Value                                                                      | Rs. | 6,862.50    |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable Value                                                                                        | Rs. | 7,38,862.50 |

**Working Notes:**

(1) Cost of local transport is not includible in assessable value as it is a post important activity.

(2) Cost of development work in India is not includible in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(b)].

**Q41.** Determine the assessable value for the purpose of Customs Act, 1962 from the following information in respect of import of a machine from UK:

|                                                |          |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|
| FOB Value                                      | £ 6,000  |
| Air Freight                                    | £ 1,500  |
| Design and development charges paid in UK      | £ 500    |
| Design and development charges paid in India   | £ 10,000 |
| Commission paid to local agent 1% of FOB Value |          |

Date of Bill of Entry 10-4-2018 (Exchange rate notified by CBIC £ 1 = Rs. 100)

Date of Entry Inward 20-4-2018 (Exchange rate notified by CBIC £ 1 = Rs. 95)

Insurance charges are not ascertainable. Make assumptions where required and provide suitable explanations.

**Ans. Computation of Assessable Value under the Customs Act, 1962 –**

|                                                                                      |     |             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| FOB Value                                                                            | £   | 6,000.00    |
| Add: Design and development charges paid in UK [WN -1]                               | £   | 500.00      |
| Add: Commission to the Agent @ 1% of FOB Value [WN – 2]                              | £   | 60.00       |
| Customs FOB value                                                                    |     | 6,560.00    |
| Add: Air freight (restricted to 20% of Customs FOB value of goods)                   | £   | 1,312.00    |
| Add: Insurance charges (1.125% of Customs FOB) (since not ascertainable)             | £   | 73.80       |
| CIF value                                                                            | £   | 7,945.80    |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 Pound = Rs. 100, prevalent on date of bill of entry | Rs. | 100         |
| Total CIF value in Indian Rs. Being Assessable Value                                 | Rs. | 7,94,580.00 |

**Working Notes:**

(1) Design & development charges paid in India have not been considered on the presumption that the same have been paid for design & development work undertaken in India. Rule 10(1)(b) of the Customs Valuation Rules provides for inclusion of only those design & development charges which have been paid for design & development work undertaken elsewhere than in India.

(2) Local agent's commission is includible in the assessable value as per Rule 10(1)(a)(i).

**Q42.** Compute the assessable value and Custom duty payable from the following information

- (i) FOB value of machine – 8,000 UK Pounds
- (ii) Freight paid (air) – 2,500 UK Pounds
- (iii) Design and development charges paid in UK – 500 UK Pounds
- (iv) Commission payable to local agent @ 2% of FOB in India Rs.
- (v) Date of bill of entry – 24-10-2018 (Rate BCD 20%, Exchange rate as notified by CBIC Rs. 100 per UK Pound)
- (vi) Date of entry inward – 20-10-2018 (Rate BCD 18%, Exchange rate as notified by CBIC Rs. 95 per UK Pound)
- (vii) Integrated tax payable @ 12%
- (viii) GST Compensation cess – NIL
- (ix) Insurance charges actually paid but details not available.

**Ans. Computation of Assessable value & customs duty –**

|          |   |       |
|----------|---|-------|
| FOB cost | £ | 8,000 |
|----------|---|-------|

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

|                                                                           |     |              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| Add: Design and development charges paid in UK                            | £   | 500          |
| Total                                                                     | £   | 8,500        |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 £ (Pound) = Rs. 100, as notified by CBIC | Rs. | 100          |
| Total sum in Indian Rs.                                                   | Rs. | 8,50,000     |
| Add: Commission to the Agent @ 2% of FOB cost x Rs. 100 per pound         | Rs. | 16,000       |
| FOB Value as per customers                                                | Rs. | 8,66,000     |
| Add: Insurance charges (1.125% of customs FOB)                            | Rs. | 9,742.50     |
| Add: Air freight (20% of customs FOB)                                     | Rs. | 1,73,200.00  |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable Value                                    | Rs. | 10,48,942.50 |
| Add: Basic Customs duty @ 20% [1]                                         | Rs. | 2,09,788.50  |
| Add: SWS @ 10% [2]                                                        | Rs. | 20,978.85    |
| Total for Integrated tax leviable u/s 3(7)                                | Rs. | 12,79,709.85 |
| Add: Integrated tax u/s 3(7) @ 12% [3]                                    | Rs. | 1,53,565.18  |
| Total imported cost (rounded off)                                         | Rs. | 14,33,275.03 |
| Total customs duty payable – [1] + [2] + [3] (rounded off)                | Rs. | 3,84,332.53  |

Q43. Compute the duty payable under the Customs Act, 1962 for an imported equipment based on the following information:

- Assessable value of the imported equipments US \$ 10,100.
- Date of Bill of Entry 25-4-2018 basic customs duty on this date 20% and exchange rate notified by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs US \$ 1 = Rs. 65.
- Date of Entry inwards 21-4-2018 Basic customs duty on this date 16% and exchange rate notified by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs U \$ 1 = Rs. 50.
- Integrated tax payable under Section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975: 12%.
- GST Compensation Cess: NIL
- SWS @ 10%.

Make suitable assumptions where required and show the relevant workings and round off you answer to the nearest Rupee.

Ans. The relevant computations are shown below –

|                                                                      |     |             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| Assessable Value                                                     | \$  | 10,100      |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 Pound = Rs. 65, as notified by CBIC | Rs. | 65          |
| Assessable value                                                     | Rs. | 6,65,500.00 |
| Add: Basic Customs duty @ 20% [1]                                    | Rs. | 1,33,100.00 |
| Add: SWS @ 10% [2]                                                   | Rs. | 13,310.00   |
| Total for Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7)                 | Rs. | 8,11,910.00 |
| Add: Integrated tax u/s 3(7) @ 12% [3]                               | Rs. | 97,429.20   |
| Total imported cost (rounded off)                                    | Rs. | 9,09,339.20 |
| Total customs duty payable = [1] + [2] + [3] (rounded off)           | Rs. | 2,43,839.20 |

Q44. Infotech limited has imported a machine from Japan at an FOB cost of 50,000 yen (Japanese).

The other expenses incurred are as follows:

- Freight from Japan to Indian Port 5,000 yen;
- Insurance paid to insurer in India Rs. 2,500;
- Designing charges paid to consultancy firm in Japan 7,500 yen;
- M/s. Infotech spent Rs. 25,000 in India for development work connected with the machine;
- Transportation cost from India Port to Factory Rs. 7,500;
- CBIC had announced exchange rate of 1 yen = Rs. 0.60 by Notification under Section 14(3) of the Customs Act, 1962. The exchange rate prevailing on that day in the market was = Rs. 0.61;
- M/s. Infotech made payment to the Bank based on an exchange rate of 1 yen = Rs. 0.62;
- The commission payable to the agent in India was at 5% of the FOB price in Indian Rs.

Clearly showing your workings to arrive at the total Assessable value in Rs. For purposes of levy of customs duty.

Ans. Computation of assessable value –

|          |     |        |
|----------|-----|--------|
| FOB cost | Yen | 50,000 |
|----------|-----|--------|

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

|                                                                                  |     |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Add: Design charges                                                              | Yen | 7,500     |
| Add: Freight                                                                     | Yen | 5,000     |
| Total                                                                            | Yen | 62,500    |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 yen = Rs. 0.60, as notified by CBIC             | Rs. | 0.6       |
| Total sum in Indian Rs.                                                          | Rs. | 37,500.00 |
| Add: Commission to the Agent [5% of FOB value of good] i.e. [5% of (50,000X0.6)] | Rs. | 1,500.00  |
| Add: Insurance charges                                                           | Rs. | 2,500.00  |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable value                                           | Rs. | 41,500.00 |

Q45. Compute the assessable value for purpose of determination of Customs duty from the following date:

|                                                                      |                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                                                      | US \$                |
| Machinery imported from USA by air (FOB price)                       | 4,000                |
| Accessories compulsorily supplied along with the machinery           | 1,000                |
| Air freight                                                          | 1,200                |
| Insurance charges                                                    | Actual not available |
| Local agent's commission to be paid in Indian Currency               | Rs. 9,300            |
| Transportation from Indian Airport to factory                        | Rs. 4,000            |
| Exchange rate US \$ 1 = Rs. 60. Provide explanation where necessary. |                      |

Ans. Computation of Assessable Value of Machinery and Accessories –

| Particulars                                                                    | Accessories<br>US \$ | Machinery<br>US \$ |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| FOB price                                                                      | 1,000.00             | 4,000.00           |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 \$ = Rs. 60, as notified by CBIC              | Rs.                  | Rs.                |
| Total sum in Indian Rs.                                                        | 60,000.00            | 2,40,000.00        |
| Add: Commission to the Agent [Allocated on pro rate basis = 60,000 : 2,40,000] | 1,860.00             | 7,440.00           |
| Customs FOB value in Indian Rupees                                             | 61,860.00            | 2,47,440.00        |
| Add: Air freight (restricted to 20% of Customs FOB value of goods)             | 12,372.00            | 49,488.00          |
| Add: Insurance charges @ 1.125% of the Customs FOB Value                       | 695.93               | 2,783.70           |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable Value                                         | 74,927.93            | 2,99,711.70        |

Working Notes:

- (1) Since the price of the accessories is not included in the price of the machinery and is charged separately, the accessories will not be charged at the same rate as applicable to the machinery. Hence, separate assessable values for the machinery and accessories have been computed.
- (2) Transportation charges from Indian airport to factory of importer are not includible in the assessable value.

Q46. A material was imported by air at CIF price of 5,000 US \$. Freight paid was 1,500 US \$ and insurance cost was 500 US \$. The banker realized the payment from importer at the exchange rate of Rs. 61 per dollar. Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs notified the exchange rate as Rs. 60 per US \$. Find the value of the material for the purpose of levying duty.

Ans. Computation of assessable value –

|                                                                                        |       |            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| CIF value                                                                              | US \$ | 5,000.0    |
| Less: Air freight                                                                      | US \$ | 1,500.0    |
| Insurance charges                                                                      | US \$ | 500.0      |
| FOB value                                                                              | US \$ | 3,000.0    |
| Add: Air Freight restricted to 20% of FOB value                                        | US \$ | 600.0      |
| Insurance charges (actual)                                                             | US \$ | 500.0      |
| CIF value (for customs purposes)                                                       | US \$ | 4,100.0    |
| CIF Value in Indian Rs. (CIF Value in US \$ x Rs. 60 per US \$) being Assessable Value | Rs.   | 2,46,000.0 |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Q47. An importer imported some goods for subsequent sale in India at \$ 12,000 on CIF basis. Relevant exchange rate as notified by the Central Government / CBIC and RBI was Rs. 60 and Rs. 60.50 respectively. The item imported attracts basic duty at 10%. Integrated tax @ 12%. GST compensation cess – Nil. Arrive at the Assessable value and the total duty payable thereon.

Ans. The solution is as follows:

|                                                                           |     |             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| CIF Value                                                                 | \$  | 12,000      |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 \$ (Dollar) = Rs.60, as notified by CBIC | Rs. | 60          |
| Total CIF Value in Indian Rs. Being Assessable value                      | Rs. | 7,20,000.00 |
| Add: Basic Customs duty @ 10% [1]                                         | Rs. | 72,000.00   |
| Add: SWS @ 10% [2]                                                        | Rs. | 7,200.00    |
| Total for Integrated tax leviable u/s 3(7)                                | Rs. | 7,99,200.00 |
| Add: Integrated tax u/s 3(7) @ 12% [3]                                    | Rs. | 95,904.00   |
| Total imported cost (rounded off)                                         | Rs. | 8,95,104.00 |
| Total customs duty payable = [1] + [2] + [3] (rounded off)                | Rs. | 1,75,104.00 |

Q48. XYZ Industries Ltd., has imported certain equipment from Japan at an FOB cost of 2,00,000 Yen (Japanese). The other expenses incurred by M/s. XYZ Industries in this connection are as follows:

- Freight from Japan to Indian port: 20,000 Yen
- Insurance paid to Insurer in India : Rs. 10,000
- Designing charges paid to Consultancy firm in Japan : 30,000 yen
- M/s. XYZ Industries had expended Rs. 1,00,000 in India for certain development activities with respect to the imported equipment.
- XYZ Industries Ltd. had incurred road transport cost from Mumbai port to their factory in Karnataka: Rs. 30,000
- The Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs had notified for purpose of Section 14(3) of the Customs Act, 1962 exchange rate of 1 yen = Rs. 0.6948
- The inter bank exchange rate was 1 yen = Rs. 0.60
- M/s. XYZ Industries had effected payment to the Bank based on exchange rate 1 yen = Rs. 0.6150
- The commission payable to the agent in India was 5% of FOB cost of the equipment in Indian Rupees.

Arrive at the assessable value for the purposes of Customs duty under the customs Act, 1962 providing brief notes wherever required with appropriate assumptions.

Ans. Computation of assessable value –

|                                                                               |     |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| FOB cost                                                                      | Yen | 2,00,000    |
| Add: Freight from japan to Indian port                                        | Yen | 20,000      |
| Add: Design charges paid to Consultancy firm in Japan                         | Yen | 30,000      |
| Total                                                                         | Yen | 2,50,000    |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 Japanese yen = Rs0.6948, as notified by CBIC | Rs. | 0.6948      |
| Total sum in Indian Rs.                                                       | Rs. | 1,73,700.00 |
| Add: Insurance charges paid in Indian Rs.                                     | Rs. | 10,000.00   |
| Add: Commission to the Agent @ 5% of FOB value (5% of 200,000.00 x 0.6948)    | Rs. | 6,948.00    |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable Value                                        | Rs. | 1,90,648.00 |

Working Notes:

- Rule 10(1) (b)(iv) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 inter alia provides that value of development work undertaken elsewhere than in India is includible in the value of the imported goods. Thus, development charges paid for work done in India have not been included for the purposes of arriving at the assessable value.
- As per Rule 10(2)(a) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, the cost of transport of the imported goods up to the place of importation is includible for the



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

purpose of valuation. Thus, transport cost from Mumbai port (place of importation) to the factory in Karnataka has not been considered for the purpose of customs valuation.

Q49. Assessable value of an item imported is Rs.1,00,000. Basic customs duty is 20%, Integrated tax is 12%, SWS is 10% on customs duty. No GST compensation Cess is leviable. Compute the amount of total customs duty payable. Also, state the amount of credit available to the importer and how it can be utilized by him.

Ans. Computation of total amount of customs duty payable (amount in Rs.) –

|                                                            |          |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Assessable Value                                           | 1,00,000 |
| Basic Customs Duty (BCD) @ 20% [1]                         | 20,000   |
| Add: SWS @ 10% [2]                                         | 2,000    |
| Total for Integrated tax leviable u/s 3(7)                 | 1,22,000 |
| Add: Integrated tax u/s 3(7) @ 12% [3]                     | 14,640   |
| Total imported cost (rounded off)                          | 1,36,640 |
| Total customs duty payable = [1] + [2] + [3] (rounded off) | 36,640   |

Total credit available to the importer shall be equal to the Integrated tax leviable under Section 3(7) of the Custom Tariff Act i.e. Rs. 14,640. It can be utilized for the payment of GST.

Q50. BSA & Company Ltd. have imported a machine from U.K. from the following particulars furnished by them, arrive at the assessable value for the purpose of customs duty payable:

|                                                                                         |                    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| (i) FOB value                                                                           | 10,000 U.K. Pounds |
| (ii) Freight (air)                                                                      |                    |
| (iii) Engineering and design charges paid to a firm in U.K.                             | 3,000 U.K. Pounds  |
| (iv) License fee relating to imported goods payable by the buyer as a condition of sale | 500 U.K. Pounds    |
| (v) Materials and components supplied by the buyer free of cost valued                  | 20% of F.O.B. Cost |
| (vi) Insurance paid to the insurer in India                                             | Rs. 20,000         |
| (vii) Buying commission paid by the buyer to his agent in U.K.                          | Rs. 6,000          |
|                                                                                         | 100 U.K. Pounds    |

Other particulars:

- (i) Inter – bank exchange rate as arrived by the authorized dealer: Rs. 98 per U.K. Pound.
- (ii) CBIC had notified for purpose of Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1944, exchange rate of Rs. 100 per U.K. Pound.
- (iii) Importer paid Rs. 5,000 towards demurrage charges for delay in clearing the machine from the Airport.

