

PAPER –8 : INDIRECT TAX LAWS

QUESTIONS

- (1) All questions should be answered on the basis of the position of GST law as amended up to 30.04.2019 and customs law as amended by the Finance Act, 2018 and notifications and circulars issued till 30.04.2019.
- (2) The GST rates for goods and services mentioned in various questions are hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates leviable on those goods and services. The rates of customs duty are also hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates. Further, GST compensation cess should be ignored in all the questions, wherever applicable.

1. State whether following statements are true or false w.r.t. provisions relating to Advance Ruling.
- (i) Questions on which the advance ruling can be sought under this Act, include rate of tax applicable to a particular supply and place of supply.
 - (ii) Rectification of advance ruling is not possible once the Authority for Advance Ruling has passed the orders.
 - (iii) The Authority for Advance Ruling shall pronounce its ruling within 90 days from the date of receipt of application.
 - (iv) Authority for Advance Ruling may accept application even if the question raised in the application is already pending or decided in any proceedings under any of the provisions of the CGST Act, 2017 qua the applicant.
 - (v) Authority for Advance Ruling may, by order, declare such advance ruling void *ab-initio* if it finds out that such ruling is obtained by suppression of material facts, fraud or misrepresentation of facts.
- Choose from following options:
- (a) False, False, True, False, True
 - (b) False, True, True, False, True
 - (c) True, True, False, False, True
 - (d) False, False, False, False, True
2. M/s. Lex Corp. (P) Ltd. is a registered manufacturer of fruit juices. It purchases plastic bottles and cardboard and sends the same for affixing stickers on plastic bottles and manufacturing boxes from cardboard to a registered job worker, M/s. Hammer Industries (P) Ltd. These raw materials are sent directly from the place of business of supplier to the premises of job worker. M/s. Lex Corp. (P) Ltd. booked input tax credit on purchase of such items. The following transactions took place in this regard:

Value of goods sent to job worker	Input tax paid on such goods	Date of purchase of goods by M/s Lex Corp. (P) Ltd.	Date of receipt of goods by M/s Hammer Industries (P) Ltd.	Date of goods received back from M/s Hammer Industries (P) Ltd.
₹ 50,000	₹ 6,000	10-07-2017	15-07-2017	12-07-2018
₹ 2,00,000	₹ 24,000	25-09-2017	27-09-2017	13-10-2018
₹ 8,00,000	₹ 96,000	22-12-2017	25-12-2017	16-08-2019
₹ 10,00,000	₹ 1,20,000	21-01-2018	25-01-2018	23-01-2019
₹ 3,50,000	₹ 42,000	24-02-2018	26-02-2018	28-02-2019

Determine the total amount to be added to the output tax liability of M/s. Lex Corp. (P) Ltd. in case of violation of section 143 of the CGST Act, 2017. Ignore the different point of times when the amount is added to the output tax liability.

- ₹ 2,88,000/- + Interest @ 18%
 - ₹ 2,88,000/- + Interest @ 24%
 - 1,62,000/- + Interest @ 24%
 - 1,62,000/- + Interest @ 18%
3. Mr. Rahul Roy, proprietor of M/s. Royal Shoe & Company is running a business of manufacturing shoes with the brand name of 'JUNOON'. The manufacturing unit is located in Delhi and registered under GST. However, due to low profitability in the business, he has decided to transfer his business to his friend Mr. Dilip Tijori. Mr. Dilip Tijori is already running the business of manufacturing shoes under a proprietorship firm named M/s Hawai Shoes & Company which is located in Mumbai and registered under GST.
- Mr. Rahul Roy has approached you to help him with the issue of transfer of unutilized input tax credit in electronic credit ledger of M/s. Royal Shoe & Company to M/s Hawai Shoes & Company.
- Advise Mr. Rahul Roy with the correct option in accordance with the provisions of the CGST Act, 2017:
- M/s. Royal Shoe & Company cannot transfer unutilised input tax credit in its electronic credit ledger to M/s Hawai Shoes & Company, as the proprietors are different.

- (b) M/s. Royal Shoe & Company can transfer unutilized input tax credit in its electronic credit ledger to M/s Hawai Shoes & Company and it can further be utilized in setting off GST liability for succeeding period.
 - (c) M/s. Royal Shoe & Company can transfer unutilized input tax credit in its electronic credit ledger to M/s Hawai Shoes & Company and it can be further utilized in setting off GST liability for a period upto the month of September following the year in which ITC was transferred.
 - (d) M/s. Royal Shoe & Company cannot transfer unutilized input tax credit in its electronic credit ledger to M/s Hawai Shoes & Company but can claim refund of such unutilized input tax credit.
4. An appeal to the High Court can be filed under the CGST Act, 2017 in the following cases:
- (i) By a person aggrieved against the order passed by the State bench or Area bench of the Appellate Tribunal.
 - (ii) By a person aggrieved against the order passed by the National bench or Regional bench of the Appellate Tribunal.
 - (iii) For matter involving substantial question of law.
 - (iv) All of the above.
- Choose the correct option from the following:
- (a) (i) and (ii)
 - (b) (i) and (iii)
 - (c) (ii) and (iii)
 - (d) (iv)
5. Ms. Reena Banerji is engaged in retail business of selling mobile phones in the State of West Bengal. She has effected supplies to the customers in the State of Uttar Pradesh and Haryana. Her total turnover during the financial year ending 31st March, 20XX is ₹ 18,00,000. Owing to low profit margins in the business, she has decided to shut down the business in April 20XX.
- The proper officer has collected evidences of the inter-State sale of mobile phones effected by Ms. Reena Banerjee during the FY ending 31st March 20XX. Now, the proper officer wants to make the assessment as she was liable for registration but did not get herself registered.
- You are required to assist the proper officer by determining which assessment can be done in this case under the CGST Act, 2017?
- (a) Self-assessment
 - (b) Provisional Assessment

- (c) Assessment of un-registered persons
 - (d) Special assessment
6. Fury Ltd. has received an order for supply of services amounting to \$ 5,00,000/- from a US based client. Fury Ltd. is unable to supply the entire services from India and asks Neik Inc., Mexico (who is not an establishment of Fury Ltd.) to supply a part of the services, i.e. 40% of the total contract value to the US client. Fury Ltd. raised the invoice for entire value of \$ 5,00,000 but the US client paid \$ 3,00,000 to Fury Ltd. and \$ 2,00,000 directly to Neik Inc., Mexico which is approved by a special order of RBI. Fury Ltd. also paid IGST @ 18% on the services imported from Neik Inc. Mexico. Assuming all the conditions of section 2(6) of the IGST Act, 2017 are fulfilled, determine the value of export of services:
- (a) \$ 3,00,000
 - (b) \$ 5,00,000
 - (c) \$ 3,90,000
 - (d) \$ 5,90,000
7. Mr. Khiladi Kumar, is the Managing Director of Khiladi Equipments (P) Ltd. The Company has offices and factories in Mumbai and is registered under GST. Mr. Khiladi Kumar, has decided to send food grains and other relief materials worth ₹ 50,00,000/- and ₹ 20,00,000 respectively through railway and airways to the cyclone hit victims in Kerala in the month of November, 20XX. The Company has contacted Super Airlines and Indian Railways to transport the materials from Mumbai to Kerala and price for the same has been determined as ₹10,00,000/- by air and ₹50,000/- by railways, excluding taxes. Mr. Khiladi Kumar, seeks your help to determine what amount of GST is to be paid to Super Airlines and Indian Railways if applicable GST rate is 18%.
- (a) Super Airlines: ₹ 1,80,000/-; Indian Railways: NIL
 - (b) Super Airlines: ₹ 1,80,000/-; Indian Railways: ₹ 9,000
 - (c) Super Airlines: Nil; Indian Railways: ₹ 9,000/-
 - (d) Super Airlines: Nil; Indian Railways: Nil
8. For the purposes of rule 7 (Deductive Value) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, determine the unit price in greatest aggregate quantity:
- A Ltd. makes two sales. In the first sale, 500 units are sold at a price of ₹ 95. In the second sale, 400 units are sold at a price of ₹ 100.
- (a) 95
 - (b) 100
 - (c) Average of 95 and 100 i.e. $(95+100)/2 = 97.5$
 - (d) Insufficient data

9. Which of the following combinations is correct?

Situation	Consequence
1. Goods pilfered	1. Abatement of duty
2. Goods lost or destroyed	2. No liability pay customs duty
3. Goods damaged or deteriorated	3. Remission of duty

- (a) 1 and 1, 2 and 2, 3 and 3
 (b) 1 and 3, 2 and 1, 3 and 2
 (c) 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 1
 (d) 1 and 2, 2 and 1, 3 and 3
10. Which of the following statements are not correct in relation to drawback provisions under sections 74 and 75 of the Customs Act, 1962?
- (i) While drawback under section 74 is payable when duty paid goods are re-exported, drawback under section 75 is payable when imported materials are used in the manufacture of export goods
 (ii) While the rates for drawback under section 74 are fixed, a manufacturer may seek a special rate for drawback under section 75.
 (iii) In case drawback is not paid to the applicant within one month of application, the interest has to be paid to the applicant in both the cases.
 (iv) Drawback is not allowed in cases where market price of goods is less than the amount of drawback claimed.
- (a) All of above
 (b) None of above
 (c) (ii) and (iv)
 (d) (ii) and (iii)
11. "Chanakya Academy" is registered under GST in the State of Uttar Pradesh. The Academy runs the following educational institutions:
- (i) 'Keshav Institute of Technology' (KIT), a private engineering college in Ghaziabad. KIT also runs distance learning post graduate engineering programmes. Exams for such programmes are conducted in select cities at centres appointed by the KIT. All the engineering courses including the distance learning post graduate engineering programme run by KIT are recognised by the law [The All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)].
 (ii) 'Little Millennium', a pre-school in Lucknow.

- (iii) 'Bright Minds', a coaching institute in Kanpur. The Institute provides coaching for Institute of Banking Personnel Selection (IBPS) Probationary Officers Exam.
- (iv) 'Spring Model' a higher secondary school affiliated to CBSE Board.

The Academy provides the following details relating to the expenses incurred by the various institutions run by it during the period April 20XX to September 20XX:

Table 1

S. No.	Particulars	KIT	Little Millennium	Bright Minds	Spring Model
		(₹)	(₹)	(₹)	(₹)
(i)	Printing services for printing the question papers (paper and content are provided by the Institutions)	2,50,000		1,50,000	2,00,000
(ii)	Paper procured for printing the question papers	4,30,000		2,58,000	3,44,000
(iii)	Honorarium to paper setters and examiners (not on the rolls of the Institution)	5,00,000			
(iv)	Rent for exam centres taken on rent like schools etc., for conducting examination	8,00,000		1,00,000	
(v)	Subscription for online educational journals [Little Millennium has taken the subscription for online periodicals on child development and experiential learning]	4,00,000	80,000	2,20,000	2,40,000
(vi)	Hire charges for buses used to transport students and faculty from their residence to the institutions and back	4,80,000	5,50,000	1,30,000	7,50,000
(vii)	Catering services for running a canteen in the campus for students (Catering services for KIT include a sum of ₹ 60,000 for catering at a student event)	3,20,000	2,60,000	1,80,000	5,00,000

	organised in a banquet hall outside the campus)				
(viii)	Security and housekeeping services for the institution(s) (Security and housekeeping services for Spring Model include a sum of ₹ 80,000 payable for security and housekeeping at the student event organised in a banquet hall outside the campus)	6,00,000	4,00,000	3,75,000	4,65,000

The academy further provides the following details relating to the receipts of the various institutions run by it during the period April 20XX to September 20XX:

Table 2

S. No.	Particulars	KIT	Little Millennium	Bright Minds	Spring Model
		(₹)	(₹)	(₹)	(₹)
(i)	Tuition fee	35,00,000	15,00,000	20,00,000	25,00,000
(ii)	Transport fee charged from students	5,00,000	6,00,000	1,30,000	8,50,000

With the help of the above details –

- (i) determine the amount of GST payable, if any, on goods and services received during April 20XX- September 20XX by the various educational institutions run by the 'Chanakya Academy';
- (ii) compute net GST liability of the 'Chanakya Academy' payable from the Electronic Cash Ledger, for the period April 20XX to September 20XX.