(Make suitable assumptions wherever required and show workings with explanations)

Ans. Computation of assessable value –

| Particulars                                                                                                     | UK Pound     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| FOB cost of the machine                                                                                         | 10,000.00    |
| Exchange rate to be applied is 1 UK Pound = Rs.100, as notified by CBIC                                         | Rs.          |
| FOB Cost of the machine in INR                                                                                  | 10,00,000.00 |
| Add: Materials and components supplied by the supplied by the buyer free of cost valued Commission to the Agent | 20,000.00    |
| [The same is includible in the assessable value as per rule 10(1) (b)]                                          |              |
| Add: Engineering and design charges paid to a firm in U.K. (includible as per Rule 10(1)(b))                    | 50,000.00    |
| Add: Licence fees relatable to imported goods paid as a condition of sale (20% of FOB value of goods)           | 2,00,000.00  |
| [The same is includible in the assessable value as per rule 10(1)(c)]                                           |              |
| Customs FOB value in INR                                                                                        | 12,70,000.00 |
| Add: Air freight actual Rs. 3,00,000 restricted to 20% of customs FOB value                                     | 2,54,000.00  |
| Add: Insurance paid to insurers in India [The same is includible in the assessable value as per rule 10(2)(c)]  | 6,000.00     |
| Total CIF Value being Assessable Value                                                                          | 15,30,000.00 |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Q51. M/s. AMTL Ltd., Kolkata imported CNC Grinding machine from Catalyst Inc. USA, complete with accessories and spares in October 2017 for use in the manufacture of high precision micro tools.

Basic cost of machine with accessories US \$ F.O.B. 50,000. Catalyst Inc. supplied one extra set of accessories valued at US \$ 2,000 free of cost to cover for transit damage.

Other details available were as follows:

|    | Particulars                                                                                                                             |     | Amount |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| 1. | Warranty Cost Payable to Catalyst Inc. (Not included in the cost of the Machine i.e. US \$ 50000)                                       | \$  | 4,500  |
| 2. | Design & Development charges paid in USA (Not included in the cost of the Machine i.e. U.S. \$ 50000)                                   | \$  | 6,000  |
| 3. | Licence Fee, AMTL is required to pay in USA                                                                                             | \$  | 1,000  |
| 4. | Value of Drawings supplied by AMTL Ltd. Kolkata free of cost and is necessary for customizing machine to the needs of AMTL Ltd. Kolkata | \$  | 1,000  |
| 5. | Freight by AIR                                                                                                                          | \$  | 15,000 |
| 6. | Buying Commission paid to Indian Agent in India                                                                                         | Rs. | 30,000 |

Bill of Entry presented on 10-11-2017 and the rate of exchange notified by CBIC on this date was Rs. 66.25 per US \$ and rate of BCD was 7.5%.

Date of arrival of aircraft was 06-11-2017 and rate of exchange notified by CBIC on this date was Rs. 66.50 per US \$ and rate of BCD was 7.5%.

Integrated Tax leviable @ 12%. GST compensation cess: Nil

Machine was insured but Insurance premium was not shown / available in / from the invoice.

From the above particulars, compute the assessable value for purpose of customs duty payable.

Make suitable assumptions wherever required.

Working notes should form part of you answer.

Note: Customs duty calculations need not be shown.

Ans. Computation of Assessable value:

| Particulars                                                                             |     | Amount       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| FOB value of machine with accessories                                                   | \$  | 50,000.00    |
| Add: Extra set of accessories supplied free of cost to cover for transit damage [WN -1] | \$  | Nil          |
| Buying commission [WN-2]                                                                | \$  | Nil          |
| Warranty cost [WN-3]                                                                    | \$  | 4,500.00     |
| Design and development charges [WN-4]                                                   | \$  | 6,000.00     |
| License fee [WN-5]                                                                      | \$  | 1,000.00     |
| Value of drawings supplied by AMTL Ltd. [WN-6]                                          | \$  | 1,000.00     |
| Customs FOB Value                                                                       | \$  | 62,500.00    |
| Add: Air freight i.e. 20% of US \$ 62,500 [WN-7]                                        | \$  | 12,500.00    |
| Insurance @ 1.125% of US \$ 62,500 [WN-8]                                               | \$  | 703.13       |
| CIF Value                                                                               | \$  | 75,703.13    |
| Exchange rate is Rs. 66.25 per \$ [WN-9]                                                | Rs. | 66.25        |
| Assessable value in Rupees                                                              | Rs. | 50,15,332.03 |

Working Notes:

- (1) Sale price of machine is deemed to include the value of such accessories.
- (2) Buying commission is not included in the assessable value as per Rule 10(1)(a)(i) of the Customs Valuation Rules, 2007.
- (3) Warranty costs is includible, as the same is related to imported machine and is payable as a consideration of sale of the imported goods, being machine.
- (4) Rule 10(1)(1)(b) of the Customs Valuation Rules provides for inclusion of only those design & development charges which have been paid for design & development work undertaken elsewhere than in India.
- (5) License fees is includible in the assessable value as per rule 10(1)(c) of the Customs Valuation Rules.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

- (6) Value of Drawings supplied by the buyer free of cost and is necessary for customizing machine to the needs of buyer, hence will be included in the assessable value as per Rule 10(1)(b) of Customs Valuation Rules. However, if the same is undertaken in India, then the same shall not form part of Assessable Value.
- (7) In case of goods imported by air, freight cannot exceed 20% of Customs FOB value as per Rule 10(2) of Customs Valuation Rules.
- (8) Insurance charges not ascertainable are to be added @ 1.125% of customs FOB value in terms of rule 10 of Customs Valuation Rules.
- (9) Rate of exchange notified by CBIC on the date of filing of bill of entry is to be considered as per Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Q52. M/s. S.A.S. imported 10,000 citizen calculators model no. CT 500 of Chinese origin from Singapore and declared value to be US \$ 0.90 per piece in the Bill of Entry. The Customs authorities enhanced the value to be US \$ 1.80 per piece on basis of price list of citizen calculator and contemporaneous imports at the same value is the action of Customs authorities justified?

Ans. The customs authorities have enhanced the value of the goods on the basis of price lists of contemporaneous imports of identical goods. The burden now vests with the importer to prove that the price at which the said goods are imported is genuine and is not influenced by any relationship.

The facts of the case are similar to that in *SAS Impex v. CC* [2002] 144 ELT 215 (T), in which the Tribunal held that enhancement of value on the basis of contemporaneous imports is sustainable in absence of any evidence from the assessee that the price so declared in the bill of entry is genuine.

Thus, in view of the aforesaid decision, the action of the Customs authorities is justified.

Q53. Gujarat Dry Fruits Ltd., imported dry fruits and declared the value as under –

| Date of Imports | Quantity | Value declared    | Country of Import |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| November 2018   | 250 MT   | Rs. 25,000 per MT | Egypt             |
| November 2018   | 150 MT   | Rs. 25,000 per MT | Egypt             |

It was found that imports were also made by some other dealers as indicated below –

| Date of Imports                                     | Quantity | Value declared    | Country of Import |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| September 2018,<br>By importer Mumbai International | 50 MT    | Rs. 35,000 per MT | Dubai             |
| October 2018,<br>By importer Chennai Fruits Ltd.    | 20 MT    | Rs. 40,000 per MT | Persia            |

The Customs Department has sought to assess the imports made by Gujarat Dry Fruits Ltd., as “contemporaneous imports” under section 14 read with Rule 4 of the Customs Import Valuation Rules, 2007. Briefly examine whether the action proposed by the department is correct.

Ans. In the given case, the Department wants to value the imported goods as per section 14 read with Rule 4. None of the conditions of Rule 4 are satisfied i.e. Sale of the goods does not appear to be at the same commercial level and the quantity of the goods is not same. As per the definition of identical goods given in Rule 2(1)(d), the goods must be produced in the same country in which the goods being valued were produced. In the given question the goods were imported from Egypt but the other imports were from Dubai and Persia. Hence, country of importation was not same. Moreover, the Department does not seem to have any objective reason and strong evidence for not treating the declared value of the imported goods as bona fide. Hence, department's action to enhance the value is not correct according to the provisions of section 14 read with the Customs Import Valuation Rules, 2007.

Q54. When are the customs authorities precluded from enhancing the value on the basis of contemporaneous imports at higher price invoking Rule 4 of the Customs Import Valuation Rules, 2007 read with Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

**Ans.** The customs authorities are precluded from enhancing the value of the goods imported on basis of contemporaneous imports when they do not follow the methodology provided in Rule 3. The customs authorities can reject the transaction value if the conditions as provided in Rule 3 are not fulfilled. In such a case, the value will be determined proceeding sequentially through Rules 4 to 9. The value can be enhanced on the basis of identical goods or similar goods imported at or about the same time as the goods are being valued. Once the contemporaneous import does not satisfy the criteria of the identical goods or similar goods, then, the value cannot be enhanced by the customs authorities.

**Q55.** Kaveri Enterprises imported some goods from Italy. On the basis of certain information obtained through computer printouts from the Customs House, Department alleged that during the period in question, large number of consignments of such goods were imported at a much higher price than the price declared by Kaveri Enterprises. Therefore, Department valued such goods on the basis of transaction value of identical goods as per rule 4 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 and demanded the differential duty along with penalty and interest from the Kaveri Enterprises. However, Department did not provide these printouts to Kaveri Enterprises. Kaveri Enterprises contended that Department's demand was without any basis in law, without any legally admissible evidence and opposed to the principles of natural justice as the computer printouts which formed the basis of such demand had not been supplied to them. Resultantly, they had no means of knowing as to whether any imports of comparable nature were made at the relevant point of time. You are required to examine the contention of Kaveri Enterprises, with the help of a decided case law, if any.

**Ans:** The facts of the given case are similar to the case of *Gira enterprises v. CC* [2014] 307 ELT 209 (SC) decided by the Supreme Court. In the instant case, the Supreme Court observed that since Revenue did not supply the copy of the computer printout, which formed the basis of the conclusion that the appellants under – valued the imported goods, the appellants obviously could not and did not have any opportunity to demonstrate that the transactions relied upon by the Revenue were not comparable transactions. The Supreme Court held that mere existence of alleged computer printout was not proof of existence of comparable imports. Even if assumed that such printout did not exist and content thereof were true, such printout must have been supplied to the appellant and they should have been given reasonable opportunity to establish that the import transactions were not comparable.

**Q56.** Discuss how the 'value' of imported goods shall be determined in the following cases –

- Goods are offered at specially reduced price to buyer and the buyer is asked not to disclose the specially reduced price to any other party in India.
- The sale involves special discounts limited to exclusive agents.

**Ans.** The value of imported goods shall be determined in the following cases as under –

- As per Section 14, (1), the value of imported goods shall be its transaction value i.e. price actually paid or payable. Hence, even if the goods are sold at specially reduced price to a buyer, the value at which such goods are sold shall be taken to be the customs value of such goods. However, the proper officer may invoke Explanation to Rule 12, where goods offered at specially reduced price to buyer forms basis to doubt the truth or accuracy of the value.

Accordingly, the proper officer may ask the importer of such goods to furnish further information including documents or other evidence. If, after receiving such further information, or in the absence of a response of such importer, the proper officer still has reasonable doubt about the truth or accuracy of the value so declared, it shall be deemed that the value of such imported goods cannot be determined under Rule 3(1).

- Even if the sale involved special discounts limited to exclusive agents, the value at which such goods are sold shall be taken to be the customs value of such goods. However, the proper officer may invoke Explanation to Rule 12, where the sale involves special discounts limited to exclusive agents forms basis to doubt the truth or accuracy of the value.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Accordingly, the proper officer may ask the importer of such goods to furnish further information including documents or other evidence. If, after receiving such further information, or in the absence of a response of such importer, the proper officer still has reasonable doubt about the truth or accuracy of the value so declared, it shall be deemed that the value of such imported goods cannot be determined under Rule 3(1).

Q57. T Ltd. imported some goods from LMP Inc. of United States by air. You are required to compute the value for purposes of customs duty under the Customs Act, 1962 from the following particulars: GIF Value US \$ 6,000; Freight & Handling paid US \$ 2,000; Insurance Cost US \$ 700. The bank had received payment from the importer at the exchange rate of US \$ 1 = Rs. 46 while the CBIC notified exchange rate on the relevant date was US \$ 1 = Rs. 45.5.

Ans. The answer is as follows –

|                                                                                                                                                                                         |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| FOB Price [CIF \$ 6000 – Freight & Handling \$ 2000 – Insurance \$ 700]                                                                                                                 | \$3,300.00  |
| Exchange rate notified by the CBIC (in force on date of presentation of bill of entry)                                                                                                  | Rs. 45.50   |
| Customs FoB price in Indian Rs.                                                                                                                                                         | 1,50,150.00 |
| Add: Cost of transport/ handling under Rule 10(2) (a) @ 20% of FoB [Actual is 2,000 \$; while in case of import by air, it cannot exceed 20% of FoB i.e. 20% of 3,300 = 660 x Rs. 45.5] | 30,030.00   |
| Add: Insurance under Rule 10(2) (b) [Actual viz. 700 \$ x 45.5]                                                                                                                         | 31,850.00   |
| CIF or Assessable Value                                                                                                                                                                 | 2,12,030.00 |

Q58. Product 'Z' was imported by Mr. X by air. The details of transactions are:

| Particulars                                                                               | Amount \$ |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Price of 'Z' at exporter's factory                                                        | 8,500     |
| Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter) | 250       |
| Loading and handling charges at the load airport                                          | 250       |
| Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India                          | 4,500     |
| Insurance charges                                                                         | 2,000     |

Compute value of product 'Z'.

Ans. Computation of Customs Value as per Approach 1

|                                                                                                                                                                             |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| FOB Value                                                                                                                                                                   | \$9,000     |
| [Factory price + Freight from factory to foreign airport Loading at foreign airport<br>[All this shall form part of FoB means price upto loading at foreign port / airport] |             |
| Add: Cost of transport under Rule 10(2)(a) = Actual 4,500\$ but it cannot exceed 20% of FOB; hence 20% of FOB \$9,000 = \$1,800                                             | \$1,800     |
| Add: Insurance cost on actual basis under Rule 10(2)(b)                                                                                                                     | \$2,000     |
| CIF Value of Assessable Value                                                                                                                                               | \$12,800    |
| Value = \$12,800 x Rs. 60 [As per Section. 46, CBIC exchange rate on date of filing B/E is used/                                                                            | Rs.7,68,000 |

### Computation of Customs Value as per Approach 2 (used by ICAI in RTP May 2018)

The approach used by the RTP May 2018 is that –

- ♦ Rule 10(2) (a) covers freight/ handling in foreign country: total of all freight and handling cost (including that incurred at the foreign port of export or foreign country) shall be addable as per provisions of Rule 10(2) (a) and in case of import by air, said total cannot exceed 20% of FoB.
- ♦ FoB: FoB for this purpose shall include the freight and handling cost at foreign port.

The revised calculation is given below –

|                                                                                   | Details | Final   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Price of 'Z' at exporter's factory                                                | \$8,500 | \$8,500 |
| Freight from factory of exporter to load airport (airport in country of exporter) | \$250   |         |
| Loading and handling charges at the load airport                                  | \$250   |         |
| FoB                                                                               | \$9,000 |         |
| Add: Cost of transport & handling upto place of import under Rule 10(2)(a)        |         | \$1,800 |

## GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



|                                                                                                   |         |  |                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--|-----------------|
| Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter)         | \$250   |  |                 |
| Loading and handling charges at the load airport                                                  | \$250   |  |                 |
| Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India                                  | \$4,500 |  |                 |
| Total Actual Transport/ Handling / Unloading cost                                                 | \$5,000 |  |                 |
| It cannot exceed 20% of FoB as above                                                              | \$1,800 |  |                 |
| Add: Insurance cost on actual basis under Rule 10(2)(b)                                           |         |  | \$2,000         |
| CIF Value or Assessable Value                                                                     |         |  | \$12,300        |
| Value = \$ 12,300 x Rs. 60 [As per Section. 46, CBIC exchange rate on date of filing B/E applies] |         |  | Rs.<br>7,38,000 |

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Chapter 5: - Importation, Exportation and Transportation of Goods

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes "9 Q & A" from Custom main book.  
Do refer important "4 examples" from Custom Main Book.

Q1. What is meant by 'boat notes'?

Ans. In certain specified customs ports, ships cannot come to the shore for unloading or loading, while in other ports, it is possible that not all ships arriving in the port get a berth. Further, sometimes, small import cargo is to be unloaded from a ship or small export cargo is to be loaded on a ship.

In all the aforesaid cases, the import cargo is taken from the ship to the shore and the export cargo is taken from the shore to the ship in boats.

Section 35 of the Customs Act stipulates that no imported goods shall be water borne for being loaded in any vessel, and no export goods which are not accompanied by a shipping bill, shall be water borne for being shipped unless the goods are accompanied by a boat note in the prescribed form.

However, the CBIC may, by notification give general permission and the proper officer may in any particular case, give special permission, for any goods or any class of goods to be water borne without being accompanied by a boat-note.

Q2. Discuss the provisions regarding transit of goods and transshipment of goods without payment of duty under the Customs Act.

Ans. Section 53 provides that any goods imported in a conveyance and mentioned in the arrival manifest or import manifest or the import report, as the case may be, as **for transit in the same conveyance** to any place outside India or to any customs station, may be allowed by the proper officer to be so transited without payment of duty subject to such conditions, as may be prescribed. However, the goods should not have been prohibited under section 11 of the Customs Act.

**Transshipment of goods refers to transfer of goods from one conveyance to another.** It may be from one port to any other major port or airport in India. Section 54 provides that:

- (1) where any goods imported into a customs station are intended for transshipment, the person-in-charge of conveyance will have to present a bill of transshipment to the proper officer in the prescribed form;
- (2) where any goods imported into a customs station are mentioned in the arrival manifest or import manifest or import report, as for transshipment to any place outside India, such goods will be allowed to be so transhipped without payment of duty. However, the goods should not have been prohibited under section 11 of the Customs Act.
- (3) where any goods imported into a customs station are mentioned in the arrival manifest or import manifest or import report for transshipment to any major port (as defined in the Indian Ports Act, 1908) or to customs airport or customs port (as notified by the Board) or to any other customs station and the proper officer is satisfied about the bona-fide intention for transshipment of the goods to such customs station, the proper officer may allow the goods to be transhipped, without payment of duty.

Q3. Explain in brief the duty exemption to baggage under section 79(1) of the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. Section 79(1) of the Customs Act, 1961 exempts the bona fide baggage of the passengers.

Following baggage is passed free of duty-

- (i) articles in the baggage of a passenger/crew that have been in their use for such minimum period as may be prescribed by the Baggage Rules, 2016.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

- (ii) articles for use by passenger or his family or bona fide gifts or souvenir, provided that the value of each such article and the total value of all such articles does not exceed the limits prescribed in the aforesaid Baggage Rules.

Q4. What is the relevant date for determining the rate of duty and tariff valuation in respect of goods imported/exported by post?

Ans. Section 83 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides the relevant date for rate of duty and tariff valuation in respect of goods imported/exported by post as under:

| Sr. No. | Particulars                       | Relevant date for determining rate of duty and tariff valuation                                                                                                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Goods imported by post or courier | Date on which postal authorities or the authorized courier present to the proper officer a list containing the particulars of such goods for the purpose of assessing the duty thereon. |
|         |                                   | or                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|         |                                   | Date of arrival of vessel or date of presentation of list to the proper officer, <u>whichever is later (in case of imports by vessel).</u>                                              |
| 2.      | Goods exported by post or courier | Date on which the exporter delivers such goods to the postal authorities or the authorized courier for exportation.                                                                     |

Q5. Explain the obligation cast on person-in-charge on arrival of vessels or aircrafts in India under section 29 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. Section 29(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that the person-in-charge of a vessel or an aircraft entering India from any place outside India shall not cause or permit the vessel or aircraft to call or land:

- for the first time after arrival in India; or
- at any time while it is carrying passengers or cargo brought in that vessel or aircraft at any place other than a customs port or a custom airport, as the case may be, unless permitted by the Board.

Exception:-Section 29(2) provides that the above provisions are not applicable in relation to any vessel or aircraft, which is compelled by accident, stress of weather or other unavoidable cause to call or land at a place other than a customs port or customs airport. However, in such a case the person-in-charge of such vessel or aircraft has the following obligations cast on him:

- He shall have to report the arrival of the vessel/landing of the aircraft to the nearest customs officer or the officer-in-charge of a police station and shall produce the log book belonging to the vessel or the aircraft if demanded.
- He shall not without the consent of any such officer permit any goods carried in the vessel or the aircraft to be unloaded from, or any of the crew or passengers to depart from the vicinity of, the vessel or the aircraft. However, passengers and crew can be allowed to depart from the vicinity of, or the goods can be removed from, the vessel/aircraft if the same is necessary for reason of health, safety or preservation of life or property.
- He shall comply with any directions given by any such officer with respect to any such goods.

Q6. Explain briefly the meaning of entry inwards and entry outwards with reference to the customs law.

Ans. Entry inwards is a permission granted by the proper officer to a vessel after which the master of the vessel permits unloading of the imported goods. Such entry inwards is granted only after master of the vessel delivers import general manifest to the proper officer or the proper officer is satisfied that there was sufficient cause for not delivering it. Entry inwards, however, is not required for unloading of baggage accompanying a passenger or a member of the crew, mail bags, animals, perishable goods and hazardous goods [Section 31 of the Customs Act, 1962].

Entry outwards is a permission granted by the proper officer to a vessel to go on a foreign voyage to the port of consignment. The master of the vessel permits loading of export goods only after the

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

proper officer grants entry outwards to the vessel. However, entry outwards is not required for loading of baggage and mail bags [Section 39 of the Customs Act, 1962].

Q7. Which class of importers is required to pay customs duty electronically? Name the dedicated payment gateway set up by the Board (CBIC) to use e-payment facility easily by an importer.

Ans. E-payment of customs duty is mandatory for-

- (i) Importers paying customs duty of Rs. 10,000 or more per bill of entry
- (ii) Importers registered under Accredited Client Programme

The dedicated payment gateway set up by the Board is called 'ICEGATE' [Indian Customs Electronic Commerce/Electronic Data interchange (EC/EDI) Gateway].

Q8. Mr. Anil and his wife (non-tourist Indian passengers) are returning from Dubai to India after staying there for a period of two years. They wish to bring gold jewellery purchased from Dubai. Please enumerate provisions of customs laws for jewellery allowance in their case.

Ans. As per rule 5 of the Baggage Rules, 2016, a passenger who has been residing abroad for more than one year and returns to India shall be allowed duty free clearance of jewellery in bona fide baggage as under:

- Jewellery upto a weight of 20 grams with a value cap of Rs. 50,000 for a gentlemen passenger
- Jewellery upto a weight of 40 grams with a value cap of Rs. 1,00,000 for a lady passenger

Thus, in the given case, Mr. Anil would be allowed duty free jewellery upto a weight of 20 grams with a value cap of Rs. 50,000 and his wife would be allowed duty free jewellery upto a weight of 40 grams with a value cap of Rs. 1,00,000.

Further, in addition to the jewellery allowance, Mr. Anil and his wife would also be allowed duty free clearance of jewellery worth Rs. 1,00,000 (Rs. 50,000 per person) as part of free baggage allowance.

Q9. Can the customs audit cover a person who is not an exporter or importer?

Ans. Yes, persons dealing with the goods can also be audited. Refer section 99A and related regulations.

Q10. Differentiate between Inland Container Depots (ICD) and Container Freight Stations (CFS).

Ans.

| Inland Container Depot (ICD)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Container Freight Station(CFS)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (i) ICD is a customs station like a port or air cargo unit for the purpose of unloading of imported goods and loading of export goods or any class of such goods.                                                                                                                                                                            | (i) CFS is only a custom area located in the jurisdiction of a Principal Commissioner/ Commissioner of Customs exercising control over a specified custom port, airport, land customs station/ICD. It is an extension of a customs station set up with the main objective of decongesting the ports. |
| (ii) ICD can have an independent existence as it is a 'self contained customs station'.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | (ii) CFS by itself cannot have an independent existence; it has to be linked to a customs station within the jurisdiction of the Principal Commissioner/ Commissioner of Customs.                                                                                                                    |
| (iii) Customs manifests, bills of entry, shipping bills and other declarations are filed in an ICD. Further, assessment and all the activities related to clearance of goods for home use, warehousing, temporary admissions, re-export, temporary storage for onward transit and outright export, transshipment, etc. take place in an ICD. | (iii) In CFS, only a part of the customs process-mainly the examination of goods-is normally carried out and goods are stuffed into containers and de-stuffed therefrom. Aggregation/ segregation of cargo also takes place at CFS.                                                                  |

Q11. State the provisions of transshipment of goods without payment of duty under section 54 of the Customs Act, 1962.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Ans. Provisions relating to transshipment of goods without payment of duty under section 54 of the Customs Act, 1962 are as follows: -

1. Where any goods imported into a customs station are intended for transshipment, a bill of transshipment shall be presented to the proper officer in the prescribed form. Where the goods are being transhipped under an international treaty or bilateral agreement between the Government of India and Government of a foreign country, a declaration for transshipment instead of a bill of transshipment shall be presented to the proper officer in prescribed form.
2. Subject to the provisions of section 11, where any goods imported into a customs station are mentioned in the import manifest or the import report, as the case may be, as for transshipment to anyplace outside India, such goods may be allowed to be so transhipped without payment of duty.
3. Where any goods imported into a customs station are mentioned in the arrival manifest or import manifest or the Import report, as the case may be, as for transshipment:-
  - a. to any major port as defined in the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (15 of 1908), or the customs airport at Mumbai, Calcutta, Delhi, or Chennai or any other custom port or customs airport which the board may, by notification in the Official Gazette, specify in this behalf, or
  - b. to any other customs station and the proper officer is satisfied that the goods bonafide intended for transshipment to such customs station, the proper officer may allow the goods to be transhipped without payment of duty, subject to such conditions as may be prescribed for the due arrival of such goods at the customs station to which transshipment is allowed.

Q12. Explain the procedure prescribed in Customs Act, 1962 in case of goods not cleared, warehoused or transhipped within 30 days after unloading.

Ans. If there are any goods imported from a place outside India, which are not cleared within 30 days from the date of unloading, the custodian of the cargo is unnecessarily burdened with the custody of the goods. It also deprives the customs department of its legitimate revenue in the form of customs duty. The 30 days have been considered to be sufficient time for any importer to make up his mind whether the goods should be cleared into town on payment of duty or whether they should be transhipped or whether they should be deposited in a warehouse. If such imported goods are not cleared either for home consumption or for warehouse within 30 days or within such further time as the proper officer may allow or if the title to any imported goods is relinquished, the custodian of the goods is permitted, with the approval of the customs department and after giving notice to the importer, to sell the goods by auction.

In the case of sensitive goods like animals, foodstuffs and hazardous goods etc. the custodian with the approval of the proper officer can sell the goods even before the expiry of the 30 days limit. Similarly, in the case of arms or ammunition, which cannot be sold in public auction, the disposal is regulated by the rules made in this regard.

## Other Questions

Q13. M/s Pipli Imports Ltd. imported certain goods, which were unloaded in the customs area on 01.10.20XX. When order for clearance was passed by proper officer on 05.10.20XX, it was found that there was some pilferage of such goods. As the imported goods were in the custody of Port Trust, the Department demanded duty from the custodian under section 45(3) of the Customs Act, 1962, on such pilferage. The Port Trust denied such demand contending that it was not an approved custodian falling under section 45 and possession of goods by it was by virtue of powers conferred under the Major Port Trust Act, 1963. Hence, it is not liable for customs duty on pilfered goods. M/s Pipli Imports Ltd. has also asked the Port Trust to make good the loss of goods. Examine, whether the demands made by the Department and M/s Pipli Imports Ltd. are justified in law, referring to decided case law.



# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

Ans The facts of the case are similar to the case of Board of Trustees v. UOI (2009) 241 ELT 513 (Bom HC DB), wherein the High Court held that considering the language of section 45(3), the liability to pay duty is of the person, in whose custody the goods remain as an approved person under section 45 of the Act. Therefore, section 45(3) applies only to the private custodians who are required to be approved by Principal Commissioner/ Commissioner of Customs under section 45(1). Accordingly, the major ports and airports covered under Major Port Trust Act, 1963 who do not require any approval under section 45(1), are not covered by section 45(3). Thus, the Department cannot demand duty from Port Trust on the pilferage under section 45(3) of the Customs Act, 1962. Section 45(3) of the Customs Act, 1962 holds the custodian responsible only in respect of the customs duty in respect of pilfered goods. It does not extend to the value of goods lost. However, the Port Trust, as bailee of the goods, is liable for value of the goods to the importer.

Q14. State the difference between transit and transshipment of goods under the Customs Act.

Ans.

| Transit                                                                               | Transshipment                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (i) Section 53 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides for transit of goods.                | (i) Section 54 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides for transshipment of goods.                                                                      |
| (ii) In case of transit of goods, goods are allowed to remain on the same conveyance. | (ii) In case of transshipment of goods, the conveyance changes i.e., the goods are unloaded from one conveyance and loaded in another conveyance. |
| (iii) In case of transit of goods, there is continuity of records.                    | (iii) In transshipment of goods, continuity in the records is not maintained as the goods are transferred to another conveyance.                  |

Section 150 is out of syllabus

Q15. An importer imports goods from USA, but does not clear such goods from the port within the prescribed period of 30 days. Resultantly, the imported goods are sold under the Customs Act, 1962 and sale proceeds applied for specified purposes as per Sec 150 of the customs Act. Explain how will the balance of sale proceeds (left after being applied for the specified purposes) be disposed?

Ans. The balance sale proceeds left after being applied for the purposes specified U/s 150 shall be paid to the owner of the goods. However, where it is not possible to pay the balance of sale proceeds, if any, to the owner of the goods within 6 months from the date of sale of such goods or such further period as the Principal Commissioner of Customs or Commissioner of Customs may allow, such balance of sale proceeds shall be paid to the Central Government.

Q16. Write short note on warehousing without warehousing (Section 49 of the Custom Act, 1962).

Ans. Where the Assistant Commissioner/Deputy Commissioner of Customs is satisfied on the application of the importer that—

- the goods cannot be cleared within a reasonable time in the case of imported goods, whether dutiable or not, entered for home consumption.
- the goods cannot be removed for deposit in a warehouse within a reasonable time in the case of any imported dutiable goods, entered for warehousing.

then in such cases, goods can be stored in a public warehouse for a period not exceeding 30 days. Such goods deposited under public warehouse will not be covered under Chapter IX of the Act. However, the Principal Commissioner/Commissioner of Customs may extend such period of storage for further 30 days at a time.

Please do this question carefully to understand Annexure 1 valuation question

Q17. Gregory Peg of foreign origin has come on travel visa, to tour in India. He carries with him, as part of baggage, the following:

| Particulars                              | Amount in INR |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Travel Souvenir                          | 85,000        |
| Other articles carried on in person      | 1,50,000      |
| 120 sticks of cigarettes of Rs. 100 each | 12,000        |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

|                                                                                                  |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Fire arm with 100 cartridges (value includes the value of cartridges at @ Rs. 500 per cartridge) | 1,00,000 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|

Determine customs duty payable, if the effective rate of customs duty is 38.50% inclusive of SWS, with short explanations where required. (CA Final May 18 Old)

Ans. As per rule 3 of Baggage Rules, 2016, tourist of foreign origin excluding infant is allowed duty free clearance of

(i) travel souvenirs; and

(ii) Articles up to the value of Rs. 15,000 (excluding inter alia fire arms, cartridges of fire arms exceeding 50 and cigarettes exceeding 100 sticks), if carried on in person.

| Particulars                                                                 | Duty Free Allowance in INR | Non Duty Free Allowance in INR |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Travel souvenir                                                             | Nil                        | -                              |
| Other articles carried on in person                                         | 1,50,000                   | -                              |
| Cigarettes [100 sticks can be accommodated in General Free Allowance (GFA)] | 10,000                     | 2,000                          |
| Fire arms cartridge (50 cartridges can be accommodated in GFA)              | 25,000                     | 25,000                         |
| Fire Arms (Rs. 1,00,000-Rs. 50,000)                                         |                            | 50,000                         |
| Baggage than can be accommodated in GFA                                     | 1,85,000                   | -                              |
| Less: GFA                                                                   | 15,000                     | -                              |
| Baggage on which duty is payable                                            | 1,70,000                   | 77,000                         |
| Duty Rate                                                                   | 38.5%                      | 110%                           |
| Duty Payable                                                                | 65,450                     | 84,700                         |

Note: Fire arms, cartridges of firearms exceeding 50 and cigarettes exceeding 100 sticks are not chargeable to rate applicable to baggage [Notification No. 26/2016 Cus. dated 31.03.2016]. These items are charged @ 100% applicable to baggage under Heading 9803 of the Customs Tariff.