All the amounts given above are exclusive of taxes, wherever applicable.

Notes:

- (i) Rate of GST on goods is 12%, catering service is 5% and on other services is 18%.
 - (ii) Wherever relevant, all the conditions necessary for availing the ITC have been complied with.
12. (a) Allfit Laboratories Ltd. is a registered supplier of bulk drugs in Delhi paying tax under regular scheme. It manufactures bulk drugs and supplies the same in the domestic and overseas market. The bulk drugs are supplied within Delhi and in the overseas market directly from the company's warehouse located in South Delhi. For supplies in other States of India, the company has appointed consignment

agents in each such State. However, supplies in Gurgaon (Haryana) and Noida (U.P.) are effected directly from South Delhi warehouse. The drugs are supplied to the consignment agents from the South Delhi warehouse.

Allfit Laboratories Ltd. also provides drug development services to drug manufacturers located in India, including testing of their new drugs in its laboratory located in Delhi.

The company has furnished the following information for the month of January, 20XX:

Particulars	₹
Advance received towards drug development services to be provided to Orochem Ltd., a drug manufacturer, located in Delhi [Drug development services have been provided in February, 20XX and invoice is issued on 28.02.20XX]	5,00,000
Advance received for bulk drugs to be supplied to Novick Pharmaceuticals, a wholesale dealer of drugs in Gurgaon, Haryana [Invoice for the goods is issued at the time of delivery of the drugs in March, 20XX]	6,00,000
Supply of bulk drugs to wholesale dealers of drugs in Delhi	60,00,000
Bulk drugs supplied to Anchor Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA under bond [Consideration received in convertible foreign exchange]	90,00,000
Drug development services provided to Unipharma Ltd., a drug manufacturer, located in Delhi	6,00,000

You are required to determine the GST liability [CGST & SGST or IGST, as the case may be] of Allfit Laboratories Ltd. for the month of January, 20XX with the help of the following additional information furnished by it for the said period:

1. Consignments of bulk drugs were sent to Cardinal Pharma Pvt. Ltd. and Rochester Medicos – agents of Allfit Laboratories Ltd. in Punjab and Haryana respectively. Cardinal Pharma Pvt. Ltd. and Rochester Medicos supplied these drugs under their invoices to the Medical Stores located in their respective States for ₹ 60,00,000 and ₹ 50,00,000 respectively.
2. Bulk drugs have been supplied to Ronn Medicos - a wholesale dealer of bulk drugs in Gurgaon, Haryana for consideration of ₹ 15,00,000. Allfit Laboratories Ltd. owns 72% shares of Ronn Medicos Pvt. Ltd. Open market value of the bulk drugs supplied to Ronn Medicos Pvt. Ltd. is ₹ 30,00,000. Further, Ronn Medicos Pvt. Ltd. is not eligible for full input tax credit.

Note:

- (i) All the given amounts are exclusive of GST, wherever applicable.
- (ii) Assume the rates of GST to be as under:

Goods/services supplied	CGST	SGST	IGST
Bulk drugs	2.5%	2.5%	5%
Drug development services	9%	9%	18%

You are required to make suitable assumptions, wherever necessary.

13. Prada Forex Private Limited, registered in Delhi, is a money changer. It has undertaken the following purchase and sale of foreign currency:
 - (i) 1,000 US \$ are purchased from Nandi Enterprises at the rate of ₹ 68 per US \$. RBI reference rate for US \$ on that day is ₹ 68.60.
 - (ii) 2,000 US \$ are sold to Menavati at the rate of ₹ 67.50 per US\$. RBI reference rate for US \$ for that day is not available.

Determine the value of supply in each of the above cases in terms of:

- (A) rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017
 - (B) rule 32(2)(b) of the CGST Rules, 2017.
14. (i) Mr. Z, a supplier registered in Hyderabad (Telangana), procures goods from China and directly supplies the same to a customer in US. With reference to the provisions of GST law, examine whether the said activity of supply of goods by Mr. Z to customer in US is taxable under GST. If yes, determine the place of supply of the same.
 - (ii) Priyank of Pune, Maharashtra enters into an agreement to sell goods to Bisht of Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh. While the goods were being packed in Pune godown of Priyank, Bisht got an order from Sahil of Shimoga, Karnataka for the said goods. Bisht agreed to supply the said goods to Sahil and asked Priyank to deliver the goods to Sahil at Shimoga.

You are required to determine the place of supply(ies) in the above situation.

15. Discuss the liability to pay tax in case of an amalgamation/merger, under section 87 of the CGST Act, 2017.
16. Examine whether the suppliers are eligible for composition scheme in the following independent cases. Is there any other option available for concessional tax payment with any of these suppliers, wherever composition scheme cannot be availed?
 - (a) M/s Devlok, a registered dealer, is dealing in intra-State trading of electronic appliances in Jaipur (Rajasthan). It has turnover of ₹ 130 lakh in the preceding

financial year. In the current financial year, it has also started providing repairing services of electronic appliances.

- (b) M/s Narayan & Sons, a registered dealer, is running a “Khana Khazana” Restaurant near City Palace in Jaipur. It has turnover of ₹ 140 lakh in the preceding financial year. In the current financial year, it has also started dealing in intra-State trading of beverages in Jaipur (Rajasthan).
- (c) M/s Indra & bro, a registered dealer, is providing restaurant services in Uttarakhand. It has turnover of ₹ 70 lakh in the preceding financial year. It has started providing intra-State interior designing services in the current financial year and discontinued rendering restaurant services.
- (d) M/s Him Naresh, a registered dealer, is exclusively providing intra-state architect services in Uttarakhand. It has turnover of ₹ 40 lakh in the preceding financial year.
17. LMN Pvt. Ltd., Coimbatore exclusively manufactures and sells product ‘X’ which is exempt from GST vide notifications issued under relevant GST legislations. The company sells ‘X’ only within Tamil Nadu. The turnover of the company in the previous year was ₹ 45 lakh. The company expects the sales to grow by 30% in the current year. The company purchased additional machinery for manufacturing ‘X’ on 01.07.20XX. The purchase price of the capital goods was ₹ 30 lakh exclusive of GST @ 18%.
- However, effective from 01.11.20XX, exemption available on ‘X’ was withdrawn by the Central Government and GST @ 12% was imposed thereon. The turnover of the company for the half year ended on 30.09.20XX was ₹ 45 lakh.
- (a) Examine the above scenario and advise LMN Pvt Ltd. whether it needs to get registered under GST.
- (b) If the answer to the above question is in affirmative, advise LMN Pvt. Ltd. whether it can avail input tax credit on the additional machinery purchased exclusively for manufacturing “X”?
18. Mahadev Enterprises, a sole proprietorship firm, opened a shopping complex dealing in supply of goods at multiple locations, i.e. in Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand and Tripura in the month of June.

It has furnished the following details relating to the sale made at such multiple locations for the month of June:-

Particulars	Himachal Pradesh	Uttarakhand	Tripura
	(₹)*	(₹)*	(₹)*
Intra- State sale of taxable goods	22,50,000	-	7,00,000
Intra-State sale of exempted goods	-	-	6,00,000
Interest received from banks on the	-	-	60,000

fixed deposits			
Intra-State sale of non-taxable goods	-	21,00,000	40,000

* excluding GST

With the help of the above mentioned information, answer the following questions giving reasons:-

- (1) Determine whether Mahadev Enterprises is liable to be registered under GST law and what is the threshold limit of taking registration in this case.
 - (2) Explain with reasons whether your answer in (1) will change in the following independent cases:
 - (a) If Mahadev Enterprises is dealing in taxable supply of goods only from Himachal Pradesh;
 - (b) If Mahadev Enterprises is dealing in taxable supply of goods and services only from Himachal Pradesh;
 - (c) If Mahadev Enterprises is dealing in taxable supply of goods only from Himachal Pradesh and has also effected inter - State supplies of taxable goods amounting to ₹ 4,00,000.
19. "Diligent Force" a professional training institute gets its training material of "Aptitude Quotient" printed from "Durga printing House" a printing press. The content of the material is provided by the Diligent Force who owns the usage rights of the same while the physical inputs including paper used for printing belong to the Durga Printing House. Ascertain whether supply of training material by the Durga Printing House constitutes supply of goods or supply of services.
20. In an order dated 20.08.20XX issued to GH (P) Ltd., the Joint Commissioner of CGST has confirmed a CGST demand of ₹ 280 crore. The company is disputing the entire demand of CGST and wants to know how much pre-deposit it has to make under the CGST Act, 2017 for filing an appeal before the Appellate Authority against the order of the Joint Commissioner.
- Assuming that the Appellate Authority also confirms the order of the Joint Commissioner and the company wants to file an appeal before the Appellate Tribunal against the order of the Appellate Authority, how much pre-deposit it has to make under the CGST Act, 2017 for filing the said appeal?
21. With reference to the provisions of section 17 of the CGST Act, 2017, examine the availability of input tax credit under the CGST Act, 2017 in the following independent cases:-
- (i) MBF Ltd., an automobile company, has availed works contract service for construction of a foundation on which a machinery (to be used in the production process) is to be mounted permanently.

- (ii) Shah & Constructions procured cement, paint, iron rods and services of architects and interior designers for construction of a commercial complex for one of its clients.
- (iii) ABC Ltd. availed maintenance & repair services from “Jaggi Motors” for a truck used for transporting its finished goods.
22. Compute export duty from the following data:
- (i) FOB price of goods: US \$ 50,000.
- (ii) Shipping bill presented electronically on 26.04.20XX.
- (iii) Proper officer passed order permitting clearance and loading of goods for export (Let Export Order) on 06.05.20XX.
- (iv) Rate of exchange and rate of export duty are as under:
- | | Rate of Exchange | Rate of Export Duty |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| On 26.04.20XX | 1 US \$ = ₹ 70 | 10% |
| On 06.05.20XX | 1 US \$ = ₹ 73 | 8% |
- (v) Rate of exchange is notified for export by Central Board of Indirect taxes and Customs.
23. Answer the following questions with reference to the provisions of Duty Credit Scrips under Export from India Schemes under FTP 2015-2020.
- (i) Neha provides services eligible for SEIS Scheme. She wants to sell SEIS scrips earned by her. Can she do so?
- (ii) Can a manufacturer, instead of importing the inputs, source the same indigenously without payment of GST?
- (iii) An exporter was issued duty credit scrip dated 15.07.20XX. What is the period within which he must utilize the scrip?
- (iv) An exporter exported leather footwears through courier using e-commerce of value of ₹ 24,000. Can he apply for duty credit scrips under Merchandise Exports from India Scheme (MEIS)?
24. With reference to section 9A(1A) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, mention the ways that constitute circumvention of antidumping duty imposed on an article which may warrant action by the Central Government.
25. With reference to the Customs & Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017, briefly state whether an exporter who has already filed a duty draw back claim under All Industry Rates, can file an application for fixation on special brand rate.