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q18. ITDC as a canalizing agent imported certain goods and stored them in a bonded warehouse. A private party obtained an import licence and approached ITDC for clearance of these goods. ITDC filed ex-bond Bill of Entry on 29th January. This was returned by customs authorities to ITDC, after due processing, for payment of duty. The private party, in the meantime, changed his mind and decided not to clear the goods and informed ITDC accordingly who in turn, by their requested the Customs Department to cancel the Bill of Entry. This was done. Subsequently, the Customs Department demanded interest since the duty had not been paid within one day of assessment of the Bill of Entry as stipulated by Section 47 of the Customs Act. Do you think that the demand for interest is sustainable? Discuss.

Ans. As per section 47(2) of Customs Act, interest is payable on customs duty till date of payment of duty, if duty is not paid within one working day after Bill of Entry is returned. In this case, no customs duty was payable, since Bill of Entry was cancelled. Hence, no interest is payable, since duty itself was not payable on the Bill of Entry which was returned after assessment. Hence, the demand for interest is not sustainable in law.

Q19. Can the time –limit prescribed under section 48 of the Custom Act, 1962 for clearance of the goods within 30 days be read as time –limit for filing of bill of entry under section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962? You may take the help of case law, if any, for you decision.

Ans. The Gujarat High Court in CC. v. Shreeji Overseas (India) Pvt. Ltd. [2013] 289 ELT 401 (Guj.) has held that, there is no limit prescribed u/s 46 for filing of bill of entry. Section 48 which authorizes the customs authorities to sell goods if they are not cleared for home consumption or warehoused or transshipped within 30 days after unloading thereof at customs station cannot be treated as time

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

limit for filing bill of entry. Thus, if importer does not present bill of entry within 30 days of unloading of goods at customs station, he will not be liable to penalty u/s 117 of Customs Act, 1962.

Q20. Mr. Devendra, an Indian Entrepreneur, went to China to explore new business opportunities on 5.4.2018. The following details regarding imports are submitted by him with the Customs authorities on return to India on 20.01.2019.

(a) 2 Music systems each worth Rs.23,000.

(b) Jewellery brought by Mr. Devendra worth Rs.49,000. (18 Grams)

Write a brief note on his eligibility with regard to duty free baggage allowances as per the Baggage Rules, 2016.

Ans. An Indian resident arriving from any country other than Nepal, Bhutan or Myanmar, shall be allowed clearance free of duty articles in his bona fide baggage,:

- (i) Used personal effects, travel souvenirs; and
- (ii) Articles other than those mentioned in Annexure I, upto the value of Rs.50,000 if these are carried on the person or in the accompanied baggage of the passenger.

However, since his period of stay abroad does not exceed 1 year, he will not be eligible for additional allowance in respect of jewellery.

Computation of Custom duty payable by Mr. Devendra

|                                                                                       | (amount in Rs.) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| (1) 2 Music systems each worth Rs.23,000                                              | 46,000          |
| (2) Jewellery brought by Mr. Devendra (can be accommodated in General Free Allowance) | 49,000          |
| Total dutiable goods imported                                                         | 95,000          |
| Less: General Free Allowance under Rule 3                                             | 50,000          |
| Balance Goods on which duty is payable                                                | 45,000          |
| Customs Duty payable @ 38.50%                                                         | 17,325          |

Q21. Mrs. and Mr. Kapoor visited Germany and brought following goods while returning to India on 18.4.2018:

Their personal effects like clothes, etc., valued at Rs.35,000.

A personal computer bought for Rs.52,000

A laptop computer bought for Rs.95,000

Two liters of liquor bought for Rs.3,000

A new camera bought for Rs.47,400

What is the amount of customs duty payable?

Ans. In this question, Mrs. and Mr. Kapoor have brought certain goods while returning to India. The question doesn't mention whether the same have brought through separate baggage or through a single baggage. If the goods have been brought in a single baggage, the same would be supported by a single baggage declaration form and, in that event, the general free allowance will be available only once and the same cannot be pooled in respect of Mr. Kapoor and Mrs. Kapoor separately.

However, if the goods are brought in separate baggage's support by two separate baggage declaration forms, the general free allowance will be available separately to Mrs. And Mr. Kapoor.

It has been assumed that Mrs. and Mr. Kapoor have brought two separate baggage in a manner so as to lower the incidence of customs duty. Accordingly,:

| Particulars                                                                                                                                            | Mrs. Kapoor |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Personal effects like clothes, etc.                                                                                                                    | Exempt      |
| Laptop computer                                                                                                                                        | Exempt      |
| Personal computer (assumed that it has been brought on account of Mrs. Kapoor)                                                                         | 52,000      |
| Total                                                                                                                                                  | 52,000      |
| Less: General Free Allowance under Rule 3 (Since both have filled separate baggage declaration forms, hence, they will be eligible for GFA separately) | 50,000      |
| Assessable Value                                                                                                                                       | 2,000       |
| Customs Duty @ 38.50%                                                                                                                                  | 770         |

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

| Particulars                                                                                                                                            | Mr. Kapoor |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Two litres of liquor (assumed that it has been brought on account of Mr. Kapoor)                                                                       | 3,000      |
| New camera (assumed that it has been brought on account of Mr. Kapoor)                                                                                 | 47,400     |
| Less: General Free Allowance under Rule 3 (Since both have filled separate baggage declaration forms, hence, they will be eligible for GFA separately) | 50,000     |
| Assessable Value                                                                                                                                       | 400        |
| Customs Duty @ 38.50%                                                                                                                                  | 154        |

Hence, total customs duty payable = Rs. 924

In case only one baggage declaration form is given by Mr. & Mrs. Kapoor than duty free allowance shall be worked out as under: (Amount in Rs.)

| Particulars                                                                                                                                            | Mrs. Kapoor |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Personal effects like clothes, etc.                                                                                                                    | Exempt      |
| Laptop computer                                                                                                                                        | Exempt      |
| Personal computer (assumed that it has been brought on account of Mrs. Kapoor)                                                                         | 52,000      |
| Two litres of liquor                                                                                                                                   | 3,000       |
| New camera                                                                                                                                             | 47,400      |
| Total                                                                                                                                                  | 1,02,400    |
| Less: General Free Allowance under Rule 3 (Since both have filled separate baggage declaration forms, hence, they will be eligible for GFA separately) | 50,000      |
| Assessable Value                                                                                                                                       | 52,400      |
| Customs Duty @ 38.50%                                                                                                                                  | 20,174      |

Q22. M/s. XYZ Ltd. imported certain goods valuing Rs. 30 Lakhs (assessable value) from America by post. Compute the amount of duty payable by the importer in the light of the following information:

|                                                                                                  |            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Date of presentation of a list containing particulars of said goods to proper officer of customs | 15-05-2017 |
| Rate of duty prevalent on the date of such presentation                                          | 10%        |
| Date of arrival of vessel through which the packet containing the said goods was imported        | 20-05-2017 |
| Rate of duty prevalent on the date of such arrival                                               | 7.50%      |

Ans. As per Section 83 of the Customs Act, 1962, in case of importation of goods by post, the date for determination of rate of duty shall be, -

- the date on which postal authorities present a list containing particulars of the said goods to the proper officer of customs; or
- if such goods are imported by a vessel, the date of arrival of the vessel, whichever is later. In this case, the date of arrival of vessel, being later in time, shall be the relevant date and the rate of duty prevalent on that date shall be applicable.

Therefore, duty payable will be 7.5% as increased by SWS @ 10% = 8.25% of Rs. 30 Lakhs = Rs 2,47,500.

Q23. On 15-6-2018 M/s. XYZ Ltd. delivered goods valuing Rs. 20 Lakhs (assessable value) to the postal authorities for the purpose of export by post to Germany. The order for clearance of such goods for export was made on 29-6-2018, but the goods could actually be exported on 01-07-2017. The rates of duty prevalent on the said dates were 10%, 15% and 20% respectively. Determine the amount of customs duty payable?

Ans. As per section 83, in the case of goods exported by post, the relevant date for determination of rate of duty shall be the date on which the exporter delivers such goods to the postal authorities i.e. 15-06-2018. Hence, the amount of customs duty payable = 10% of Rs. 20 Lakhs = Rs. 2,00,000.

Q24. What is the relevant date for determination of rate of duty under the Customs Act, 1962 in the case of clearance of baggage?

Ans. As per section 78 of the Customs Act, 1962, the relevant date for determination of rate of duty in case of clearance of baggage is the date on which a declaration is made in respect of such baggage under section 77.

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Chapter 6: - Duty Drawback

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes "9 Q & A" from Custom main book.

Do refer important "4 examples" from Custom Main Book.

Q1. Write a short note on "prohibition and regulation of drawback" with reference to the provisions of section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. The provisions in respect of prohibition and regulation of drawback as contained in section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962 are explained hereunder:

- (1) No drawback is allowed in respect of any goods, the market price of which is less than the amount of drawback due thereon. This provision has been made to prohibit export of cheap goods at inflated price to get benefit of higher duty drawback. Further, drawback is also not allowed where the amount of drawback in respect of any goods is less than Rs. 50.
- (2) If the Central Government is of the opinion that goods of any specified description in respect of which drawback is claimed are likely to be smuggled back into India, it may, not allow drawback in respect of such goods or alternatively allow the drawback subject to certain restrictions and conditions.

Q2. Explain briefly the provisions relating to drawback allowable on re-export of duty paid imported goods when:

- i. Duty paid imported goods are re-exported as such
- ii. Duty paid imported goods are used before being re-exported

Ans.

- i. Duty paid imported goods re-exported as such: When duty paid goods are re-exported as such, drawback is allowed under the provisions of section 74(1) of the Customs Act, 1962. Subsection (1) of section 74 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that following conditions need to be satisfied before claiming drawback:
  - (i) the goods should have been imported into India;
  - (ii) the import duty should have been paid thereon;
  - (iii) the goods should be capable of being easily identified as the goods, which were originally imported;
  - (iv) the goods should have been entered for export either on a shipping bill through sea or air or on a bill of export through land, or as baggage, or through post and the proper officer, after proper examination of the goods and after ensuring that there is no prohibition or restriction on their export, should have permitted clearance of such goods for export;
  - (v) the goods should have been identified to the satisfaction of the Assistant or Deputy Commissioner of Customs as the goods, which were imported, and
  - (vi) the goods should have been entered for export within two years - which can be extended further by Board on sufficient cause being shown - from the date of payment of duty on the importation thereof.
 Once these conditions are satisfied, then 98% of the import duty paid on such goods at the time of importation shall be repaid as drawback.
- ii. Duty paid imported goods re-exported after being used: When duty paid imported goods are used before re-export, drawback is allowed under the provisions of section 74(2) of the Customs Act, 1962. If the imported goods are used after importation, the drawback is allowed at reduced rates as fixed by the Central Government having regard to the duration of use, depreciation in value and other relevant circumstances prescribed by a Notification. If the goods were in possession of the importer, they are treated as used by the importer. Following percentages have been fixed vide Notification No. 19/65-Cus dated 6-2-1965 as amended as the rates at which drawback of import duty shall be allowed in respect of goods which were used after their importation and which have been out of Customs control:

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



| Length of period between the date of clearance for home consumption and the date when the goods are placed under Customs control for export | Percentage of import duty to be paid as Drawback |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Not more than three months                                                                                                                  | 95%                                              |
| More than three months but not more than six months                                                                                         | 85%                                              |
| More than six months but not more than nine months                                                                                          | 75%                                              |
| More than nine months but not more than twelve months                                                                                       | 70%                                              |
| More than twelve months but not more than fifteen months                                                                                    | 65%                                              |
| More than 15 months but not more than 18 months                                                                                             | 60%                                              |
| More than 18 months                                                                                                                         | Nil                                              |

Q3. Can the rate of drawback be granted provisionally to the exporter where amount or rate of drawback has not been determined? Briefly explain.

Ans. The exporter may be granted provisional duty drawback when he executes a bond binding himself to repay the entire or excess amount of drawback. Where an exporter desires that he may be granted drawback provisionally, he may make an application in writing to the Principal Commissioner of Central Excise or Commissioner of Central Excise, as the case may be or the Principal Commissioner or Commissioner of Customs and Central Excise that a provisional amount be granted to him towards drawback on the export of such goods pending determination of the final amount of drawback. The exporter may be allowed provisional duty drawback of an amount not exceeding the amount claimed by him in respect of such export.

However, it is to be noted that rate of drawback is determined provisionally only when exporter intends to get Brand Rate of duty drawback for his exports. The provision has no applicability when exporter intends to get duty drawback on the basis of All Industry Drawback Rates.

Q4. Write a short note on "interest on drawback" with reference to section 75A of the Customs Act .

Ans. Section 75A of the Customs Act provides for payment of interest on delayed payment of drawback. Where any drawback payable to a claimant under section 74 or 75 is not paid within a period of one month from the date of filing a claim for payment of such drawback, interest @ 6% p.a. shall be paid along with the amount of drawback. Such interest shall be paid from the date after the expiry of the said period of one month till the date of payment of such drawback [Section 75A(1)].

Where any drawback has been paid to the claimant erroneously or it becomes otherwise recoverable under the Customs Act or the rules made thereunder, the claimant shall, within a period of two months from the date of demand, pay in addition to the said amount of drawback, interest at the rate fixed under section 28AA [presently such interest has been fixed @ 15% p.a.] and the amount of interest shall be calculated for the period beginning from the date of payment of such drawback to the claimant till the date of recovery of such drawback. . [Section 75A(2)].

Q5. What is the minimum and maximum rate or amount of duty drawback prescribed under the Customs & Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017? Explain with a brief note.

Ans. Minimum rate of duty drawback - Rule 8 of Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 provides that no amount or rate of drawback shall be determined in respect of any goods or class of goods under rule 6 or rule 7, as the case may be, if the export value of each of such goods or class of goods in the bill of export or shipping bill is less than the value of the imported materials used in the manufacture of such goods or class of goods, or is not more than such percentage of the value of the imported materials used in the manufacture of such goods or class of goods as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, specify in this behalf.

Maximum rate of duty drawback - Rule 9 of Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 provides that the drawback amount or rate shall not exceed one third of the market price of the export product. This provision has been made to avoid over invoicing of export goods.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Q6. Your client loaded a machine on the vessel for export. He has paid import duty and central excise duty on the components used in the manufacture. The vessel set sail from Mumbai, but runs into trouble and sinks in the Indian territorial waters. The customs department refuses to grant duty drawback for the reason that the goods have not reached their destination. Advise your client citing case law, if any.

Ans. Rule 2(c) of the Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 inter alia provides that "export" means "taking out of India to a place outside India". Section 2(27) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that India includes the territorial waters of India.

In case of CC v. Sun Industries 1988 (35) ELT (241), the Supreme Court held that the expression "taking out of India to a place outside India" would also mean a place in high seas, if that place is beyond territorial waters of India. Therefore, the goods taken out to the high seas outside territorial waters of India would come within the ambit of expression "taking out of India to a place outside India". The emphasis in the aforementioned judgment was on the movement of the goods outside the territorial waters of India. It is then that an export may be said to have been taken place.

In the instant case, the vessel sunk within territorial waters of India and therefore, there is no export. Accordingly, no duty drawback shall be available in this case. Similar decision was given by the Supreme Court in the case of UOI v. Rajindra Dyeing & Printing Mills Ltd. 2005 (180) ELT 433 (SC). In other words, if the goods cross the territorial waters, drawback will be available even if they do not reach the destination or are destroyed provided the payment for the goods is received in convertible foreign exchange. Para 2.85.2 of HBP Vol. 1 2015-20 states that payment through insurance cover from General Insurance and approved Insurance Companies would be treated as payment realised for exports under various export promotion schemes.

Q7. With reference to drawback on re-export of duty paid imported goods under section 74 of the Customs Act, 1962, answer in brief the following questions:

- (i) What is the time limit for re-exportation of goods as such?
- (ii) What is the rate of duty drawback if the goods are exported without use?
- (iii) Is duty drawback allowed on re-export of wearing apparel without use?

Ans.

- (i) As per section 74 of the Customs Act, 1962, the duty paid imported goods are required to be entered for export within two years from the date of payment of duty on the importation. This period can be extended by CBIC if the importer shows sufficient reason for not exporting the goods within two years.
- (ii) If duty paid imported goods are exported without use, then 98% of such duty is re-paid as drawback.
- (iii) Yes, duty drawback is allowed when wearing apparels are re-exported without being used. However, Notification No. 19/65 Cus dated 06.02.1965 as amended provides that if wearing apparels have been used after their importation into India, drawback of import duty paid thereon shall not be allowed when they are exported out of India.

Q8. With reference to the Customs & Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017, briefly state whether an exporter who has already filed a duty draw back claim under All Industry Rates, can file an application for fixation on special brand rate.

Ans. Rule 7 of the Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 provides that application for Special Brand Rate cannot be made where a claim for drawback under rule 3 or rule 4 has been made.

In other words, where the exporter has already filed a duty drawback claim under All Industry Rates (AIR) Schedule, he cannot request for fixation of Special Brand Rate of drawback. Thus, the exporter should determine prior to export of goods, whether to claim drawback under AIR or Special Brand Rate.

### Other Questions

Q9. An exporter obtained inputs on payment of Customs duty and has availed Input tax credit. Advise whether he could avail Duty Drawback also U/s 75 of the Customs Act, 1962, if imported inputs are used in the manufacture of goods, which are then exported.

Ans. Yes, he can avail duty drawback under U/s 75 of Customs Act, even if he has availed Input Tax Credit. Input Tax Credit can be availed only in respect of IGST and GST compensation cess leviable U/s 3 of the Customs Tariff Act, paid on imported inputs. Duty drawback of customs portion, i.e. custom duties other than IGST is allowed even after availing Input Tax it on inputs used in the manufacture of goods that are exported.

Q10. ABC Ltd. has exported following goods to Germany. Write a brief note with reasons whether any duty drawback is admissible U/s 75 of the Customs Act, 1962 in each of the following cases:

| Product | FOB value of exported goods amount in INR | Market price of goods amount in INR | Duty Drawback Rate |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| A       | 4,30,000                                  | 3,50,000                            | 30% of FOB         |
| B       | 6,00,000                                  | 7,00,000                            | 3.50% of FOB       |
| C       | 1,20,000                                  | 60,000                              | 0.75% of FOB       |
| D       | 3,00,000                                  | 3,50,000                            | 1.50% of FOB       |

Note:

- Imported value of product B is Rs. 8,00,000.
- Product D is manufactured out of duty free inputs.
- Working notes should form part of the answer.

(CA Final May 2017)

Ans.

- Duty drawback amount = 30% of Rs. 4,30,000 = Rs. 1,29,000. Duty drawback is allowed, but amount is restricted to 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of Rs. 3,50,000 = Rs. 1,16,667 (rounded off)
- No drawback shall be allowed in this case, as the export value i.e. FOB value of the goods is less than the value of imported materials used therein. Alternatively, if Product B is imported and the same product is exported without any manufacturing operation carried out on the same, no duty drawback is admissible U/s 75 of the Customs Act, 1962.
- As per Section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962, Duty drawback is admissible since the amount of drawback per shipment is Rs. 900. (Rs. 1,20,000\*0.75% = Rs. 900)
- No duty drawback is allowed if exported goods are manufactured out of duty free inputs.

Q11. Certain goods were brought to the export shed on 05-10-2018. The goods were examined and 'let export order' was issued on the same day by the noting of the shipping bill. Computer processed shipping bill was issued on 6-10-2018. Rate was lowered on 6-10-2018 and the department allowed MEIS at the rate prevailing on 6-10-2018. The goods were permitted for clearance and loading on 5-10-2018. It is the assessee's case that under the Customs Act, 1962 they are entitled for the higher rate of MEIS prevailing on 5-10-2018. Write a brief note whether the assessee's stand is correct in law.

(CA Final November 2010)

Ans. In this case, the goods were brought to export shed on 5-10-2018 and were examined on the same day when the noting of the shipping bill was also completed. The goods were permitted for clearance and loading on the same day. Section 16 (1) (a) of the Customs Act, 1962 deals with date of determination of rate of duty and tariff valuation of export goods. It states that in the case of goods entered for export U/s 50, the rate of duty and tariff valuation, if any, applicable to any export goods, shall be the rate and valuation in force on the date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of the goods for exportation U/s 51.

Section 50 deals with entry of goods for exportation by presenting a shipping bill and Section 51 deals, with the permission to clear and load the goods. Since, in the given case, both the events have taken place 5-10-2018, the rate of duty for MEIS purposes shall be the rate prevailing on 5-10-2018 which is higher rate. The assessee's stand in this case is correct.

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q12. Sulabh Ltd has imported 5 mainframe computer systems from USA in December 2015 paying customs duty of Rs.60 lakhs. Due to some technical snags that developed in the system in March 2016 the supplier sent his technicians from USA to repair / solve the snag. No solution was found, as a result of which in July 2017 Sulabh Ltd. decided to re-ship/ return the goods to foreign supplier. You are the Finance Manager of Sulabh Ltd. and have been approached by the Board of Directors to examine and advise whether import duty already paid can be got back from the Central Government, when the goods are sent back. Examine and advise in light of provisions of Customs Act, 1962.

Ans. It is evident from the question that the goods were imported in December, 2015 from USA on payment of applicable customs duty Rs. 60 Lakhs. The same goods are proposed to be again exported back to the country of their origin. If the goods are entered for export before December 2015 (2 years from the date of payment of duty), the company will be eligible for the drawback claim under Section 74 either at industry rates (in case the goods are put to any use) or at the rate of 98% of the duty (where the goods are not put to use).

Q13. Calculate the amount of duty drawback allowable under the Customs Act, 1962 and the rules made thereunder in the following independent cases –

- i. A Ltd. has exported goods worth Rs. 80,000 (FOB value). Rate of duty drawback on such export of goods is 0.8%
- ii. B Ltd. Exported 1000 Kgs. Of goods of FOB value of Rs. 1,50,000. Rate of duty drawback on such export is Rs. 50 per kg. Market price of goods is Rs. 48,000 (in wholesale market)

Ans.

- i. Duty drawback – Rs. 640 (0.8% of Rs. 80,000) [As per section 76 of the Custom Act, 1962, no drawback shall be allowed if the amount of drawback is less than Rs. 50. Since drawback amount is Rs. 640 the same shall be admissible].
- ii. Duty drawback @ 50 per Kg = 50,000. However, as per section 76 (1) (b) of Customs Act, Duty drawback shall not be allowed in respect of any goods, the market price of which is less than the amount of drawback due thereon. Hence, no duty drawback is allowable.

Q14. R & Co., sent certain goods by ship from Calcutta to Colombo in Sri Lanka. After the ship set sail, it developed engine trouble and while going to the nearest port, ran aground in the Indian Territorial Waters, ultimately reaching Paradeep Port. The fittings, stores and cargo were salvaged, R & Co., applied for drawback of duty under Section 75 of Customs Act, 1962, in respect of the goods. The application was rejected by the Assistant Commissioner of Customs, holding that there was no “export” of goods under the Customs Act, by R & Co. You are retained as Counsel by R & Co., to advise them on the admissibility of the drawback claim. Examine the relevant issues and advise.

Ans. The facts are similar to that of Sun Industries, v. CC [1988] 35 ELT 241 (SC), wherein the Apex Court held that export is complete on loading after clearance and accordingly allowed the drawback claim where the ship carrying goods ran aground after crossing territorial waters due to engine trouble and the goods in question were neither salvaged nor re-landed; further held that off-loading of goods at foreign port is not an essential requirement for export to take place. Applying the said case to the present case, M/s. R & Co. is eligible for drawback claim.

Q15. An exporter obtained inputs on payment of Customs duty and has availed Input tax credit. Advise whether he could avail Duty Drawback also under Section 75 of the Customs Act, 1962, if imported inputs are used in the manufacture of goods, which are then exported.

Ans. Yes, he can avail duty drawback under section 75 of Customs Act, even if he has availed Input tax credit. Input tax credit can be availed only in respect of IGST and GST compensation cess leviable under section 3 of the Customs Tariff Act, paid on imported inputs. Duty drawback of customs portion,

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

i.e. customs duties other than IGST is allowed even after availing Input tax it on inputs used in the manufacture of goods that are exported.

Q16. M/s. RIL Ltd. claimed duty drawback in respect of its export products. Over 97% of the inputs by weight of the product were procured indigenously and were not excisable. All industry rates under the Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 were fixed taking into account the incidence of customs duty on imported product inputs. Explain briefly with reference to Rule 3(1) (ii) of the said rules whether the claim of M/s. RIL will merit consideration by the authorities.

Ans. Rule 3 of the Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 inter alia provides that no drawback shall be allowed if the exported goods have been produced or manufactured using imported materials or excisable materials on which duties have not been paid.

In the given case, there was no duty incidence on 97% of the inputs of the export product except the duty incidence on remaining 3% of the inputs, which was insignificant. All Industry Rates fixed for particular export products are applicable to all exports who export the same. However, in case where there is clear evidence, as in the present one, that the inputs of such export products have not suffered any duty, no drawback can be claimed. Same view was expressed by the Tribunal in the case of Rubfila International Ltd. v. CCus. Cochin [2005] 190 ELT 485 (Tri.-Bang.).

Q17. Calculate the amount of duty drawback allowable under the Customs Act, 1962 in the following cases:

- Jaggi Mehta imported a car from U.K. for his personal use and paid Rs.4,50,000 as import duty. However, the car is re-exported immediately without bringing it into use.
- Meenakshi imported a music player from Dubai and paid Rs.12,000 as import duty. She used it for four months but re-exports the same after four months.
- XYZ Ltd. exported 1000 kgs of metal of FOB value of Rs.1,00,000. Rate of duty drawback on such export is Rs.60 per kg. Market price of goods is Rs.40,000 (in wholesale market).

Ans.

- As per Section 74 of the Customs Act, 1962, when any identifiable imported goods are re-exported, 98% of the import duty is re-paid as drawback provided the goods are identified to satisfaction of the Assistant / Deputy Commissioner of Customs as the goods which were imported and the same are entered for export within two years from the date of payment of the import duty. Thus, Jaggi Mehta can claim duty drawback of Rs. 4,41,000 (98% of Rs.4,50,000) on the presumption that the car has been identified to the satisfaction of the Assistant / Deputy Commissioner of Customs as the one which was imported.
- As per Section 74 of the Customs Act, 1962, in respect of a motor car or goods imported by a person for his personal and private use, drawback of duty shall be equal to the import duty paid in respect of such motor car or goods as reduced by 4%, 3%, 2.5% and 2% for use for each quarter or part thereof during the period of first year, second year, third year, and fourth year respectively. Hence, Meenakshi can claim duty drawback of 92% i.e. Rs.11,040.
- As per Section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962 no drawback is allowed in respect of any goods, the market price of which is less than the amount of drawback due thereon. In this case, the market price of the goods is Rs. 40,000, which is less than the amount of duty drawback, i.e. 1,000 kgs x Rs. 60 = Rs. 60,000. Hence, XYZ Ltd. isn't entitled to claim duty drawback in this case.

Q18. New Horizon Exporters have exported some goods outside India. FOB value of 1,000 kg of goods exported is Rs. 2,30,000. Rate of duty drawback on such export is Rs. 100 per kg. Market price of goods is Rs. 75,000 (in wholesale market). You are required to ascertain whether New Horizon Exporters is entitled to duty drawback and if yes, what is the quantum of such duty drawback?

Ans. Section 76(1)(b) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that no drawback shall be allowed in respect of any goods, the market price of which is less than the amount of drawback due thereon. In this case,



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

the market price of the goods is Rs. 75,000, which is less than the amount of duty drawback, i.e. 1,000 Kg. x Rs.1,00,000. Hence, no duty drawback shall be allowed in this case.

Q19. An exporter obtained inputs on payment of Customs duty and has availed Input tax credit. Advise whether he could avail Duty Drawback also under Section 75 of the Customs Act, 1962, if imported inputs are used in the manufacture of goods, which are then exported.

A. Yes, he can avail duty drawback under section 75 of Customs Act, even if he has availed Input tax credit. Input tax credit can be availed only in respect of IGST and GST compensation cess leviable under section 3 of the Customs Tariff Act, paid on imported inputs. Duty drawback of customs portion, i.e. customs duties other than IGST is allowed even after availing Input tax it on inputs used in the manufacture of goods that are exported.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

*Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT*

**Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi**



**Join India's largest IDT doubt solving telegram group**

**<https://telegram.me/cafinalidtqueryamitjain>**

## **Chapter 7: - Refund**

### **ICAI Test your knowledge**

This chapter includes "5 Q & A" from Custom main book.  
Do refer important "1 example" from Custom Main Book.

Q1. Explain the provisions of Customs Act, 1962 relating to computation of limitation for submission of refund application.

Ans. According to section 27(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, a refund claim should be lodged before the expiry of one year from the date of payment of such duty or interest. The period of limitation of one year should be computed in the following manner:

- If the refund claim is lodged by the importer, the time limit should be calculated from the date of payment of duty.
- If the refund claim is lodged by the buyer of imported goods, the time limit should be calculated from the date of purchase of goods.
- In case of goods which are exempt from payment of duty by an ad-hoc exemption, the limitation of one year should be computed from the date of issue of such exemption order.
- Where any duty is paid provisionally, the time limit should be computed from the date of adjustment of duty after the final assessment thereof or in case of re-assessment, from the date of such re-assessment.
- Where the refund arises as a result of any judgement/decreed/order/direction of the Appellate Authority/ Appellate Tribunal/Court, the time limit should be calculated from the date of such judgement/decreed/order/direction.

The time limit of one year is not applicable if duty is paid under protest. Finally, it is worth mentioning that above provisions regarding time limit are mandatory and customs authorities cannot grant a refund which is filed beyond the maximum permissible period.

Q2. The assessee furnished bank guarantee to the department as required, and imported capital goods at concessional rate of duty under an authorisation with export obligation, but failed to complete the export obligation within the prescribed time. Consequently, the Department invoked the bank guarantee and realized the amount of duty foregone. Subsequently the assessee fulfilled the export obligation and the same was also accepted by the Department. The assessee filed a refund claim for the amount realized by the Department under the bank guarantee. The Department rejected the refund claim on the ground that it was time barred in terms of section 27 of the Customs Act, 1962.

Was the stand taken by the Department correct in law? Examine with the support of case law on the issue.

Ans. In this case the bank guarantee was for the purpose of security for fulfilment of export obligation. It cannot be construed as payment of 'duty'. As section 27 applies only to refund of duty and not to refund of other amounts, the time bar under the said section cannot be invoked to deny the refund.

The facts of given case are similar to the facts of CCus. (Exports) v. Jraj Exports (P) Ltd. 2007 (217) ELT 504 (Mad.). The High Court, in the instant case, held that furnishing of bank guarantee for export obligation could not be regarded as payment of duty; therefore time-bar was not applicable for its return.

The High Court relied on the Supreme Court's ruling in the case of Oswal Agro Mills Ltd. and Another v. Asstt. Collector of Central Excise 1994 (70) ELT 48 (SC), wherein it was held that furnishing of bank guarantee pursuant to an order of the Court would not be equivalent to payment of excise duty. The furnishing of bank guarantee is only a security to safeguard the interest of the Revenue. Since section 27 governs the refund of 'duty', and the bank guarantee is not 'duty', the limitation prescribed therein for refund of duty would not apply to refund of a bank guarantee. Applying the principle laid down in the abovesaid case, the High Court stated that the requirement to establish that the duty incidence had not been passed on by the assessee to any other person

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

would also not get attracted since section 27 has no application to this case. Therefore, the stand of the Department is not correct in law.

Q3. M/s. HIL imports copper concentrate from different suppliers. At the time of import, the seller issues a provisional invoice and the goods are provisionally assessed under section 18 of the Customs Act, 1962 based on the invoice. When the final invoice is raised, based on the price prevalent in the London Metal Exchange on a predetermined date as agreed in the contract between the buyer and seller, the assessments are finalized on the basis of the price in such invoices. M/s HIL has filed a refund claim arising out of the finalization of the bill of entry by the authorities. The Department, however, has rejected the refund claim on the grounds of unjust enrichment. Discuss whether the action of the department is correct in law?