SUGGESTED ANSWERS

1. (a)
2. (d)
3. (b)
4. (b)
5. (c)
6. (b)
7. (a)
8. (a)
9. (c)
10. (b)
11. (i) *Notification No. 12/2017 CT(R) dated 28.06.2017 (hereinafter referred to as exemption notification)* which exempts various services from GST leviable thereon exempts select **services** provided to an educational institution.
Here, the “educational institution” means an institution providing services by way of,-
 - (i) pre-school education and education up to higher secondary school or equivalent;
 - (ii) education as a part of a curriculum for obtaining a qualification recognised by any law for the time being in force;
 - (iii) education as a part of an approved vocational education course;The select services which are exempt when provided to an educational institution are-
 - (i) transportation of students, faculty and staff;
 - (ii) catering, including any mid-day meals scheme sponsored by the Central Government, State Government or Union territory;
 - (iii) security or cleaning or house-keeping services performed in such educational institution;
 - (iv) services relating to admission to, or conduct of examination by, such institution;
 - (v) supply of online educational journals or periodicals

However, the services mentioned in points (i), (ii) and (iii) are exempt only when the same are provided to an educational institution providing services by way of pre-school education and education up to higher secondary school or equivalent.

Also, the supply of online educational journals or periodicals are not exempt from GST when provided to-

- (i) pre-school education and education up to higher secondary school or equivalent; or
- (ii) education as a part of an approved vocational education course.

In the given case, all the engineering courses including the distance learning post graduate engineering programme run by KIT are recognised by the law [The All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)]. Therefore, since KIT imparts education as a part of a curriculum for obtaining a qualification recognised by the Indian law, the same is an educational institution in terms of the exemption notification.

Similarly, Little Millennium and Spring Model, being a pre-school and a higher secondary school respectively are also educational institutions in terms of the exemption notification.

However, Bright Minds, being a coaching centre, training candidates to secure a banking job, is not an educational institution in terms of the exemption notification. Hence, none of the select services (mentioned above) will be exempt when provided to Bright Minds.

In the light of the foregoing provisions, the amount of GST payable on goods and services received by these educational institutions during April 20XX- September 20XX is computed as under:

Particulars	KIT	Little Millennium	Bright Minds	Spring Model
	(₹)	(₹)	(₹)	(₹)
Printing services for printing the question papers (paper and content are provided by the Institutions)	Exempt [Services provided to educational institution in relation to conduct of examination]		27,000 [1,50,000 x 18%]	Exempt
Paper procured for printing the question papers – [Supply of	51,600 [4,30,000 x		30,960 [2,58,000 x	41,280 [3,44,000 x

select services to educational institutions is exempt and not supply of goods to such educational institutions]	12%]		12%]	12%]
Honorarium to paper setters and examiners (not on the rolls of the educational institution)	Exempt [Services provided to educational institution in relation to conduct of examination]			
Rent for exam centres taken on rent like schools etc., for conducting examination	Exempt [Services provided to educational institution in relation to conduct of examination]		18,000 [1,00,000 x 18%]	
Subscription for online educational journals [Little Millennium has taken the subscription for online periodicals on child development and experiential learning]	Exempt	14,400 [80,000 x 18%]	39,600 [2,20,000 x 18%]	4,320 [2,40,000 x 18%]
Hire charges for buses used to transport students and faculty from their residence to the institutions and back	86,400 [4,80,000 x 18%]	Exempt	23,400 [1,30,000 x 18%]	Exempt
Catering services for running a canteen in the campus for students	16,000 [3,20,000 x 5%]	Exempt	9,000 [1,80,000 x 5%]	Exempt

Catering service provided to pre-school and the higher secondary school is exempt irrespective of whether the same is provided within or outside the premises of the pre-school and the higher secondary school				
Security and housekeeping services for the institution(s) Security and housekeeping service provided to pre-school and the higher secondary school for the student event organised in a banquet hall will be taxable as only the security and housekeeping service provided within the premises of the pre-school and the higher secondary school are exempt.	1,08,000 [6,00,000 x 18%]	Exempt	67,500 [3,75,000 x 18%]	14,400 [80,000 x 18%]
Total GST payable on goods and services received by the educational institutions	2,62,000	14,400	2,15,460	60,000

- (ii) (1) Sl. No. 66 of *Notification No. 12/2017 CT(R) dated 28.06.2017* also exempts services provided by an educational institution to its students, faculty and staff. All the educational institutions run by the Chanakya Academy except Bright Minds are educational institutions in terms of the exemption notification (as explained under point (i) above). Therefore, the education services and the transport services provided by KIT, Little Millennium, and Spring Model to its

students will be exempt from GST under Sl. No. 66 of the exemption notification. Thus, only the educational services provided by Bright Minds will be liable to GST @ 18%.

- (2) No input tax credit (ITC) will be availed on inputs and input services used in providing exempt education services, i.e. education services by KIT, Little Millennium, and Spring Model. Only Bright Minds will be entitled to avail ITC on inputs and input services used in providing taxable education services. However, ITC on outdoor catering services will be blocked in terms of section 17(5)(b)(i) of the CGST Act, 2017.
- (3) Since there are no common inputs and input services being used for providing taxable and exempt services, the need for reversal of ITC attributable to exempt supplies will not arise.

In the light of the foregoing provisions, the net GST liability of Chanakya Academy, which will comprise of only the tax liability of Bright Minds, is computed as under:

Particulars	Bright Minds
	(₹)
Tuition fee	20,00,000
Transport fee charged from students	<u>1,30,000</u>
Value of output supply taxable @ 18%	21,30,000
GST liability @ 18%	3,83,400
Less: ITC [Total tax payable by Bright Minds on the service received by it as computed in point (i) above less the tax payable on catering charges (₹ 2,15,460 - ₹ 9,000)]	<u>2,06,460</u>
Net GST payable from Electronic Cash Ledger	1,76,940

12. Computation of GST Liability of Allfit Laboratories Ltd. for the month of January, 20XX

Particulars	CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)	IGST (₹)
Advance received for drug development services supplied to Orochem Ltd., a drug manufacturer, located in Delhi [Note - 1]	45,000 [5,00,000 × 9%]	45,000 [5,00,000 × 9%]	
Advance received for bulk drugs to be supplied to Novick Pharmaceuticals, a wholesale			Nil

dealer of drugs in Gurgaon, Haryana [Note - 2]			
Supply of bulk drugs to wholesale dealers of drugs in Delhi [Note - 3]	1,50,000 [60,00,000 × 2.5%]	1,50,000 [60,00,000 × 2.5%]	
Bulk drugs supplied to Anchor Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA [Note - 4]			Nil
Supply of drug development services to Unipharma Ltd., a drug manufacturer, located in Delhi [Note - 5]	54,000 [6,00,000 × 9%]	54,000 [6,00,000 × 9%]	
Supply of bulk drugs to consignment agents - Cardinal Pharma Pvt. Ltd. and Rochester Medicos of Punjab and Haryana [Note - 6]			4,95,000 [99,00,000 × 5%]
Supply of bulk drugs to Ronn Medicos of Gurgaon, Haryana [Note - 7]			1,50,000 [30,00,000 × 5%]
Total GST liability	2,49,000	2,49,000	6,45,000

Notes:

1. Being an intra-State supply of services, supply of drug development services to Orochem Ltd. of Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each. Further, in terms of section 13(2) of the CGST Act, the time of supply of services is the earlier of the date of invoice or date of receipt of payment, if the invoice is issued within 30 days of the supply of service. In the given case, invoice is issued within 30 days of the supply of service. Therefore, time of supply of services will be date of receipt of advance and hence, GST is payable on the advance received in January, 20XX.
2. Being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of bulk drugs to Novick Pharmaceuticals of Gurgaon, Haryana is subject to IGST @ 5%. Further, in terms of section 12(2) of the CGST Act, the time of supply of goods is the earlier of the date of issue of invoice/last date on which the invoice is required to be issued or date of receipt of payment.

However, *Notification No. 66/2017 CT dated 15.11.2017* specifies that time of supply of goods for the purpose of payment of tax is the date of issue of invoice/last date of issue of invoice.

Thus, GST is not payable at the time of receipt of advance against supply of goods. The time of supply of the advance received for bulk drugs to be supplied to Novick Pharmaceuticals is the time of issue of invoice, which is in March, 20XX. Thus, said advance will be taxed in March, 20XX and not in January, 20XX.

3. Being an intra-State supply of goods, supply of bulk drugs to wholesale dealers of drugs in Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 2.5 % each.
4. Section 2(5) of the IGST Act defines export of goods as taking goods out of India to a place outside India. In view of the said definition, supply of the bulk drugs to Anchor Pharmaceuticals Inc. of USA under bond is export of goods.

Export of goods is a zero-rated supply [Section 16(1) of the IGST Act]. A zero-rated supply under bond is made without payment of integrated tax [Section 16(3)(a) of IGST Act].

5. Being an intra-State supply of services, supply of drug development services to Unipharma Ltd. of Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each.
6. Value of supply of goods made through an agent is determined as per rule 29 of the CGST Rules. Accordingly, the value of supply of goods between the principal and his agent is the open market value of the goods being supplied, or at the option of the supplier, is 90% of the price charged for the supply of goods of like kind and quality by the recipient to his unrelated customer, where the goods are intended for further supply by the said recipient.

In the given case, since open market value is not available, value of bulk drugs supplied to consignment agents - Cardinal Pharma Pvt. Ltd. and Rochester Medicos – will be ₹ 99,00,000 [90% of (₹ 60,00,000 + ₹ 50,00,000)]. Further, being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of bulk drugs to the consignment agents is subject to IGST @ 5%.

7. If any person directly or indirectly controls another person, such persons are deemed as related persons. [Clause (a)(v) of explanation to section 15 of the CGST Act]. In the given case, since Allfit Laboratories Ltd. owns 72% shares of Ronn Medicos, both are related persons.

Value of supply of goods between related persons (other than through an agent) is determined as per rule 28 of the CGST Rules. Accordingly, the value of supply of goods between related persons is the open market value of such goods and not the invoice value. Furthermore, since Ronn Medicos is not eligible for full input tax credit, value declared in the invoice cannot be deemed to be the open market value of the goods. Thus, open market value of the bulk drugs supplied to Ronn Medicos, i.e. ₹ 30,00,000 is the value of supply of such goods. Further, being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of bulk drugs to Ronn Medicos is subject to IGST @ 5%.

13. Rule 32(2) of the CGST Rules, 2017 prescribes the provisions for determining the value of supply of services in relation to the purchase or sale of foreign currency, including money changing.

(A) Determination of value under rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017

- (i) Rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017 provides that the value of supply of services for a currency, when exchanged from, or to, Indian Rupees, shall be equal to the difference in the buying rate or the selling rate, as the case may be, and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) reference rate for that currency at that time, multiplied by the total units of currency. Thus, value of supply is:
- $$= (\text{RBI reference for US \$} - \text{Buying rate of US \$}) \times \text{Total number of units of US \$ bought}$$
- $$= (\text{₹ } 68.6 - \text{₹ } 68) \times 1,000$$
- $$= \text{₹ } 600$$
- (ii) First proviso to rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 lays down that when the RBI reference rate for a currency is not available, the value shall be 1% of the gross amount of Indian Rupees provided or received by the person changing the money. Thus, value of supply is:
- $$= 1\% \text{ of the gross amount of Indian Rupees received}$$
- $$= 1\% \text{ of } (\text{₹ } 67.50 \times 2,000)$$
- $$= \text{₹ } 1,350$$

(B) Determination of value under rule 32(2)(b) of the CGST Rules, 2017

Rule 32(2)(b) provides that value in relation to the supply of foreign currency, including money changing shall be deemed to be –

S. No.	Currency exchanged	Value of supply
1.	Upto ₹ 1,00,000	1% of the gross amount of currency exchanged OR ₹ 250 whichever is higher
2.	Exceeding ₹ 1,00,000 and upto ₹ 10,00,000	₹ 1,000 + 0.50% of the (gross amount of currency exchanged - ₹ 1,00,000)
3.	Exceeding ₹ 10,00,000	₹ 5,500 + 0.1% of the (gross amount of currency exchanged - ₹ 10,00,000) OR ₹ 60,000 whichever is lower

Thus, the value of supply in the given cases would be computed as under:

- (i) Gross amount of currency exchanged = ₹ 68 × 1,000 = ₹ 68,000. Since the gross amount of currency exchanged is less than ₹ 1,00,000, value of supply is 1% of the gross amount of currency exchanged [1% of ₹ 68,000] or ₹ 250, whichever is higher.

= ₹ 680

- (ii) Gross amount of currency exchanged = ₹ 67.50 × 2,000 = ₹ 1,35,000. Since the gross amount of currency exchanged exceeds ₹ 1,00,000 but is less than ₹ 10,00,000, value of supply is ₹ 1,000 + 0.50% of (₹ 1,35,000 - ₹ 1,00,000).

= ₹ 1,175

14. (i) Schedule III to the CGST Act specifies transactions/ activities which shall be neither treated as supply of goods nor supply of services. A new activity has been added in the said Schedule III vide the CGST (Amendment) Act, 2018 namely, supply of goods from a place in the non-taxable territory to another place in the non-taxable territory without such goods entering into India. Thus, it seeks to exclude from the tax net such transactions which involve movement of goods, caused by a registered person, from one non-taxable territory to another non-taxable territory.

Therefore, in view of the above-mentioned provisions, the said activity is not a supply. Hence, it is not leviable to GST since "supply" is the taxable event for chargeability of GST. Therefore, since the transaction is not leviable to GST, the question of place of supply does not arise in the given case.

- (ii) The supply between Priyank (Pune) and Bisht (Bareilly) is a **bill to ship to supply** where the goods are delivered by the supplier [Priyank] to a recipient [Sahil (Shimoga)] or any other person on the direction of a third person [Bisht]. The place of supply in case of domestic bill to ship to supply of goods is determined in terms of section 10(1)(b) of IGST Act, 2017.

As per section 10(1)(b) of IGST Act, 2017, where the goods are delivered by the supplier to a recipient or any other person on the direction of a third person, whether acting as an agent or otherwise, before or during movement of goods, either by way of transfer of documents of title to the goods or otherwise, it shall be deemed that the said third person has received the goods and the place of supply of such goods shall be the principal place of business of such person.

Thus, in the given case, it is deemed that the Bisht has received the goods and the place of supply of such goods is the principal place of business of Bisht. Accordingly, the place of supply between Priyank (Pune) and Bisht (Bareilly) will be Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh.

This situation involves another supply between Bisht (Bareilly) and Sahil (Shimoga). The place of supply in this case will be determined in terms of section 10(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017.

Section 10(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where the supply involves movement of goods, whether by the supplier or the recipient or by any other person, the place of supply of such goods shall be the location of the goods at the time at which the movement of goods terminates for delivery to the recipient.

Thus, the place of supply in second case is the location of the goods at the time when the movement of goods terminates for delivery to the recipient (Sahil), i.e. Shimoga, Karnataka.

15. Section 87 of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that when two or more companies are amalgamated/merged in pursuance of an order of court/Tribunal/otherwise and the order is to take effect from a date earlier to the date of the order and any two or more of such companies have supplied/received any goods and/or services to/from each other during the period commencing on the date from which the order takes effect till the date of the order, then such transactions of supply and receipt shall be included in the turnover of supply or receipt of the respective companies and they shall be liable to pay tax accordingly.

For the purposes of the CGST Act, 2017, the said two or more companies shall be treated as distinct companies for the period up to the date of the said order. The registration certificates of the said companies shall be cancelled with effect from the date of the said order.

16. As per section 10 of the CGST Act, 2017, the following registered persons, whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year did not exceed ₹ 1.5 crore, may opt to pay tax under composition levy.

- (a) Manufacturer,
- (b) Persons engaged in making supplies referred to in clause (b) of paragraph 6 of Schedule II (restaurant services), and
- (c) Any other supplier eligible for composition levy.

Thus, essentially, the composition scheme can be availed in respect of goods and only one service namely, restaurant service. However, the scheme permits supply of other marginal services for a specified value along with the supply of goods and restaurant service, as the case may be. Such marginal services can be supplied for a value up to 10% of the turnover in the preceding year or ₹ 5 lakh, whichever is higher.

Further, the registered person should not be engaged in making any inter-State outward supplies of goods.

Furthermore, an option of availing benefit of concessional payment of tax has been provided to a registered person whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year is upto ₹ 50 lakh and who is not eligible to pay tax under composition scheme. Said person can pay tax @ 3% [Effective rate 6% (CGST+ SGST/UTGST)] on first supplies of goods and/or services up to an aggregate turnover of ₹ 50 lakh made on/after 1st April in

any financial year (FY), subject to specified conditions vide *Notification No. 2/2019 CT (R) dated 07.03.2019 as amended*. One of such condition is that the registered person should not be engaged in making any inter-state outward taxable supplies.

In view of the above-mentioned provisions, the answer to the given independent cases is as under:-

- (a) The turnover limit for composition scheme in case of Jaipur (Rajasthan) is ₹1.5 crore. Thus, M/s Devlok can opt for composition scheme as its aggregate turnover is less than ₹1.5 crore. Further, since the registered person opting for composition scheme can also supply services (other than restaurant services) for a value up to 10% of the turnover in the preceding year or ₹ 5 lakh, whichever is higher, in the current financial year, M/s Devlok can supply repair services up to a value of ₹13 lakh [10% of ₹130 lakh or ₹5 lakh, whichever is higher] in the current financial year.

- (b) In the given case:-

- (i) the turnover in the preceding year is less than the eligible turnover limit, i.e. ₹ 1.5 crore.
- (ii) the supplier is engaged in providing restaurant service which is an eligible supply under composition scheme.
- (iii) the supplier wants to engage in trading of goods which is also an eligible supply under composition scheme.

Thus, M/s Narayan & Sons is eligible for composition scheme.

- (c) The turnover limit for composition scheme in case of Uttarakhand is ₹ 75 lakh. Further, a registered person who is exclusively engaged in supplying services other than restaurant services are not eligible for composition scheme. Thus, M/s Indra & bro cannot opt for composition scheme.

Further, the benefit of concessional tax payment under *Notification No. 2/2019 CT (R) dated 07.03.2019* is available in case of a registered person whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year does not exceed ₹ 50 lakh.

Thus, in view of the above- mentioned provisions, M/s Indra & bro cannot avail the benefit of concessional tax payment as its aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year is more than ₹ 50 lakh.

- (d) An exclusive service provider can opt for the composition scheme only if he is engaged in supply of restaurant services. The composition scheme permits supply of marginal services for a specified value, but only when the same are supplied along with goods and/or restaurant service.

Since M/s Him Naresh is exclusively engaged in supply of services other than restaurant services, it is not eligible for composition scheme even though its turnover in the preceding year is less than ₹ 75 lakh, the eligible turnover limit for Uttarakhand.

However, since M/s Him Naresh is not eligible to opt for composition scheme, its aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year does not exceed ₹ 50 lakh and it is exclusively engaged in supply of services other than restaurant services, M/s Him Naresh is entitled to avail benefit of concessional payment of tax under *Notification No. 2/2019 CT (R) dated 07.03.2019*.

17. (a) Section 22(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 read with *Notification No. 10/2019 CT dated 07.03.2019 inter alia* provides that every supplier who is **engaged in intra-State exclusive supply of goods** is liable to be registered under GST in the State/ Union territory from where he makes the taxable supply of goods only when aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds ₹ 40,00,000.

However, the above provisions are not applicable to few specified States, i.e. States of Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Puducherry, Sikkim, Telangana, Tripura, Uttarakhand.

Further, a person exclusively engaged in the business of supplying goods and/or services that are not liable to tax or are wholly exempt from tax is not liable to registration in terms of section 23(1)(a) of CGST Act, 2017.

In the given case, the turnover of the company for the half year ended on 30.09.20XX is ₹ 45 lakh which is more than the applicable threshold limit of ₹ 40 lakh. Therefore, as per above mentioned provisions, the company should be liable to registration. However, since LMN Pvt. Ltd. supplied exempted goods till 31.10.20XX, it was not required to be registered till that day; though voluntary registration was allowed under section 25(3) of the CGST Act, 2017.

However, the position will change from 01.11.20XX as the supply of goods become taxable from that day and the turnover of company is above ₹ 40 lakh. It is important to note here that in terms of section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, the aggregate turnover limit of ₹ 40 lakh includes exempt turnover also.

Therefore, turnover of 'X' will be considered for determining the limit of ₹ 40 lakh even though the same was exempt from GST. Therefore, the company needs to register within 30 days from 01.11.20XX (the date on which it becomes liable to registration) in terms of section 25(1) of the CGST Act, 2017.

- (b) Section 18(1)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that a person who has applied for registration within 30 days from the date on which he becomes liable to registration and has been granted such registration shall be entitled to take credit of input tax in respect of inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock on the day immediately preceding the date from which he becomes liable to pay tax under the provisions of this Act.

Thus, LMN Pvt. Ltd. cannot avail credit for additional machinery purchased exclusively for manufacturing X as input tax credit of only inputs is allowed when a person gets registered for the first time.

18. As per section 22 of the CGST Act, 2017 read with *Notification No. 10/2019 CT dated 07.03.2019*, a supplier is liable to be registered in the State/Union territory from where he makes a taxable supply of goods and/or services, if his aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds the threshold limit. The threshold limit for a person making exclusive intra-State taxable supplies of goods is as under:-

- (i) ₹ 10 lakh for the States of Mizoram, Tripura, Manipur and Nagaland.
- (ii) ₹ 20 lakh for the States of States of Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Puducherry, Sikkim, Telangana and Uttarakhand.
- (iii) ₹ 40 lakh for rest of India.

The threshold limit for a person making exclusive taxable supply of services or supply of both goods and services is as under:-

- (i) ₹ 10 lakh for the States of Mizoram, Tripura, Manipur and Nagaland.
- (ii) ₹ 20 lakh for the rest of India.