Ans. Section 18 (dealing with provisional assessment) incorporates the principle of unjust enrichment in case of refund arising out of finalization of provisional assessment. Sub-section (5) of section 18 of Customs Act, 1962 provides that if any amount is found to be refundable after finalisation of provisional assessment, such refund will be subject to doctrine of unjust enrichment. Further, section 28D places the onus on the person who has paid duty to prove that he has not passed on the incidence of such duty. In the absence of any proof from such person, section 28D deems that the burden of duty has been passed on to the buyer. Therefore, in the given case, the Department's action will be correct if M/s HIL does not produce any evidence of bearing the burden of duty.

Q4. XYZ Ltd imported capital goods and used them in its factory to produce goods for sale. Upon discovery of an error by which excess import duty had been paid on the said capital goods, it filed a claim for refund. As regards unjust enrichment, it contended -

- that the capital goods were not sold and hence the principle of unjust enrichment will not apply to the refund of import duty paid on capital goods; and
- that in any case the price of the finished goods manufactured in the factory remained the same before and after the import and installation of the capital goods, which is sufficient proof to establish that duty burden has not been passed on.

Examine the merits of these contentions, with the support of case law, if any.

Ans. The incidence of duty can be passed directly or indirectly. Where the capital goods are used for manufacture, the duty paid on their import will go into the costing of the goods manufactured and sold, and can thus be passed on to the buyers. The Larger Bench of the Tribunal in the case of SRF Ltd. v. CCus. Chennai 2006 (193) ELT 186 (Tri. - LB) has held that the doctrine of unjust enrichment would be applicable in case of imported capital goods used captively for manufacture of excisable goods. As regards the relevance of the fact that price remained the same before and after the capital goods were imported, the Larger Bench also clarified that uniformity in price before and after assessment does not lead to inevitable conclusion that duty burden has not been passed, as such uniformity may be due to various reasons. In view of this, the contentions of XYZ Ltd are liable to be rejected.

Q5. Section 26A of Customs Act, 1962 provides for refund of import duty paid if goods are found defective or not as per specifications. Discuss the conditions governing such refund in brief.

Ans. Often, goods imported are found to be defective or not according to specifications. In such cases, earlier, the refund of customs duty paid at the time of import could be obtained only if the imported goods were physically returned to foreign supplier. Generally, cost of return of the rejected goods is heavy and it is economical to dispose of the goods in India itself. Realising this practical difficulty, section 26A of Customs Act makes provision for refund of import duty paid if goods are found defective or not as per specifications. The refund is admissible if goods are re-exported or relinquished and abandoned to the customs authorities or destroyed. Thus, refund is possible even if goods are destroyed or relinquished in India without re-exporting the same. The section stipulates the following conditions for the refund:

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

- (i) the goods are found to be defective or otherwise not in conformity with the specification agreed upon between the importer and the supplier of goods;
- (ii) the goods have not been worked, repaired or used after importation except where such use was indispensable to discover the defects or non-conformity with the specifications;
- (iii) the goods are identified to the satisfaction of Assistant/Deputy Commissioner of Customs as the goods which were imported;
- (iv) the importer does not claim drawback under any other provision of this Act; and
- (v) the goods are exported or the importer relinquishes his title to the goods and abandons them to customs or such goods are destroyed/rendered commercially valueless in the presence of proper officer in prescribed manner within 30 days from the date on which the order of clearance of imported goods for home consumption is made by the proper officer. This period of 30 days can be extended up to 3 months.
- (vi) An application for refund of duty shall be made before the expiry of 6 months from the relevant date in prescribed form and manner.
- (vii) Imported goods should not be such regarding which an offence appears to have been committed under this Act or any other law.
- (viii) Imported goods should not be perishable goods and goods which have exceeded their shelf life or their recommended storage before use period.

Q6. What is the minimum monetary limit prescribed in the Customs law below which no refund shall be granted?

Ans. As per third proviso to section 27(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, the minimum monetary limit below which refund cannot be granted is Rs. 100.

Q7. Explain the doctrine of unjust enrichment with respect to refund of duty.

Ans. When an importer imports goods, he has to pay the customs duty on such goods. This duty is recovered from the purchaser when these goods are sold by the importer. In other words, the burden of duty is passed on to the purchaser. Subsequently, if the importer is refunded the duty by the government, this double benefit would be called as unjust enrichment, because he recovers the duty from customer and again gets the said amount from Government as refund. The same applies to a buyer who again passes on the incidence of duty to another person.

Therefore, wherever there is excess payment or collection of duty, the refund is given only to the person who bears the burden of duty and interest, if any. If the person who claims the refund is not the person who bore the burden, the refund is paid into a fund called Consumer Welfare Fund. Therefore, even if the duty / interest is refundable on merits, it is important for the applicant for a refund to prove that he has not passed the burden of duty, in order to succeed in getting refund of duty.

Section 28D provides that every person who has paid duty under the Customs Act, unless the contrary is proved by him, shall be deemed to have passed the full incidence of such duty to the buyer; hence the applicant for refund has to refute the presumption of passing on the incidence of duty.

Q8. Acme Sales' imports were being provisionally assessed pending a verification that the department was carrying out. Upon completion of the verification, the assessments were finalized, and Acme Sales was asked to pay Rs. 12 lakhs, which it paid. After six months, upon detailed scrutiny of the verification report and taking legal opinion on it, Acme Sales filed a claim for refund of Rs. 8 lakhs on the ground that the differential amount should be Rs. 4 lakhs only and that there were factual errors in the verification report. Was this the correct mode of redressal for Acme Sales? What will be likely outcome of the claim? Discuss on the basis of case law on the subject.

Ans. Acme Sales received an order finalizing provisional assessment on the basis of a verification report, and requiring payment of Rs. 12 Lakhs. They did not contest this order, but made the payment, and allowed the appeal period of sixty days to lapse. After appeal became time-barred

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

they filed a claim for refund in which they challenged the order. This was a backdoor method of seeking relief against the order; it also asked an officer of the same rank to review the order passed; and it sought to bypass the time limitation for appeal by presenting the appeal as a claim for refund. The Supreme Court has held, in the case of Priya Blue Industries Limited, 2004 (172) ELT 145 (SC), that such a refund claim is not permissible for all these reasons. A person who is aggrieved with an assessment order cannot seek refund without filing an appeal against the assessment order.

Q9. Mr. N has, over three consignments of 200, 400 and 400 units, imported a total of 1000 units of an article "ZEP", which has been valued at Rs. 1,150 per unit. The customs duty on this article has been assessed Rs. 250 per unit. He adds his profit margin Rs. 350 per unit and sells the article for Rs. 1,750 per unit.

After one month of selling the entire consignment of article "ZEP", Mr. N found that there had been an error in payment of amount of duty, in which duty for the consignment of 200 units was paid as if it was 400 units, resulting in excess payment of duty. Mr. N files an application for refund for ` 50,000 (200 X 250). Is the bar of unjust enrichment attracted?

Ans. Mr. N's invoices show that he collected duty of Rs. 250 per unit on 1,000 items. However he paid duty on 200 items more. This payment, in the normal course, was made before the order permitting the clearance of the goods. It would be evident from the bill of entry that the amount paid was more than the amount of duty assessed. Thus Mr N's case falls within the exception to unjust enrichment listed at clause (g) of the first proviso to section 27(2). He will be able to refute the charge of unjust enrichment. Furthermore, clause (a) of the same sub-section provides that the doctrine of unjust enrichment will not apply to the refund of duty and interest, if any, paid on such duty if such amount is relatable to the duty and interest paid by the importer/exporter, if he had not passed on the incidence of such duty and interest to any other person. Mr N's invoices will show how much duty he collected from his customers, hence he may be covered by this clause also to escape the bar of unjust enrichment.

Q10. Explain the relevant dates as provided in section 26A(2) of the Customs Act, 1962 for purpose of refund of duty under specified circumstances, namely:

- (i) goods exported out of India
- (ii) relinquishment of title to goods
- (iii) goods destroyed or rendered valueless.

Ans. The relevant dates provided under Explanation to section 26A(2) of the Customs Act, 1962 for purpose of refund of duty under specified circumstances are as follows:-

|                                             |                                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Goods exported out of India                 | Date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of goods for exportation |
| Relinquishment of title to the goods        | Date of such relinquishment                                                                               |
| Goods being destroyed or rendered valueless | Date of such destruction or rendering of goods commercially valueless                                     |

## Other Questions

Q11. The importer has imported an article, which has been valued at Rs. 1000/-. The customs duty on this article comes to Rs. 250/-. Now the importer adds his profit margin of say Rs. 250/- and sells the article for Rs. 1500/-. Now the price charged by the importer consists of the duty element which has been passed on to the buyer. If later on it is found that there was an error resulting in excess payment of duty, such excess duty is liable to be refunded. But as may be seen above, the importer has already collected the duty from the purchaser and if any refund is granted to him, it would confer on him a double benefit to which he does not have a valid right. Therefore in such cases the refund is credited to the "Consumer Welfare Fund".

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



## Join India's largest IDT doubt solving telegram group

<https://telegram.me/cafinalidtqueryamitjain>

Ans. The landmark judgment on refund is by a Nine Member Bench of the Supreme Court in *Mafatlal Industries Ltd. v. U.O.I.- 1997 (89) E.L.T. 247*. The salient features of this judgment can be summarised as under:

- The theory of unjust enrichment is valid and constitutional. However, the theory that, conversely, the manufacturer would be unjustly impoverished in case of demands has not been agreed to.
- Section 27 (Customs Act) is self contained code for refunds; resort to civil suits or writs is not permissible unless the taxing provision is struck down as unconstitutional. The general theory laid down in certain judgments of both the Supreme Court and High Courts that refund could be claimed within three years of discovery of mistake has been disapproved.
- Unless the levy is struck down as unconstitutional, all Courts must exercise jurisdiction in terms of section 11B and refuse to grant relief if the incidence of tax has been passed on.
- Whatever amount is collected as duty will have to paid to the Government. If excess is collected than that payable, it would be credited to the Consumer Welfare Fund or given as refund to the person who has borne the incidence of duty.

The Supreme Court has held in *Solar Pesticides case 2000 (116) ELT 401* that the bar of unjust enrichment will apply to refunds even in case of captive consumption of inputs by the importer, as the incidence of duty paid on the inputs are passed on to the customers.

Further, the Supreme Court in the case of *CCE v. Allied Photographics 2004 (166) ELT 3* has held that doctrine of unjust enrichment applies even when duty is paid under protest. It has been held that even if there is no change in price before and after assessment (i.e. before and after imposition of duty), it does not lead to the inevitable conclusion that incidence of duty has been passed on to the buyer, as such uniformity may be due to various factors.

The principle of unjust enrichment applies in case of refund after provisional assessment as what is paid at the time of provisional assessment is customs duty and not only deposit [*Bussa Overseas v. UOI 2003 (158) ELT 135(Bom.)*]. As per CBIC Circular No. 40/2002-Cus. dated 17.07.2002, unjust enrichment provisions apply to provisional assessment also.

Q12. State briefly with reference to the provisions of section 27 of the Customs Act, 1962 whether the principle of "unjust enrichment" will apply in case of refund of excess duty paid on car imported for personal use?

Ans. The bar of unjust enrichment applies in case of refund of customs duty under section 27(2) of the Customs Act, 1962.

The principle of unjust enrichment will not apply to refund of duty on car imported for personal use, as clause (b) of the proviso to sub-section (2) of section 27 of the Customs Act, 1962 stipulates that in case of imports made by an individual for his personal use, the refund should not be credited to consumer welfare fund, but shall be paid to the applicant.

Q13. Write a brief note on the following with reference to decided case law and the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962 :

Whether benefit of exemption notification meant for 'imported goods' could be extended in case of 'smuggled goods'? (CA Final May 2012)

Ans. No, the benefit of the exemption notification meant for 'imported goods' could not be extended to 'smuggled goods'.

The Supreme Court in the case of *CC v. M. Ambalal & Co. (SC)* has held that had smuggled goods and imported goods were to treated as one, Customs Act, 1962 would not have provided for two different definitions for the two. Considering that curbing the ills of smuggling in the economy is one of the principal functions of the Customs Act, the purpose of exemption notifications would be defeated if the benefit meant for imported goods is given to smuggled goods.

Q14. ABC imported "XYZ goods" on 25-9-2015 seeking classification under certain heading. However, the department denied the benefit and charged the additional duty of customs @ 40%.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

ABC paid the amount with protest and claimed refund of Rs. 20 lakhs on 28-9-2015. The Assistant Commissioner sanctioned refund vide order dated 7-4-2016 but credited the same to the Consumer Welfare Fund.

The assessee went into appeal and the Tribunal gave the decision in favour of the assessee. Hence, the assessee applied to the Assistant Commissioner vide application dated 21-12-2018 who by order dated 25-2-2019 passed order of refund but without giving interest for delayed payment U/s 27A of the Customs Act, 1962 as it is of the opinion that the refund was made within 3 months from the date of receipt of duly completed application on 21-12-2018.

Discuss the validity of the Department's opinion and compute the interest, if any, payable on refund U/s 27A.

Ans. The issue was discussed in *Super Cassettes Ltd V/s CC [2008] (Cal)* wherein it was held that the interest on refund U/s 27A of the Customs Act, 1962 is payable from the date immediately after expiry of 3 months from the date on which the assessee applies for the refund U/s 27 (1) of the Act. In the instant case, the application for refund was filed by the assessee on 28-9-2015 and all necessary documents in support of the claim were also filed. It is irrelevant that the assessee got the refund after making an appeal to the tribunal. Hence, assessee was entitled to interest U/s 27A as follows:-

|                                                                |                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Amount of refund                                               | Rs. 20,00,000   |
| Date of making application                                     | 28-09-2015      |
| Date after the expiry of 3 months from the date of application | 28-12-2015      |
| Date of making refund                                          | 25-02-2019      |
| No. of years and days for which interest to be granted         | 3 years 59 days |
| Interest @ 6%                                                  | Rs. 3,79,397    |

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q15. Discuss briefly whether refund effected by the Department pursuant to orders of court in respect of a bank guarantee towards disputed duty encashed by department, would be subject section 27 of Customs Act relating to "unjust enrichment".

Ans. It was held in *Oswal Agro Mills Ltd. v/s CCex* that –

1. Bank guarantee is merely a security to Department so that revenue would be collected if assessee loses;
2. Furnishing of bank guarantee is not a payment of duty;
3. Section 27 applies to refund of "duty paid" earlier;
4. Since furnishing of bank guarantee or encashment thereof doesn't amount to "duty paid", hence, there is no question of any "refund", because there is no "duty paid" earlier;
5. Hence section 27 doesn't apply to refund of bank guarantee encashed by department and, accordingly, the principle of unjust enrichment is also not applicable.

There is no provision for interest on delayed refund of wrongly encashed bank guarantee; however assessee may be granted compensation by courts (*Faro Marine Co. Ltd. V CC – 2014*).

Aforesaid judgement in *Oswal Agro's* case would apply only to a pre-deposit made as per interim orders of order i.e. when demand itself is stayed and only a security if furnished. When stay on demand is vacated i.e. when there is no stay, amount recovered by department by encashment of bank guarantee would have character of duty and same would be subject to unjust enrichment principle. (*DCW Ltd. V/s UOI 2015*)

Q16. A manufacturer of excisable goods imported epoxy resin under bill of entry dated 28th March, 1992 and put them in bonded warehouse. He later on cleared it on payment of duty. Subsequently, he realized that there was an excess payment of duty and put in a refund claim. He used the imported goods in the manufacture of other goods and the burden of duty had not been passed on. The imported goods were not sold to any other buyer. The Customs Department refused the claim to refund on the ground of unjust enrichment. Was the decision justified? Discuss.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Ans. It was held in UOI v Solar Pesticides (P) Ltd. [2000] that-

- Unjust enrichment applies if incidence/burden of duty is passed on to buyer;
- Such incidence/burden may be passed on indirectly by using such goods in manufacture of other goods and selling such finished goods to another person (the value of such finished goods shall include the amount of duty paid on imported raw material);
- Hence, when imported raw materials are captively consumed in manufacture of finished products, which are sold, duty on such materials is presumed to have been passed on to buyer;
- Therefore, the principle of unjust enrichment applies in such cases as well and accordingly, the customs department is right in denying refund on such ground.

Q17. What is the difference between short levy and short payment?