As per section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, aggregate turnover includes the aggregate value of:

- (i) all taxable supplies,
- (ii) all exempt supplies,
- (iii) exports of goods and/or services and
- (iv) all inter-State supplies of persons having the same PAN.

The above is computed on all India basis.

In the light of the afore-mentioned provisions, the aggregate turnover of Mahadev Enterprises is computed as under:

Computation of State-wise aggregate turnover of Mahadev Enterprises

Particulars	Himachal Pradesh	Uttarakhand	Tripura
	(₹)*	(₹)*	(₹)*
Intra- State sale of taxable goods	22,50,000	-	7,00,000
Intra-State sale of exempted goods	-	-	6,00,000
Interest received from banks on the fixed deposits [Note-1]	-	-	60,000
Intra-State sale of non-taxable goods [Note-2]	-	21,00,000	40,000
Aggregate Turnover	22,50,000	21,00,000	14,00,000

Notes:

1. Services by way of extending deposits, loans or advances in so far as the consideration is represented by way of interest or discount (other than interest involved in credit card services) is exempt vide *Notification No. 12/2017 CT (R) dated 28.06.2017*. Since aggregate turnover includes exempt supply, interest received from banks on the fixed deposits, being exempt supply, is included in the aggregate turnover.
2. As per section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017, exempt supply includes non-taxable supply. Thus, intra -State supply of non-taxable goods in Uttarakhand, being a non-taxable supply, is an exempt supply and is, therefore, included in the aggregate turnover.

In the given case, Mahadev Enterprises is engaged in exclusive intra-State supply of goods from Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand and in supply of both goods and exempted services from Tripura, the threshold limit for registration will be ₹ 40 lakh, ₹ 20 lakh and ₹ 10 lakh respectively.

Further, since Mahadev Enterprises also makes taxable supply of goods from one of the specified Special Category States (i.e. Tripura), the threshold limit for registration will be reduced to ₹ 10 lakh.

- (1) Thus, in view of the above-mentioned provisions, Mahadev Enterprises is liable to be registered under GST law with the aggregate turnover amounting to ₹ 57,50,000 (computed on all India basis). The applicable threshold limit of registration in this case is ₹ 10 lakh.
 - (2) (a) If Mahadev Enterprises is dealing in supply of goods only from Himachal Pradesh, the applicable threshold limit of registration would be ₹ 40 lakh. Thus, Mahadev Enterprises will not be liable for registration as its aggregate turnover would be ₹ 22,50,000.
 - (b) If Mahadev Enterprises is dealing in taxable supply of goods and services only from Himachal Pradesh then higher threshold limit of ₹ 40 lakh will not be applicable as the same applies only in case of exclusive supply of goods. Therefore, in this case, the applicable threshold limit will be ₹ 20 lakh and hence, Mahadev Enterprises will be liable to registration.
 - (c) In case of inter-State supplies of taxable goods, section 24 of the CGST Act, 2017 requires compulsory registration irrespective of the quantum of aggregate turnover. Thus, Mahadev Enterprises will be liable to registration.
- 19** *Circular No. 11/11/2017 GST dated 20.10.2017* has clarified that supply of books printed with contents supplied by the recipient of such printed goods, is composite supply and the question, whether such supplies constitute supply of goods or services would be determined on the basis of what constitutes the principal supply.

Principal supply has been defined in section 2(90) of the CGST Act as supply of goods or services which constitutes the predominant element of a composite supply and to which any other supply forming part of that composite supply is ancillary.

In the case of printing of books where content is supplied by the publisher or the person who owns the usage rights to the intangible inputs while the physical inputs including paper used for printing belong to the printer, supply of printing [of the content supplied by the recipient of supply] is the principal supply and therefore, such supplies would constitute supply of service.

Thus, in view of the above-mentioned provisions, the supply of training material by the Durga Printing House would constitute supply of services.

20. Section 107(6) of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that no appeal shall be filed with the Appellate Authority unless the applicant has paid in full, such part of the amount of tax, interest, fine, fee and penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted by him and a sum equal to 10% of the remaining amount of tax in dispute arising from the said order subject to a maximum of ₹ 25 crore. Thus, the amount of pre-deposit for filing an appeal with Appellate Authority cannot exceed ₹ 25 crore.

Thus, in the given case, the amount of pre-deposit for filing an appeal with the Appellate Authority against the order of Joint Commissioner, where entire amount of tax is in dispute, is:

- (i) ₹ 28 crore [10% of the amount of tax in dispute, viz. ₹ 280 crore]

or

- (ii) ₹ 25 crore,

whichever is less.

= ₹ 25 crore.

Further, section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that no appeal shall be filed with the Appellate Tribunal unless the applicant has paid in full, such part of the amount of tax, interest, fine, fee and penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted by him and a sum equal to 20% of the remaining amount of tax in dispute, in addition to the amount paid as pre-deposit while filing appeal to the Appellate Authority, arising from the said order subject to a maximum of ₹ 50 crores.

Thus, in the given case, the amount of pre-deposit for filing an appeal with the Appellate Tribunal against the order of the Appellate Authority, where entire amount of tax is in dispute, is:

- (i) ₹ 56 crores [20% of the amount of tax in dispute, viz. ₹ 280 crores]

or

- (ii) ₹ 50 crores,

whichever is less.

= ₹ 50 crores.

21. (i) Section 17(5)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017 blocks input tax credit in respect of works contract services when supplied for construction of an immovable property (other than plant and machinery) except where it is an input service for further supply of works contract service.

Further, the term “plant and machinery” means apparatus, equipment and machinery fixed to earth by foundation or structural support that are used for making outward supply of goods and/or services **and includes such foundation or structural support** but excludes land, building or other civil structures, telecommunication towers, and pipelines laid outside the factory premises.

Thus, in view of the above-mentioned provisions, ITC is available in respect of works contract service availed by MBF Ltd. as the same is used for construction of plant and machinery which is not blocked under section 17(5)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017.

- (ii) Section 17(5)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017 blocks ITC on goods and/or services received by a taxable person for construction of an immovable property (other than plant and machinery) **on his own account** even though such goods and/or services are used in the course or furtherance of business. Thus, ITC on goods and/or services used in the construction of an immovable property is blocked only in those cases where the taxable person constructs the immovable property for his own use even if the immovable property being constructed is used in the course or furtherance of his business.

In the given case, taxable person has used the goods and services for construction of immovable property for some other person and not on its own account. Hence, ITC in this case will be allowed.

- (iii) As per section 17(5) of the CGST Act, 2017, ITC is allowed on repair and maintenance services relating to motor vehicles, which are eligible for input tax credit. Further, as per section 17(5)(a) ITC is allowed on motor vehicles which are used for transportation of goods.

Thus, ITC on maintenance & repair services availed from “Jaggi Motors” for a truck used for transporting its finished goods is allowed to ABC Ltd.

22. **Computation of export duty**

Particulars	Amount (US \$)
FOB price of goods [Note 1]	50,000
	Amount (₹)
Value in Indian currency (US \$ 50,000 x ₹ 70) [Note 2]	35,00,000
Export duty @ 8% [Note 3]	2,80,000

Notes:

1. As per section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value of the export goods is the transaction value of such goods which is the price actually paid or payable for the goods when sold for export from India for delivery at the time and place of exportation.
 2. As per third proviso to section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value has to be calculated with reference to the rate of exchange notified by the CBIC on the date of presentation of shipping bill of export.
 3. As per section 16(1)(a) of the Customs Act, 1962, in case of goods entered for export, the rate of duty prevalent on the date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of the goods for exportation, is considered.
23. (i) **Yes.** The duty credit scrips and goods imported or domestically procured against them are freely transferable.
- (ii) **No.** Utilization of duty credit scrip is not permitted for payment of GST for procurement from domestic sources.
- (iii) The duty credit scrip will be valid for 18 months from date of issue.
- (iv) **Yes.** Exports of leather footwears through courier using e-commerce of FOB value of ₹ 5,00,000 per consignment are eligible for MEIS.
24. As per section 9A(1A) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, following are the ways that would constitute circumvention (avoiding levy of duty by unscrupulous means) of antidumping duty imposed on an article that may warrant action by the Central Government:
- (i) altering the description or name or composition of the article subject to such anti-dumping duty,
 - (ii) import of such article in an unassembled or disassembled form,
 - (iii) changing the country of its origin or export, or
 - (iv) any other manner, whereby the anti-dumping duty so imposed is rendered ineffective.

In such cases, investigation can be carried out by Central Government and then anti-dumping can be imposed on such articles.

25. Rule 7 of the Customs and Central Excise Duties Drawback Rules, 2017 provides that application for Special Brand Rate cannot be made where a claim for drawback under rule 3 or rule 4 has been made.

In other words, where the exporter has already filed a duty drawback claim under All Industry Rates (AIR) Schedule, he cannot request for fixation of Special Brand Rate of drawback. Thus, the exporter should determine prior to export of goods, whether to claim drawback under AIR or Special Brand Rate.

Note: GST law has been subject to frequent changes since its inception. Although many clarifications have been issued by way of FAQs or otherwise, many issues continue to arise on account of varying interpretations on several of its provisions. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions depending upon the view taken.

PAPER – 8 : INDIRECT TAX LAWS

QUESTIONS

(1)	All questions should be answered on the basis of the position of GST law as amended up to 31.10.2018 and customs law as amended by the Finance Act, 2018 and notifications and circulars issued till 31.10.2018.
(2)	Questions 1 to 10 are MCQs having one correct answer among the four alternatives. MCQs 1 to 7 have to be answered on the basis of GST law and MCQs 8 to 10 on the basis of customs law.
(3)	The GST rates for goods and services mentioned in various questions are hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates leviable on those goods and services. The rates of customs duty are also hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates. Further, GST compensation cess should be ignored in all the questions, wherever applicable.

1. M/s. Aircool Ltd., a supplier of air conditioners, is registered in the State of Maharashtra. It has a policy to gift an air conditioner to its employees [residing in Gujarat] at the end of financial year in terms of the employment contract. The company installs such air conditioners at the residence of the employees.

During the month of March, 20XX, the company installed 150 air conditioners at the residence of these employees. The total open market value of such air conditioners is ₹ 52.50 lakh (excluding GST). The tax rate on such air conditioners is 28% (14% CGST, 14% SGST and 28% IGST).

Compute the GST liability of M/s. Aircool Ltd., if any.

(a) ₹ 7,35,000 - CGST, ₹ 7,35,000- SGST
(b) ₹ 14,70,000 - IGST
(c) Nil
(d) None of the above
2. Mr. James Bond is a registered person under GST in the State of Maharashtra who sells footwear to his customers locally within the same State. He has been appointed as an agent by M/s. Toto Shoes Ltd., a company registered under GST in the State of Karnataka. During a financial year, M/s. Toto Shoes Ltd., sends taxable goods worth ₹ 5.00 crore from its Bengaluru store to Mr. James Bond who sells such goods for ₹ 5.00 crore by raising invoices using the GSTIN of M/s. Toto Shoes Ltd. Mr. James Bond receives a commission of ₹ 60.00 lakh from M/s. Toto Shoes (P) Ltd., during the said financial year.

Compute the value of supply of Toto Shoes (P) Ltd. and Mr. James Bond for the financial year.

- (a) M/s. Toto Shoes (P) Ltd.: Nil and James Bond: ₹ 5.6 crore
 - (b) M/s. Toto Shoes (P) Ltd.: ₹ 5 crore and James Bond: ₹ 5.6 crore
 - (c) M/s. Toto Shoes (P) Ltd.: ₹ 5 crore and James Bond: ₹ 60 lakh
 - (d) None of the above
3. M/s. Wanderlust Travels (P) Ltd. purchased a bus chassis from M/s. Krishi Motors Ltd. for a consideration of ₹ 90.00 lakh on 01.10.20XX. M/s. Wanderlust Travels (P) Ltd. sent the bus chassis for body building to M/s. Bhagwant Fabricators and paid in advance the total consideration of ₹ 25.00 lakh on 15.10.20XX. M/s. Bhagwant Fabricators, after completing the bus body, informed M/s. Wanderlust Travels (P) Ltd. for carrying out the inspection of the work done on 05.11.20XX. M/s. Wanderlust Travels (P) Ltd. visited the work shop of M/s. Bhagwant Fabricators on 08.11.20XX and confirmed that the bus body was in accordance with the terms of the contract.
- The last date for issuing the invoice by M/s. Bhagwant Fabricators is:-
- (a) 15.10.20XX
 - (b) 08.11.20XX
 - (c) 08.12.20XX
 - (d) 05.12.20XX
4. Which of the following statements are true with respect to accounts and records?
- (1) All accounts and records are to be retained for 6 years.
 - (2) Stock record is to be maintained by all registered dealers except the dealers registered under composition scheme.
 - (3) Stock record is to be maintained by all registered dealers including composition dealers.
 - (4) Monthly production records are to be maintained by all dealers except the dealers who have taken option for composition.
 - (5) Monthly production records are to be maintained by all dealers including composition dealers.
 - (6) Records are to be maintained at principal place of business.
- (a) 1, 2, 5, 6

- (b) 1, 3, 5
 - (c) 1, 3, 4
 - (d) 1, 2, 4, 6
5. Which among the following cannot be a reason for cancellation of registration?
- (a) There is a change in the constitution of business from partnership firm to proprietorship.
 - (b) The business has been discontinued.
 - (c) A composition taxpayer has not furnished returns for three consecutive tax periods.
 - (d) A registered person, other than composition taxpayer, has not furnished returns for three consecutive tax periods.
6. Which of the following persons can opt for the composition scheme?
- (1) Registered person whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year did not exceed ₹ 75 lakh.
 - (2) Registered person whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year did not exceed ₹ 1 crore.
 - (3) A person engaged in business of pan masala, tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes.
 - (4) A person engaged in the business of ice cream, other edible ice, whether or not containing cocoa.
 - (5) A person engaged exclusively in providing restaurant service.
 - (6) A person engaged exclusively in supply of medicines.
- (a) 1, 2, 3, 5
 - (b) 1, 2, 5, 6
 - (c) 2, 3, 4, 5
 - (d) 3, 4, 5, 6
7. Mr. Topinath, an unregistered person in Delhi, who has an aggregate turnover of ₹ 16 lakh sells mobile phones to Mr. Gopinath, a person registered under GST in Uttar Pradesh. Whether any penalty is leviable on Mr. Topinath, for such supply and if yes, what is the maximum amount of penalty that can be levied on Mr. Topinath:-

- (a) No penalty since there is no default on part of Mr. Topinath as his turnover is below threshold limit.
 - (b) Yes; an amount equivalent to the tax evaded or ₹ 10,000/-, whichever is lower.
 - (c) Yes; an amount equivalent to the turnover or ₹ 10,000/-, whichever is higher.
 - (d) Yes; an amount equivalent to the tax evaded or ₹ 10,000/-, whichever is higher.
8. Which of the following statements is/are correct for 'similar goods' for valuation purposes under the Customs Act, 1962?
- (i) Similar goods although not alike in all respects, have like characteristics and like component materials which enable them to perform the same functions and to be commercially interchangeable with the goods being valued having regard to the quality, reputation and the existence of trade mark.
 - (ii) Similar goods are necessarily produced in the country in which goods being valued were produced.
 - (iii) Similar goods are necessarily produced by the same person who produced the goods being valued.
- (a) (i) and (ii)
 - (b) Only (i)
 - (c) (i) and (iii)
 - (d) All of above
9. Which of the following statements is/are correct for safeguard duty under section 8B of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975?
- (i) Safeguard duty is imposed on articles which are imported in increased quantities.
 - (ii) Such increased importation is causing or threatening to cause serious injury to domestic market.
 - (iii) Safeguard duty can be imposed for a period of 4 years and the period of imposition can be extended. However, in no case the safeguard duty shall continue to be imposed beyond a period of 10 years from the date on which it was first imposed.
 - (iv) Safeguard duty can be imposed provisionally also pending final determination of duty.
- (a) (i), (ii) and (iii)
 - (b) Only (i) and (iv)

- (c) None of above
- (d) All of above
10. Which of the following statements is not correct for pilfered goods under section 13 of the Customs Act, 1962?
- (a) The importer is not required to pay duty on imported goods which are pilfered after unloading but before being cleared for home consumption.
- (b) The importer is not required to pay duty on warehoused goods which are pilfered before being cleared for home consumption.
- (c) The onus to prove the pilferage does not lie on the importer.
- (d) If pilfered goods are restored to the importer, he becomes liable to pay duty.
11. XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is a manufacturing company registered under GST in the State of Uttar Pradesh. It manufactures two taxable products 'Alpha' and 'Beta' and one exempt product 'Gama'. On 1st October 20XX, while product 'Beta' got exempted through an exemption notification, exemption available on 'Gama' got withdrawn on the same date. The turnover (exclusive of taxes) of 'Alpha', 'Beta' and 'Gama' in the month of October, 20XX was ₹ 9,00,000, ₹ 10,00,000 and ₹ 6,00,000.

XYZ Pvt. Ltd. has furnished the following details:

S. No.	Particulars	Price (₹)	GST (₹)
(a)	Machinery 'U' purchased on 01.10.20XX for being used in manufacturing all the three products	2,00,000	36,000
(b)	Machinery 'V' purchased on 01.10.20XX for being used in manufacturing product 'Alpha' and 'Gama'	1,00,000	18,000
(c)	Machinery 'W' purchased on 01.10.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing product 'Beta'	3,00,000	54,000
(d)	Machinery 'X' purchased on October 1, three years before 01.10.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing product 'Gama'. From 01.10.20XX, such machinery will also be used for manufacturing product 'Beta'.	5,00,000	90,000
(e)	Machinery 'Y' purchased on October 1, four years before 01.10.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing product 'Beta'. From 01.10.20XX, such machinery will also be used for manufacturing product 'Gama'.	4,00,000	72,000

(f)	Machinery 'Z' purchased on October 1, two years before 01.10.20XX for being used in manufacturing all the three products	3,00,000	54,000
(g)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Alpha' purchased on 05.10.20XX	1,50,000	27,000
(h)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Beta' purchased on 10.10.20XX	2,00,000	36,000
(i)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Gama' purchased on 15.10.20XX	1,00,000	18,000

Compute the following for the month of October, 20XX:

- (i) Amount of input tax credit (ITC) credited to Electronic Credit Ledger
- (ii) Amount of common credit
- (iii) Common credit attributable to exempt supplies
- (iv) GST liability of the company payable through Electronic Cash Ledger

Note: Assume that all the procurements made by the company are from States other than Uttar Pradesh. Similarly, the company sells all its products in States other than Uttar Pradesh. Rate of IGST is 18%. All the conditions necessary for availing the ITC have been complied with. Ignore interest, if any and make suitable assumptions wherever required.

12. B & D Company, a partnership firm, in Nagpur, Maharashtra is a wholesaler of a taxable product 'P' and an exempt product 'Q'. The firm supplies these products only in the eastern part of Maharashtra. All the procurements (both goods and services) of the firm are from the suppliers registered under regular scheme in the State of Maharashtra. The firm pays tax under composition scheme.

B & D Company has furnished the following details with respect to its turnover (exclusive of taxes) and stock (exclusive of taxes):

Particulars	Turnover for the quarter ended 30.06.20XX (₹)	Turnover for the quarter ended 30.09.20XX (₹)
'P'	40,00,000	30,00,000
'Q'	14,65,000	13,00,000

Particulars	Stock as on 30.06.20XX (₹)	Stock as on 30.09.20XX (₹)	Stock as on 31.10.20XX (₹)
'P'	25,00,000	10,00,000	3,60,000
'Q'	10,00,000	2,00,000	1,20,000

The entire stock of the products 'P' and 'Q' available with the firm as on 30.09.20XX is purchased during the said half year except a consignment of product 'P' valuing ₹ 3,00,000, which was purchased in the April month of the preceding financial year. In the month of October, 20XX, no purchases were made, and the products were sold with a profit margin of 20% on sales [exclusive of taxes].

The extract of the only bill book maintained by the firm showed the following details -

Bill No.	Date	Value of products (exclusive of taxes)		
		'P' (₹)	'Q' (₹)	Total (₹)
2306	01.10.20XX	1,00,000	3,000	1,03,000
2307	01.10.20XX	31,250	2,000	33,250
2308	02.10.20XX	43,750	15,000	58,750
2309	03.10.20XX	35,000	10,000	45,000
2310	05.10.20XX	1,00,000	-	1,00,000
2311	06.10.20XX	94,000	6,000	1,00,000
2312	06.10.20XX	-	17,000	17,000
2313	08.10.20XX	50,000	6,000	56,000
2314	09.10.20XX	60,000	9,000	69,000
2315				
.....				

The details of services availed by B & D Company is as follows:

S. No.	Particulars	(₹)
(i)	Freight paid to Goods Transport Agency during the period April 20XX – October 20XX. Assume equal amount of freight is paid each month on the 10 th day of each month. Also, assume that the goods for which the freight is paid on 10 th day of the month are transported between 11 th to 20 th day of the month.	1,40,000
(ii)	Special packing charges paid to a Packing Company, having expertise in such specialized packing, during the period January 20XX – October 20XX. The packing charges are paid for the goods which are transported between 11 th to 20 th day of the month (as mentioned in point (i) above). The goods are packed on 10 th day and then transported from 11 th day onwards. Assume equal amount of packing charges are paid each month on the 9 th day of each month.	3,00,000

All the above amounts are exclusive of taxes, wherever applicable.

Compute the net GST liability of B & D Company for the period April, 20XX to October, 20XX under composition scheme showing calculations for each quarter separately.

Note: Make suitable assumptions wherever required. Rate of CGST and SGST on service of transportation of goods by GTA is 2.5% each. Stock is valued at cost price.

13. Keeping all the facts and figures of Q.12 unchanged, compute the ITC credited to the Electronic Credit Ledger of the B & D Company, when it exits composition scheme and becomes liable to pay tax under regular scheme, in accordance with the provisions of section 18(1)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017.