Ans. Short levy: Short levy arises when the charge itself is done at a lower rate than what is payable. In such a case, the price recovered by the assessee is assumed to be cum duty and actual duty is arrived at making back / reverse calculations. Short levy may arise on account of wrong classification.

Short payment: Short payment is a case of less payment of customs duty than what is due. It arises out of a short levy or a short payment of a correct levy. The assessee is liable to pay such shortfall.

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

## Chapter 8: - Foreign Trade Policy

### ICAI Test your knowledge

This chapter includes "14 Q & A" from Custom main book.  
Do refer important "3 examples" from Custom Main Book.

**Q1. What do you understand by the term 'Foreign Trade Policy' (FTP)? Which is the governing legislation for FTP? Which Government authorities administer FTP in India?**

**Ans.** Foreign Trade Policy is a set of guidelines or instructions issued by the Central Government in matters related to import and export of goods in India viz., foreign trade. The FTP, in general, aims at developing export potential, improving export performance, encouraging foreign trade and creating favorable balance of payments position.

In India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry governs the affairs relating to the promotion and regulation of foreign trade. The main legislation concerning foreign trade is the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992 FT (D&R) Act.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the FT (D&R) Act, the Union Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India announces the integrated Foreign Trade Policy (FTP) in every five years with certain underlined objectives. This policy is generally updated every year in April, in addition to changes that are made throughout the year.

The FTP is formulated, controlled and supervised by the office of the Director General of Foreign Trade (DGFT), an attached office of the Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India. DGFT has several offices in various parts of the country which work on the basis of the policy formed by the headquarters at Delhi.

Though the FTP is formulated by DGFT, it is administered in close coordination with other agencies. Other important authorities dealing with FTP are:

- (i) Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs (CBIC)
- (ii) Reserve Bank of India (RBI)
- (iii) State VAT Departments

**Q2. Briefly explain as to how FTP is linked with customs laws.**

**Ans.** The Foreign Trade Policy is closely knit with the Customs laws of India. However, the policy provisions per-se do not override tax laws. The exemptions extended by FTP are given effect to by issue of notifications under respective tax laws (e.g., IGST Act, CGST Act, SGST/UTGST Act, Customs Tariff Act, 1975, Central Excise Act, 1944, Customs Act, 1962 etc.). Thus, actual benefit of the exemption depends on the language of exemption notifications issued by the CBIC.

In most of the cases the exemption notifications refer to policy provisions for detailed conditions. Ministry of Finance/ Tax Authorities cannot question the decision of authorities under the Ministry of Commerce (so far as the issue of authorization etc. is concerned).

Decision of Director General of Foreign Trade (DGFT) is final and binding in respect of (a) Interpretation of any provision of foreign trade policy or provision of Handbook of Procedures, Appendices, Aayat Niryat Forms (b) Classification of any item in ITC(HS).

**Q3. Enumerate the various matters in respect of which policies and regulations are framed under FTP.**

**Ans.** Following issues are covered under FTP 2015-2020 –

- General provisions regarding import and export of goods – Chapter 2 of [FTP 2015-2020](#).
- Export From India Scheme [MEIS and SEIS] to encourage exports of specified goods to specified countries and also export of services – Chapter 3 of FTP 2015-2020.
- Duty Exemption and Remission Schemes [Advance Authorisation, DFIA and Duty Drawback Scheme and duty remissions schemes under GST law] to enable exporters to import inputs without payment of customs duty – Chapter 4 of [FTP 2015-2020](#).
- Export Promotion Capital Goods (EPCG) scheme [to obtain capital goods without payment of customs duty] – Chapter 5 of FTP 2015-2020.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

- EOU/EHTP/STP and BTP schemes – Chapter 6 of FTP 2015-2020.
- Deemed Exports – Chapter 7 of [FTP 2015-2020](#).
- Quality Complaints and Trade Disputes – Chapter 8 of FTP 2015-2020.

Policy in respect of Special Economic Zones [SEZ] is contained in SEZ Act, 2005 and Rules.

Q4. With reference to the provisions of FTP 2015-2020, discuss giving reasons whether the following statements are true or false:

- (i) If any doubt arises in respect of interpretation of any provision of FTP, the said doubt should be forwarded to CBIC, whose decision thereon would be final and binding.
- (ii) Authorization once claimed by an importer cannot be refused by DGFT.
- (iii) IEC is a unique 12 digit PAN based alphanumeric code allotted to a person for undertaking any export/ import activities.
- (iv) Waste generated during manufacture in an SEZ Unit can be freely disposed in DTA on payment of applicable customs duty, without any authorization.
- (v) A Customs Clearance Permit (CCP) is required from DGFT in certain specific cases of import of gifts.

Ans.

- (i) False. If any question or doubt arises in respect of interpretation of any provision of the FTP, said question or doubt ought to be referred to DGFT whose decision thereon would be final and binding.
- (ii) False. No person may claim an Authorization as a right and DGFT shall have power to refuse to grant or renew the same in accordance with provisions of FT(D&R) Act, rules made thereunder and FTP.
- (iii) False. IEC is a unique 10 digit code allotted to a person for undertaking export/ import activities.
- (iv) True. Any waste or scrap or remnant including any form of metallic waste & scrap generated during manufacturing or processing activities of an SEZ Unit/ Developer/ Co-developer are allowed to be disposed in DTA freely, without any authorization, subject to payment of applicable customs duty.
- (v) True. A Customs Clearance Permit (CCP) for import of gifts is not required from DGFT if such goods are otherwise freely importable under ITC(HS). Thus, only when the goods imported as gifts are not freely importable under ITC(HS), a CCP is required.

Q5. Mr. A wants to import by air a laptop from USA. Such laptop has been used by Mr. B - the seller for few months there. Mr. A contends that he can freely import such laptop without any restriction/ authorization. Examine the correctness of Mr. A's claim in the light of the provisions of [FTP 2015-2020](#).

Ans. Import of one laptop computer (notebook computer) is exempt from whole of the customs duty. Further, Foreign Trade Policy 2015-2020 provides that import of second hand laptop requires authorization.

In view of above, Mr. A's claim is not correct as second hand laptops can be imported only against an authorization.

Q6. State in brief policy for import of samples.

Ans. No authorisation is required for import of bona fide technical and trade samples. These are importable freely. Samples upto Rs. 3,00,000 can be imported by all exporters without duty. Authorisation for import of samples is required only in case of vegetable seeds, bees and new drugs. Samples of tea upto Rs. 2,000 (CIF) per consignment will be allowed without authorization.

Q7. State salient aspects of Advance authorisation for annual requirements to exporters.

Ans. Annual Advance authorisation would be issued to exporters having past export performance for at least two financial years, to enable them to import the inputs required by them on annual basis.



# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Advance authorization for Annual Basis can be only on basis of prescribed manner and not on basis of ad hoc norms.

Annual Advance Authorisation in terms of CIF value of imports will be granted upto 300% of FOB value of physical exports in preceding financial year and/or FOR value of deemed exports in preceding year or Rs. 1 crore, whichever is higher.

Q8. What are the salient features of Duty-Free Import Authorization Scheme (DFIA)? Which duties are exempted under this scheme?

Ans. DFIA is issued to allow duty free import of inputs, oil and catalyst which are required for production of export product. The goods imported are exempt ONLY from basic customs duty.

DFIA shall be issued on post export basis for products for which SION have been notified. Separate DFIA shall be issued for each SION and each port.

No DFIA shall be issued for an export product where SION prescribes 'Actual User' condition for any input.

Holder of DFIA has an option to procure the materials/ inputs from indigenous manufacturer/STE in lieu of direct import against Advance Release Order (ARO)/ Invalidation letter/ Back to Back Inland Letter of Credit. However, DFIA holder may obtain supplies from EOU/EHTP/BTP/STP/SEZ units, without obtaining ARO or Invalidation letter.

Drawback as per rate determined and fixed by Customs authority shall be available for duty paid inputs, whether imported or indigenous, used in the export product.

DFIA or the inputs imported against it can be transferred after the fulfillment of the export obligation.

A minimum 20% value addition is required for issuance of DFIA except for items in gems and jewellery sector.

Q9. Answer the following questions with reference to the provisions of Duty Credit Scrips under Export from India Schemes under FTP 2015-2020.

- (i) Rishita provides services eligible for SEIS Scheme. She wants to sell SEIS scrips earned by her. Can she do so?
- (ii) Can a manufacturer, instead of importing the inputs, source the same indigenously without payment of GST?
- (iii) An exporter was issued duty credit scrip dated 15.07.2015. What is the period within which he must utilize the scrip?
- (iv) An exporter exported leather footwears through courier using ecommerce of value of Rs. 24,000. Can he apply for duty credit scrips under Merchandise Exports from India Scheme (MEIS)?

Ans.

- (i) Yes. The duty credit scrips and goods imported or domestically procured against them are freely transferable.
- (ii) No. Utilization of duty credit scrip is not permitted for payment of GST for procurement from domestic sources.
- (i) The duty credit scrip will be valid for 18 months from date of issue.
- (ii) Yes. Exports of leather footwears through courier using e-commerce of FOB value of Rs. 5,00,000 per consignment are eligible for MEIS.

Q10. Mention the reward scheme provided under FTP which aims to promote the manufacture and export of notified goods/ products. Discuss the basis of computation of reward under said scheme. How can the duty scrips issued under the Scheme be utilized?

Ans. The scheme is known as Merchandise Exports from India Scheme (MEIS).

The objective of MEIS scheme is to promote the manufacture and export of notified goods/products. Under MEIS, exports of notified goods/products to notified markets shall be eligible for reward at the specified rate(s). Unless otherwise specified, the basis of calculation of reward would be:

(i) on realised FOB value of exports in free foreign exchange.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

or

(ii) on FOB value of exports as given in the Shipping Bills in free foreign exchange, whichever is less.

These scrips can be used for payment of customs duties on import of inputs/goods including notified capital goods.

Q11. Explain salient features of post export EPCG scheme.

Ans. In EPCG scheme, first capital goods are imported without payment of customs duty and then export obligation is fulfilled.

In case of post export EPCG scheme, the capital goods are imported on full payment of applicable duties in cash. Later, basic customs duty paid on Capital Goods shall be remitted in the form of freely transferable duty credit scrips. Capital goods imported under EPCG Authorisation for physical exports are also exempt from IGST and Compensation Cess upto 31.03.2021.

In case integrated tax and compensation cess are paid in cash on imports under EPCG, incidence of the said integrated tax and compensation cess would not be taken for computation of net duty saved provided input tax credit is not availed.

These Duty Credit Scrips can be used for payment of applicable custom duties for imports. All other provisions of EPCG Scheme apply to post export EPCG scheme also.

Specific Export Obligation under this Scheme shall be 85% of the applicable specific EO [6 times of duties, taxes and cess saved on capital goods imported under EPCG scheme to be fulfilled in 6 years reckoned from authorization issue date]. Average EO remains unchanged.

Duty remission shall be in proportion to the Export Obligation fulfilled.

The advantage of the scheme is that the exporter does not have any specific export obligation when he imports capital goods on payment of full customs duty. Later, he gets remission on the basis of exports made by him.

Q12. With reference to the provisions relating to EOU, EHTP, STP, BTP & SEZ Schemes as contained in FTP, answer the following questions:

- (i) A unit intending to trade in handicrafts wants to set up an EOU. Is it allowed?
- (ii) An EOU has started production after 4 years 10 months from the date of grant of Letter of Permission (LoP)/ Letter of Intent (LoI). Is it correct?
- (iii) A EOU wants to import a second hand capital goods which is prohibited under ITC (HS). Can it do so?

Ans.

- (i) No. Units undertaking to export their entire production of goods and services (except permissible sales in DTA), may be set up under the Export Oriented Unit (EOU) Scheme, Electronics Hardware Technology Park (EHTP) Scheme, Software Technology Park (STP) Scheme or BioTechnology Park (BTP) Scheme for manufacture of goods, including repair, re-making, reconditioning, re-engineering and rendering of services. Trading units are not covered under these schemes.
- (ii) No. EOU/ BTP/ EHTP/ STPs should start production within 2 years from the date of grant of Letter of Permission (LoP)/ Letter of Intent (LoI). In other words, LoP/ LoI have an initial validity of 2 years, by which time unit should have commenced production. Its validity may be extended further up to 2 years by competent authority. However, proposals for extension beyond four years shall be considered in exceptional circumstances, on a case to case basis by BoA.
- (iii) No. Though an EOU is permitted to import duty free second hand capital goods, without any age limit, it cannot import capital goods that are prohibited items of import in the ITC(HS).

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Q13. List some supplies which are 'deemed exports' for purpose of benefits under Foreign Trade Policy 2015-2020.

Ans. As per FTP 2015-2020, following are treated as deemed exports:

- ♦ Supplies against Advance Authorisation/DFIA
- ♦ Supplies to EOU/STP/EHTP/BTP
- ♦ Supplies against EPCG authorization
- ♦ Supply of marine freight containers by 100% EOU
- ♦ Supplies to projects against International Competitive Bidding
- ♦ Supplies to projects where imports permitted at zero customs duty
- ♦ Supply to mega power projects
- ♦ Supplies to UN or International Organizations for their official use.
- ♦ Supplies to nuclear projects

## Other Questions

Q14. CD Corporation, a merchant exporter, procured order of goods from a customer in USA. It approached AB Corporation, a manufacturer, for execution of the said order. The shipping bills relating to the consignment bear the name of CD Corporation. Bank Realization Certificate, export order and invoice are also in the name of CD Corporation. Comment whether AB Corporation would be deemed as the exporter under FTP.

Ans. The given scenario is a case of third-party exports.

Third-party exports means exports made by an exporter or manufacturer on behalf of another exporter(s). The conditions for being allowed as third-party exports under FTP are:

- (i) Export documents such as shipping bills shall indicate name of both manufacturing exporter/manufacturer and third party exporter(s).
- (ii) BRC, export order and invoice should be in the name of third party exporter.

In the above case, though BRC, export order and invoice are in the name of CD Corporation (third party exporter), the shipping bill does not have the name of AB Corporation (manufacturer).

Therefore, AB Corporation will not be treated as the exporter in this case\*.

\* However, AB Corporation can supply goods without payment of GST under bond of Merchant Exporter.

Q15. Discuss the similarities and differences between Advance Authorization and DFIA (Duty Free Import Authorization) schemes.

Ans. In both DFIA and Advance Authorization schemes, import of inputs, oil and catalyst which are required for export products are permitted without payment of customs duty.

The differences between DFIA and Advance Authorisation schemes are as follows –

- i. 'Advance Authorisation' is not transferable. DFIA is transferable after export obligation is fulfilled.
- ii. Advance Authorisation scheme requires 15% value addition, while in case of DFIA, minimum 20% value addition is required.
- iii. Advance Authorisation scheme is available to gem and jewellery sector but not DFIA.
- iv. DFIA cannot be issued where SION (Standard Input Output Norms) prescribes actual user condition [as the material is transferable after fulfilment of export obligation].
- v. Advance Authorisation can be issued even if SION for that product is not fixed. DFIA can be issued only if SION has been fixed for that product to be exported.
- vi. IGST has been exempted on imports under Advance Authorisation scheme upto 31-03-2021, but there is no such exemption available if imports are under DFIA scheme.

Q16. Two exporters namely, Red Sky Pvt. Ltd. and Black Night Pvt. Ltd. have achieved the status of Status Holders (One Star Export House) in the current financial year. Both the exporters have been

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

regularly exporting goods (other than Gems and Jewellery) every year. What would have been the minimum export performance of the two exporters to achieve such status?

Both the exporters want to establish export warehouses in accordance with the applicable guidelines. What should be their export turnover to enable them to establish export warehouses?

**Ans.** Status Holders are business leaders who have excelled in international trade and have successfully contributed to country's foreign trade. All exporters of goods, services and technology having an import-export code (IEC) number shall be eligible for recognition as a status holder.

Status recognition depends upon export performance.

In order to be categorized as One Star Export House, an exporter needs to achieve the export performance of 3 million US \$ million [FOB/ FOR (as converted)] during current and previous three financial years. Thus, export performance of Red Sky Pvt. Ltd. and Black Night Pvt. Ltd. would have been at least 3 million US \$ million [FOB/ FOR (as converted)] during current and previous three financial years.

Further, Two Star Export Houses and above are permitted to establish export warehouses.