Following additional information is also available:

Particulars relating to capital goods owned by the firm	Date of purchase	Value (₹)	GST (₹)
Computers	01.02.20XX	2,00,000	36,000
Printers	January 1, two years prior to 01.01.20XX	80,000	14,400
Motor cycle used by the staff for collecting payments from the debtors	23.09.20XX	85,000	15,300
Furniture & fixtures	12.06.20XX	4,00,000	72,000
Air conditioner used in the office	15.10.20XX	2,00,000	36,000
Exhaust fan used in the godown	10.03.20XX	50,000	9,000

Note: The company has not claimed depreciation on the tax component of any of the capital goods (mentioned above) under the Income-tax Act, 1961. All the conditions necessary for availing the ITC have been complied with. Rate of CGST and SGST is 9% each.

14. Keeping all the facts and figures of Q.12 and Q.13 unchanged, compute the GST liability of B & D Company payable from Electronic Credit Ledger and/or Electronic Cash Ledger, as the case may be, for the period covered under regular scheme.
15. Musicera Pvt. Ltd., owned by Nitish Daani - a famous classical singer - wishes to organise a 'Nitish Daani Music Concert' in Gurugram (Haryana). Musicera Pvt. Ltd. (registered in Ludhiana, Punjab) enters into a contract with an event management company, Supriya (P) Ltd. (registered in Delhi) for organising the said music concert at an agreed consideration of ₹ 10,00,000. Supriya (P) Ltd. books the lawns of Hotel Dumdum, Gurugram (registered in Haryana) for holding the music concert, for a lump sum consideration of ₹ 4,00,000. Musicera Pvt. Ltd. fixes the entry fee to the music concert at ₹ 5,000. 400 tickets for 'Nitish Daani Music Concert' are sold.

You are required to determine the CGST and SGST or IGST liability, as the case may be, in respect of the supplie(s) involved in the given scenario.

Will your answer be different if the price per ticket is fixed at ₹ 450?

Note: Rate of CGST and SGST is 9% each and IGST is 18%. All the amounts given above are exclusive of taxes, wherever applicable.

16. With reference to the provisions relating to the electronic way bill (E-way bill) as prescribed under the GST laws, answer the following questions:

- (i) Sindhi Toys Manufacturers, registered in Punjab, sold electronic toys to a retail seller in Gujarat, at a value of ₹ 48,000 (excluding GST leviable @ 18%). Now, it wants to send the consignment of such toys to the retail seller in Gujarat.

You are required to advise Sindhi Toys Manufacturers on the following issues:

- (a) Whether e-way bill is mandatorily required to be generated in respect of such movement of goods?
- (b) If yes, who is required to generate the e-way bill?
- (c) What will be the consequences for non-issuance of e-way bill?

- (ii) Power Electricals Ltd., a registered supplier of air-conditioners, is required to send from Mumbai (Maharashtra), a consignment of parts of air-conditioner to be replaced under warranty at various client locations in Gujarat. The value of consignment declared in delivery challan accompanying the goods is ₹ 70,000. Power Electricals Ltd. claims that since movement of goods to Gujarat is caused due to reasons other than supply, e-way bill is not mandatorily required to be generated in this case.

You are required to examine the technical veracity of the claim made by Power Electricals Ltd.

- (iii) Beauty Cosmetics Ltd. has multiple wholesale outlets of cosmetic products in Mumbai, Maharashtra. It receives an order for cosmetics worth ₹ 1,20,000 (inclusive of GST leviable @ 18%) from Prasannaa, owner of a retail cosmetic store in Delhi. While checking the stock, it is found that order worth ₹ 55,000 can be fulfilled from the company's Dadar (Mumbai) store and remaining goods worth ₹ 65,000 can be sent from its Malad (Mumbai) store. Both the stores are instructed to issue separate invoices for the goods sent to Prasannaa. The goods are transported to Prasanna in Delhi, in a single conveyance owned by Radhey Transporters.

You are required to advise Beauty Cosmetics Ltd. with regard to issuance of e-way bill(s).

17. Manihar Enterprises, registered in Delhi, is engaged in supply of various goods and services exclusively to Government departments, agencies etc. and persons notified under section 51 of the CGST Act, 2017. It has provided the information relating to the supplies made, their contract values and the payment due against each of them in the month of October, 20XX as under:

S.No.	Particulars	Total contract value (inclusive of GST) (₹)	Payment due in October, 20XX (₹)
(i)	Supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata	2,60,000	15,000
(ii)	Supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi	2,95,000	20,000
(iii)	Supply of a heavy machinery to Public Sector Undertaking located in Uttarakhand	5,90,000	25,000
(iv)	Supply of taxable goods to Delhi office of National Housing Bank, a society established by Government of India under the Societies Registration Act, 1860	6,49,000	50,000
(v)	Interior decoration of Andhra Bhawan located in Delhi. Service contract is entered into with the Government of Andhra Pradesh (registered only in Andhra Pradesh)	12,39,000	12,39,000
(vi)	Supply of printed books and printed post cards to a West Delhi Post Office [Out of total contract value of ₹ 9,72,000, contract value for supply of books (exempt from GST) is ₹ 7,00,000 and for supply of printed post cards (taxable under GST) is ₹ 2,72,000.]	9,72,000	50,000 for books & 20,000 for printed post cards
(vii)	Maintenance of street lights in Municipal area of East Delhi* [The maintenance contract entered into with the Municipal Corporation of Delhi also involves replacement of defunct lights and other spares. However, the value of supply of goods is not more than 25% of the value of composite supply.] *an activity in relation to any function entrusted to a Municipality under article 243W of the Constitution	3,50,000	3,50,000

You are required to determine amount of tax, if any, to be deducted from each of the receivable given above assuming the rate of CGST, SGST and IGST as 9%, 9% and 18% respectively.

Will your answer be different, if Manihar Enterprises is registered under composition scheme?

18. Kailash Global (P) Ltd. supplies various goods in domestic and international markets. It is engaged in both manufacturing and trading of goods. The company is registered under GST in the State of Karnataka. The company exports goods without payment of tax under letter of undertaking in accordance with the provisions of section 16(3)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017.

The company has made the following supplies during a tax period:

S. No.	Particulars	(₹)
(i)	Export of product 'A' to UK for \$ 10,000. Assessable value under customs in Indian rupees. [Export duty is levied on product 'A' at the time of exports]	7,00,000
(ii)	Domestic supplies of taxable product 'B'* during the period [excluding tax @ 5%] [Inputs used in manufacturing of such goods are taxable @18%] <i>*not notified as a product, in respect of which refund of unutilised ITC shall not be allowed under section 54(3)(ii) of the CGST Act, 2017</i>	10,00,000
(iii)	Supply of goods to Export Oriented Unit [excluding tax @ 18%] [ITC has been claimed by the recipient]	5,00,000
(iv)	Export of exempt supplies of goods	6,00,000

The ITC available for the above tax period is as follows:

S. No.	Particulars	(₹)
(i)	On inputs (including ₹ 50,000 on export of exempt supplies)	3,50,000
(ii)	On capital goods	1,20,000
(iii)	On input services (including ₹ 18,000 on outdoor catering)	2,00,000

Determine the maximum amount of refund admissible to Kailash Global (P) Ltd. for the given tax period.

19. Enlist the circumstances for which a show cause notice can be issued by the proper officer under section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017. Specify the time limit for issuance of

such show cause notice as also the time period for issuance of order by the proper officer under section 73.

20. With reference to the provisions of section 121 of the CGST Act, 2017, specify the orders against which no appeals can be filed.
21. Explain the provisions of section 39(9) of the CGST Act, 2017 with reference to rectification of returns.
22. Sphinx Merchandise Ltd. has exported some goods to USA by air. The FOB price of goods exported is US \$ 1,00,000.

Compute the export duty payable by Sphinx Merchandise Ltd. with the help of following details provided.

Particulars	Date	Rate of duty	Rate of exchange notified by CBIC	Rate of exchange prescribed by RBI
Presentation of shipping bill	17.06.20XX	12%	1 US \$ = 65	1 US \$ = 64
Let export order	19.07.20XX	10%	1 US \$ = 64	1 US \$ = 65

23. (i) With reference to section 70 of the Customs Act, 1962, briefly discuss the conditions to be satisfied for remission of duty in case of volatile goods.
(ii) Enumerate the goods specified as volatile for the purposes of remission of duty under the provisions of Customs Act, 1962.
24. Explain rule 3 of the General Rules for the Interpretation of the Import Tariff.
25. (i) A star export house wishes to import goods which are exempt from duty under Foreign Trade Policy (FTP), subject to fulfilment of export obligation. However, Customs Notification giving effect to the FTP is yet to be issued. Can the export house import the goods claiming exemption from duty under FTP in the absence of Customs Notification?
(ii) Give examples of categories of exports/sectors which are ineligible for duty credit scrip entitlement under MEIS.

SUGGESTED ANSWERS

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. | (c) | 2. | (c) | 3. | (c) | 4. | (a) | 5. | (d) |
| 6. | (b) | 7. | (d) | 8. | (a) | 9. | (d) | 10. | (b) |

11.

S. No.	Particulars	ITC (₹)
(i)	Computation of amount of ITC credited to Electronic Credit Ledger, for the month of October, 20XX	
(a)	Machinery 'U' - 'A' [Note 1]	36,000
(b)	Machinery 'V' [Note 2]	18,000
(c)	Machinery 'W' [Note 3]	-
(d)	Machinery 'X' - [Note 4]	36,000
(e)	Machinery 'Y' [Note 5]	-
(f)	Machinery 'Z' [Note 6]	-
(g)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Alpha' [Note 7]	27,000
(h)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Beta' [Note 7]	-
(i)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Gama' [Note 7]	<u>18,000</u>
	ITC credited to Electronic Credit Ledger, for the month of October, 20XX	1,35,000
(ii)	Computation of common credit for the month of October, 20XX	
(a)	Value of 'A' for Machinery 'U' purchased on 01.10.20XX	36,000
(b)	Value of 'A' for Machinery 'X' purchased 3 years before 01.10.20XX and used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 01.10.20XX	36,000
(c)	Value of 'A' for Machinery 'Y' purchased 4 years before 01.10.20XX and used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 01.10.20XX [Note 8]	14,400
	Total common credit for the month of October, 20XX – T_c [Note 9]	86,400
(iii)	Computation of common credit attributable to exempt supplies, for the month of October, 20XX	
(a)	ITC attributable to a month on common capital goods during their useful life – T _m [Note 10]	1,440
(b)	ITC at the beginning of October, 20XX on all common capital goods whose useful life remains during the tax period - T _r [Note 11]	2,340

(c)	Common credit attributable to exempt supplies, for the month of October 20XX – T_e $= T_r \times \frac{\text{Turnover of exempt supplies during October 20XX}}{\text{Total turnover of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. during October 20XX}}$ $= 2,340 \times \frac{10,00,000}{25,00,000}$	936
(iv)	Computation of GST liability of the company for October 20XX payable through Electronic Cash Ledger	
	IGST payable on 'Alpha' [₹ 9,00,000 x 18%]	1,62,000
	IGST payable on 'Beta' [Exempt]	Nil
	IGST payable on 'Gama' [₹ 6,00,000 x 18%]	<u>1,08,000</u>
	Total IGST payable on outward supply	2,70,000
	Common credit attributable to exempt supplies for the month of October, 20XX [Note 12]	<u>936</u>
	Total output tax liability of October, 20XX	2,70,936
	Less: ITC available in the Electronic Credit Ledger	<u>1,35,000</u>
	IGST payable from Electronic Cash Ledger	1,35,936

Notes:

- (1) ITC in respect of capital goods used commonly for effecting taxable supplies and exempt supplies denoted as 'A' shall be credited to the electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(c) of the CGST Rules, 2017].
- (2) ITC in respect of capital goods used or intended to be used exclusively for effecting supplies other than exempted supplies but including zero rated supplies shall be credited to the electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(b) of the CGST Rules, 2017].
- (3) ITC in respect of capital goods used or intended to be used exclusively for effecting exempt supplies shall not be credited to electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017].
- (4) Where any capital goods earlier used exclusively for effecting exempt supplies is subsequently **also** used for effecting taxable supplies, the value of 'A' shall be arrived at by reducing the ITC at the rate of 5% for every quarter or part thereof and the amount 'A' shall be credited to the electronic credit ledger [Proviso to rule 43(1)(c) of the CGST Rules, 2017].