Therefore, Red Sky Pvt. Ltd. and Black Night Pvt. Ltd. can establish export warehouses in India only if they achieve the status of Two Star Export House and above. In order to achieve said status, export performance of the exporters during current and previous three financial years should be as indicated below:

| Status Category         | Export Performance [FOB/FOR (as converted) value on US \$ million] |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Two Star Export House   | 25                                                                 |
| Three Star Export House | 100                                                                |
| Four Star Export House  | 500                                                                |
| Five Star Export House  | 2000                                                               |

Q17. XP Pvt. Ltd., a manufacturer, wants to import capital goods in CKD condition from a foreign country and assemble the same in India. The import of the capital goods will be under notified Project Imports. The capital goods will be used for pre-production processes. The final products of XP Pvt. Ltd. would be supplied in SEZ. XP Pvt. Ltd. wishes to sell the capital goods imported by it as soon as the production process starts.

XP Pvt. Ltd. seeks your advice whether it can avail the benefit of EPCG Scheme for importing the intended capital goods.

Note – Base your opinion on the facts given above assuming that all other conditions required for being eligible to the EPCG Scheme are fulfilled in the above case.

**Ans.** Export Promotion Capital Goods Scheme (EPCG) permits exporters to import capital goods at zero customs duty or procure them indigenously without paying duty in prescribed manner.

In return, exporter is under an obligation to fulfill the export obligation. Export obligation means obligation to export product(s) covered by Authorisation/permission in terms of quantity or value or both, as may be prescribed/specified by Regional or competent authority. Exports to SEZ unit/developer/co-developer will be considered for discharge of export obligation of EPCG Authorization, irrespective of currency.

The authorisation holder can either procure the capital goods (whether used for pre-production, production or post-production) from global market or domestic market. The capital goods can also be imported in CKD/ SKD to be assembled in India.

An EPCG Authorization can also be issued for import of capital goods under Scheme for Project Imports notified by CBIC. Export obligation for such EPCG Authorizations would be 6 times of duty saved.

However, import of capital goods is subject to 'Actual User' condition till export obligation is completed. After export obligation is completed, capital goods can be sold or transferred.

Therefore, based on the above discussion, XP Pvt. Ltd. can import the capital goods under EPCG Scheme. However, it has to make sure that it does not sell the capital goods till the export obligation is completed.

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

Q18. ABC Ltd. has imported capital goods valued Rs. 10,00,000 under zero duty EPCG scheme. It wants to import spares for that machine under the said scheme. Can it do so?

Ans. Spares (including refurbished/reconditioned spares), moulds, dies, jigs, fixtures, tools and refractory for initial lining; for existing plant and machinery (imported earlier, under EPCG or otherwise), shall be allowed to be imported under the EPCG scheme upto 10% of book value of plant and machinery subject to an export obligation equivalent to 50% of the prescribed export obligation (for import of capital goods), to be fulfilled in 6 years, reckoned from Authorization issue date. Thus, X Ltd. can import spares CIF value Rs. 1,00,000 under the said scheme.

Q19. Mr. A, manufactured goods in India and got a contract to supply capital goods within India to M/s Z Export Ltd. (holding license under EPCG scheme). Due to some operational problem, Mr. A sub-contracted supply of capital goods to Mr. M with proper authorization from M/s. Z Export Ltd. and included name of Mr. M in main contract of supply before he started supply of goods. Can Mr. M claim benefit of deemed export for supplies made to Mr. A? Explain with reasons.

(CA Final Nov 2015)

Ans. Supply of capital goods by a manufacturer against EPCG authorization is considered as deemed exports.

Further supply of domestically manufactured goods by an Indian sub-contractor (Mr. M) to any Indian or foreign main contractor (Mr. A), directly at the designated project's/Agency's site, is eligible for deemed export benefit provided name of sub-contractor is indicated either originally or subsequently (but before the date of supply of such goods) in the main contract.

Since in the given case, the name of Mr. M (sub-contractor) was included in the main contract of supply before he started supply of goods, he can claim benefit of deemed exports of the presumption that he domestically manufactured the capital goods and supplied the same directly at the designated project's/Agency's site.

Q20. An EOU furnishes you with the following information relating to export and domestic clearances of various products manufactured by it. Export clearances: Product A = Rs. 150 Lakhs and that of Product B = Rs. 2,500 Lakhs. The EOU requires you to determine the amount of maximum amount of sales of product A that can be made in DTA at concessional rates if it has made DTA sales of Rs. 1,180 Lakhs of Product B.

Ans. Units which are manufacturing and exporting more than one product can sell any of these products into DTA, upto 90% of FOB value of export of the specific products, subject to the condition that total DTA sales that can be effected of both the products together = 50% (Rs. 150 Lakhs + Rs. 2,500 Lakhs) = Rs. 1,325 Lakhs. Since it has effected domestic sales of product B amounting Rs. 1,180 lakhs, the balance sale of Rs. 145 Lakhs (Rs. 1,325-Rs. 1,180) can be made in domestic tariff area. However, the domestic sales of product A cannot exceed 90% of Rs. 150 Lakhs = Rs. 135 Lakhs.

## Questions from Custom Main Book

Q21. ABC Ltd. a service exporter of specified service is having net free foreign earnings of US \$ 20,000 in year of rendering service, requires you to compute its duty credit scrip entitlement for the current financial year under the SEIS.

The company has provided/supplied specified service during current year as follows:

1. Supply from India to US - \$ 30,000
2. Supply from India to Service Consumer of US in India - \$ 12,000
3. Supply from India through commercial branch in a city of US - \$ 8,000
4. Supply from India through presence of employees in another city of US - \$ 6,500

The total expenses/payments of ABC Ltd. Relating to aforesaid supplies are:

- 5% of gross receipts in foreign exchange, and
- Another 10% of gross receipts in Indian Rupees.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi



ABC Ltd. also informs that it has received –

- Loans of \$ 5,000 from US
- Another amount of \$ 6,000 (net of expenses) from EEFC Account towards services export; and
- Another amount of \$ 2,500 (net of expenses) on behalf of a client, who is also and export of specified services.

Notified rate for service provided by ABC Ltd. is 5%

Ans. ABC Ltd. is eligible for SEIS benefit, as its NFE (Net free foreign exchange earnings) during in year of rendering services is USD \$ 20,000.

The SEIS benefit is computed below:

|                                                                | Gross (\$) | Expenses in Foreign Exchange (\$) | NFE of Current Year (\$) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Supply from India to US – Eligible                             | 30,000     | 5% i.e. 1,500                     | 28,500                   |
| Supply from India to service consumer of US in India –Eligible | 12,000     | 5% i.e. 600                       | 11,400                   |
| Total                                                          | 42,000     | 2,100                             | 39,900                   |
| Credit scrip entitled @ 5% of \$ 39,900                        |            |                                   | 1,995                    |

Q22. X Ltd. has imported capital good under EPCG scheme. The total amount of duty saved amounted Rs.2,00,000. Discuss its export obligation and the time limit to achieve the same.

Ans. The benefit under EPCG is subject to the condition that export obligation equivalent to 6 times of duty saved on capital goods imported under EPCG scheme is to be fulfilled in 6 years reckoned from Authorization issue – date. Thus, X Ltd. has to fulfill export obligation amounting Rs.12,00,000 and the same must be achieved in 6 years.

Q23. XYZ Ltd. has imported inputs without payment of duty under DFIA. The CIF value of such inputs is Rs.15,00,000. The inputs are processed and the final product is exported. The exports made by XYZ Ltd. are subject to general rate of value addition prescribed under Advance Authorization Scheme. No other input is being used by XYZ Ltd. in the processing. What should be the minimum FOB value of the exports made by the XYZ Ltd. As per the provisions of Advance Authorization?

Ans. DFIA necessitates exports with a minimum value additional of 20% value addition (VA). Therefore, the minimum FOB value of the exports made by XYZ Ltd. should be Rs.18,00,000.

Q24. Calculate Reward under MEIS assuming reward rate is 5% from the following:-

(1)

| Product | FOB Value Declared in Shipping Bill | FOB Value Realised                   |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| A       | Rs. 10,00,000                       | Rs. 9,90,000 ( due to exchange Loss) |
| B       | Rs. 20,00,000                       | Rs. 20,15,000 (due to exchange gain) |

(2) Export of Product 'C' through Foreign Post office – FOB Value = Rs. 4,10,000

(3) Export of Product 'D' through courier – FOB Value = Rs. 5,10,000

(4) Supply of goods to SEZ unit = Rs. 3,00,000

(5) Supply of goods to 100% EOU = Rs. 2,00,000

(6) Export of product 'E' which is liable to export duty @ 10% = Rs. 5,00,000

Ans. The MEIS reward is computed below –

(amount in Rs.)

|                                                                                                                                |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Product A (FOB Value realized or FOB value in shipping bill, whichever is lower is to be taken)                                | 9,90,000  |
| Product B (FOB value realized or FOB value in shipping bill, whichever is lower is to be taken)                                | 20,00,000 |
| Export of Product 'C' through Foreign Post office – FOB Value = Rs. 4,10,000 (For MEIS Reward – Value limited to Rs. 5,00,000) | 4,10,000  |

# Additional Questions & Answers

These are apart from Examples in main book

|                                                                                                                    |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Export of Product 'D' through courier – FOB Value = Rs. 5,10,000 (For MEIS Reward – Value limited to Rs. 5,00,000) | 5,00,000  |
| Total                                                                                                              | 39,00,000 |
| MEIS reward @ 5%                                                                                                   | 1,95,000  |

Note – Supply to SEZ and 100% EOU (Deemed Export) not eligible for Reward. Export of product 'E' which is liable to export duty not eligible for Reward.

Q25. Mr. Mukul, a Chartered Accountant received US \$12,000 (net) during the financial year 2016-17 from M/s. Carter & Company of USA for providing auditing services. Out of this, Rs.1,20,000 equivalent to US \$2,000 was received in India Rupees and US \$ 2,000 was received through the credit card of Mr. Romeo, who is the partner of M/s. Carter & Company.

Explain with reference to provisions of new Service Export from India Scheme (SEIS) as provided in new Foreign Trade Policy 2015-2020 whether Mr. Mukul is entitled to avail benefit under SEIS Scheme?

Ans. In the given case, the auditing services provided by Mr. Mukul are the notified services provided in the specified manner. Further, the NFE of Mr. Mukul in year of rendering service financial year 2016-17 is US \$12,000 [including US \$2,000 received in Indian rupees and US \$ 2,000 received through credit card of Mr. Romeo, partner in M/s. Carter & Company] Consequently, Mr. Mukul is eligible for duty credit scrip entitlement under SEIS.

Q26. Compute entitlement advance authorization for annual requirement for an export performance in past 5 years and last financial year's details being:

- (i) Physical export (FOB Rs. 50 lakh);
- (ii) Deemed Exports (FOR Rs. 5 lakhs).

Ans. Since exporter has export performance in at least past 2 years, it is eligible for advance authorization for annual requirement.

The Entitlement would be:

300% of the (Rs.50 lakh + 5 lakh) = Rs.165 lakhs; or

Rs. 1 crore,

Whichever is higher i.e., Rs.165 lakh.

Q27. Explain the conditions for redeeming authorization under duty free import authorization scheme as per Foreign Trade Policy 2015-2020.

Ans. The conditions for redeeming authorization under Duty Free Import Authorization scheme (DFIA) as per Foreign Trade Policy 2015-2020 are as follows :-

- It is necessary to establish that inputs actually used in manufacture of the export product should only be imported under the authorization and inputs actually imported must be used in the export product, for redeeming the DFIA. The name / description of the input in the DFIA must match exactly with the name / description endorsed in the shipping bill.
- Further, quantity of input to be allowed under DFIA shall be in proportion to the quantity of input actually used / consumed in production.
- Aforesaid provisions will also be applicable for supplies to SEZs and supplies made under deemed exports.

Q28. Mr. A wants to import by air a laptop from USA. Such laptop has been used by Mr. B – the seller for few months there. Mr. A contends that he can freely import such laptop without any restriction/authorization. Examine the correctness of Mr. A's claim in the light of the provisions of FTP 2015-2020.

Ans. Import of second hand capital goods including their re-furnished/re-conditioned spares is allowed freely. However, import of second hand PC, laptop, air conditioner, DG set, photocopier will require authorization. In view of above, Mr. A's claim is not correct as second hand laptops can be imported only against an authorization.

**GST Bare Act Pages 120 V/s Direct Tax Bare Act Pages 1065**

Ask student of CA Final (Old Syllabus) Nov'18 exam about DT paper complexity compare to IDT

Call 93249 33998 to order pendrive available separately in English & Hindi

Q29. State in brief policy for import of samples.

Ans. No, authorisation is required for import of bona fide technical and trade samples of restricted items except vegetables seeds, bees and new drugs. Samples upto Rs. 3,00,000 can be imported by all exporters without duty. Samples of tea upto Rs. 2,000 (CIF) per consignment will be allowed without authorization.

Q30. CD Corporation, a merchant exporter, procured order of goods from a customer in USA. It approached AB Corporation, a manufacturer, for execution of the said order. The shipping bills relating to the consignment bear the name of CD Corporation. Bank Realization Certificate, export order and invoice are also in the name of CD Corporation. Comment whether said exports can be allowed as third party exports under FTP.

Ans. Third-party exports means exports made by an exporter or manufacturer on behalf of another exporter(s). The conditions for being allowed as third-party exports under FTP are: (i) Export documents such as shipping bills shall indicate name of both manufacturing exporter/manufacturer and third party exporter(s). (ii) BRC, export order and invoice should be in the name of third party exporter. In the above case, though BRC, export order and invoice are in the name of CD Corporation (third party exporter), the shipping bill does not have the name of AB Corporation (manufacturer). Therefore, said exports cannot be allowed as third party exports under FTP.

Q31. Differentiate between Advance Authorisation and DFIA (Duty Free Import Authorisation).

Ans. The differences between two schemes are as follows –

- (i) 'Advance Authorisation' is not transferable. DFIA is transferable after export obligation is fulfilled.
- (ii) Material imported under Advance Authorisation is not transferable even after fulfillment of export obligation. Material imported under DFIA will be transferable after fulfillment of export obligation.
- (iii) Advance Authorisation scheme requires 15% value addition, while in case of DFIA, minimum 20% value addition is required.
- (iv) Advance Authorisation scheme is available to gem and jewellery sector but not DFIA.
- (v) Advance Authorisation can be issued even if SION for that product is not fixed. DFIA can be issued only if SION has been fixed for the product to be exported.

Q32. A Ltd. exporter has purchased following capital goods under EPCG scheme:-

|                   | Capital goods | Duty payable | IGST       | Notional custom duty payable |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| Import            | A             | Rs. 4,00,000 | -          | -                            |
| Domestic Purchase | B             | -            | Rs. 70,000 | Rs. 1,00,000                 |

Ans.

| Capital goods           | Duty saved   | Export obligation factor | Export Obligation |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| A                       | Rs. 4,00,000 | 6                        | Rs. 24,00,000     |
| B                       | Rs. 1,00,000 | 4.5                      | Rs. 4,50,000      |
| Total Export Obligation |              |                          | Rs. 28,50,000     |

Note: In case of indigenous sourcing of Capital Goods, specific EO shall be 25% less than the normal EO hence it will be  $6 \times 75\% = 4.5$

Q33. Answer the following questions with reference to the provisions of duty credit scrips under Export from India Schemes under FTP 2015-2020:

- (i) Amit provides services eligible for SEIS Scheme. He wants to sell duty credit scrips earned under SEIS scheme. Can she do so?
- (ii) Can a manufacturer use the duty credit scrips granted under MEIS for procuring inputs indigenously instead of importing them?

# Additional Questions & Answers

## These are apart from Examples in main book

(iii) An exporter was issued duty credit scrips under MEIS dated 15-7-2019. What is the period within which he must utilize the scrip?

(iv) An exporter exported leather footwears through courier of value of Rs. 4,99,000. Can he apply for duty credit scrips under Merchandise Exports from India Scheme (MEIS)?

Ans.

(i) Yes. The duty credit scrips and goods imported or domestically procured against them are freely transferable. (ii) Yes. Utilization of duty credit scrip is permitted for payment of excise duty for procurement from domestic sources, of items permitted for imports under the duty credit scrips.

(iii) The duty credit scrip will be valid for 18 months from date of issue.

(iv) Yes. Exports of leather footwears through courier using e-commerce of FOB value of Rs. 5 lakh per consignment are eligible for MEIS.