Thus, 'A' shall be computed as under-

$$= ₹ 90,000 - ₹ 54,000 (₹ 90,000 \times 5\% \times 12 \text{ quarters})$$

$$= ₹ 36,000$$

- (5) Machinery 'Y' is being used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 01.10.20XX. Prior to that it was exclusively used for effecting taxable supplies. Therefore, ITC in respect of such machinery would have already been credited to the electronic credit ledger.
- (6) Machinery 'Z' is being used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from October 1, two years prior to 01.10.20XX. Therefore, ITC in respect of such machinery would have already been credited to the electronic credit ledger.
- (7) ITC in respect of inputs used for effecting taxable supplies will be credited in Electronic Credit Ledger. ITC in respect of inputs used for effecting exempt supplies will not be credited in the electronic credit ledger [Rule 42 of CGST Rules, 2017].
- (8) Where any capital goods earlier used exclusively for effecting taxable supplies is subsequently **also** used for effecting exempt supplies, the value of 'A' arrived at by reducing the input tax at the rate of 5% for every quarter or part thereof shall be added to the common credit (aggregate value 'T_c' – Refer Note 9 below) [Proviso to rule 43(1)(d) of the CGST Rules, 2017].

Thus, 'A' shall be computed as under-

$$= ₹ 72,000 - ₹ 57,600 (₹ 72,000 \times 5\% \times 16 \text{ quarters})$$

$$= ₹ 14,400$$

- (9) The aggregate of the amounts of 'A' credited to the electronic credit ledger, to be denoted as 'T_c', shall be the common credit in respect of capital goods for a tax period [Rule 43(1)(d) of the CGST Rules, 2017].

- *(10) ITC attributable to a month on common capital goods during their useful life (T_m) shall be computed in accordance with rule 43(1)(e) of CGST Rules, 2017 as under:

$$= T_c \div 60$$

$$= ₹ 86,400 \div 60$$

$$= ₹ 1,440$$

- (11) Useful life of capital goods used commonly for effecting taxable supplies and exempt supplies shall be taken as five years from the date of the invoice for such goods [Rule 43(1)(c) of the CGST Rules, 2017]. Machinery 'Z' is used commonly for effecting taxable and exempt supplies from October 1, two years before 01.10.20XX. Hence, its useful life remains in the month of October 20XX and therefore, T_r will be aggregate of T_m (ITC pertaining to a month) for Machinery 'Z' and T_m for other machineries computed under point 3.(a).

T_m for machinery 'Z' will be computed as under:

$$₹ 54,000 \div 60 = ₹ 900$$

$$T_r = T_m \text{ for machinery 'Z' } + T_m \text{ for other machineries}$$

$$T_r = ₹ 900 + ₹ 1,440 = ₹ 2,340$$

- (12) Common credit attributable to the exempt supplies (T_e) along with the applicable interest (which is to be ignored in this case) shall, during every tax period of the useful life of the concerned capital goods, be added to the output tax liability of the person making such claim of credit [Rule 43(2)(h) of the CGST Rules, 2017].

**Note: In the above solution, common credit of capital goods whose remaining useful life is less than 5 years has also been divided by 60 to compute the T_m on the basis of strict interpretation of rule 43(1)(e). Owing to such an interpretation, the time period for reversal of credit increases and the amount of reversal every month decreases. However, an alternative view can be to compute T_m by dividing the common credit pertaining to capital goods whose remaining useful life is less than 5 years with the number of months in their remaining useful life. If such view is adopted, the time period for reversal of credit will decrease and the amount of reversal to be made every month will increase.*

12. As per section 10(3) of the CGST Act, 2017 read with Notification No. 8/2017 CT dated 27.06.2017 as amended, the option availed of by a registered person to pay tax under composition scheme shall lapse with effect from the day on which his aggregate turnover during a financial year exceeds ₹ 1 crore [₹ 75 lakh in case of Special Category States except Uttarakhand and Jammu and Kashmir].

As per section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, aggregate turnover means the aggregate value of all taxable supplies (excluding the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable by a person on reverse charge basis), exempt supplies, exports of goods or services or both and inter-State supplies of persons having the same PAN, to be computed on all India basis but excludes CGST, SGST/UTGST, IGST and GST Compensation Cess.

In the given case, the firm is registered under the composition scheme in the State of Maharashtra. The aggregate turnover of the firm exceeds ₹ 1 crore on 03.10.20XX [aggregate of both taxable and exempt turnover from 01.04.20XX to 03.10.20XX, i.e. ₹ 1,00,05,000 (₹ 97,65,000 + ₹ 1,03,000 + ₹ 33,250 + ₹ 58,750 + ₹ 45,000)]

The inward supplies of goods transportation services in respect of which the firm has to pay tax under reverse charge have not been included in the aggregate turnover in terms of section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017. The tax is payable under reverse charge on such services as the applicable rate of tax on such services is given as 5% and not 12%, in which case the GTA would have been liable to pay tax under forward charge [Notification No. 13/2017 CT (R) dated 28.06.2017 as amended].

Thus, the firm will have to pay tax under regular scheme (Section 9 of the CGST Act, 2017) from 03.10.20XX.

Output tax liability of B & D Company under composition scheme

During the period when the firm pays tax under composition scheme, i.e. from 01.04.20XX to 02.10.20XX, tax will be payable on quarterly basis and no ITC will be available [Section 10(4) read with sub-sections (2) and (7) of section 39 of the CGST Act, 2017]. Further, since the firm is trading in goods, tax will be payable @ $\frac{1}{2}\%$ [Effective rate - 1% ($\frac{1}{2}\%$ CGST + $\frac{1}{2}\%$ SGST)] of the turnover of **taxable** supplies of goods (i.e. 'P') in the State [Section 10(1) read with rule 7 of CGST Rules, 2017].

The tax liability for the quarters ended June, 20XX, September, 20XX and December, 20XX under composition scheme will be computed as under-

Particulars	Quarter ended 30.06.20XX (₹)	Quarter ended 30.09.20XX (₹)	Quarter ended 31.12.20XX (₹)
Turnover of 'P' (Taxable supplies)	40,00,000	30,00,000	1,75,000 [1,00,000 + 31,250 + 43,750]
CGST @ 0.5% [A1]	20,000	15,000	875
SGST @ 0.5% [B1]	20,000	15,000	875
Inward supply on which tax is payable under reverse charge [Service of goods transportation availed from a GTA @ 5%]	60,000 [(1,40,000/7) x 3]	60,000 [(1,40,000/7) x 3]	Nil [Paid on 10 th day for goods transported between 11 th to 20 th day of the month, so the same will be assessed under regular scheme]
CGST @ 2.5% [A2]	1,500	1,500	-
SGST @ 2.5% [B2]	1,500	1,500	-
Total CGST [A1 + A2]	21,500	16,500	875
Total SGST [B1 + B2]	21,500	16,500	875
Total CGST liability for the period from 01.04.20XX to 02.10.20XX		38,875 [21,500 + 16,500 + 875]	
Total SGST liability for the period from 01.04.20XX to 02.10.20XX		38,875 [21,500 + 16,500 + 875]	

13. As per section 18(1)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017 read with rule 40 of CGST Rules, 2017, where any registered person ceases to pay tax under section 10, he shall be entitled to take credit of input tax in respect of inputs held in stock, inputs contained in semi-finished

or finished goods held in stock and on capital goods on the day immediately preceding the date from which he becomes liable to pay tax under section 9. However, the credit on capital goods shall be reduced by 5% per quarter of a year or part thereof from the date of invoice.

Further, ITC on supplies of inputs and capital goods shall not be available after the expiry of one year from the date of issue of tax invoice [Section 18(2) of the CGST Act, 2017].

In the light of the above-mentioned provisions, the ITC credited to the Electronic Credit Ledger of the B & D Company on inputs held in stock and capital goods on 02.10.20XX will be computed as under:

Particulars		Amount (₹)
A. ITC on inputs		
Stock of taxable inputs as on 30.09.20XX [Since no tax is paid on exempt purchases, there does not arise any question of availing ITC on the same. Hence, stock of only taxable inputs are considered]		10,00,000
Add: Purchases [No purchases are made in October, 20XX]		Nil
Less: Cost of taxable goods sold from 01.10.20XX to 02.10.20XX [(₹ 1,00,000 + ₹ 31,250 + ₹ 43,750) x 80%]		<u>1,40,000</u>
Stock of taxable inputs as on 02.10.20XX [Since the bill numbers are in continuation, it can be concluded that no sales are missing from the extract]		8,60,000
Less: More than one year old stock		<u>3,00,000</u>
Stock of inputs on which ITC can be claimed		5,60,000
ITC of CGST @ 9%	[Since all purchases are intra-State and from the suppliers registered under regular scheme]	50,400
ITC of SGST @ 9%		50,400
B. ITC on capital goods		
Particulars	CGST @ 9% (₹)	SGST @ 9% (₹)
Computers [₹ 36,000 – (5% x 4 quarters)] ÷ 2	14,400	14,400
Printers [Being more than one year old, no ITC is available]	-	-
Motor cycle	-	-

[Section 17(5)(a) of CGST Act, 2017 allows ITC on motor vehicles only when the same are used: (1) for making taxable supply of- (i) further supply of such vehicles, (ii) transportation of passengers, (iii) imparting training on driving, flying, navigating such vehicles and (2) for transportation of goods. Since B & D Company is a trader and it does not use the motor cycle for transportation of goods, ITC thereon will not be available]		
Furniture and Fixtures [₹ 72,000 – (5% x 3 quarters)] ÷ 2	30,600	30,600
Air conditioner used in the office [Since purchased after 03.10.20XX, full ITC will be available and will be computed separately]	-	-
Exhaust fan used in the godown [₹ 9,000 – (5% x 4 quarters)] ÷ 2	<u>3,600</u>	<u>3,600</u>
<i>ITC to be claimed on capital goods</i>	<i>48,600</i>	<i>48,600</i>
Total ITC on inputs and capital goods credited to Electronic Credit Ledger on 02.10.20XX	99,000 [50,400 + 48,600]	99,000 [50,400 + 48,600]

14. Output tax liability of B & D Company under regular scheme

From 03.10.20XX, firm will pay tax under regular scheme on monthly basis in terms of sub-sections (1) and (7) of section 39 of the CGST Act, 2017 and will be eligible to avail ITC on inputs held in stock and capital goods as on 02.10.20XX in terms of section 18 of the CGST Act, 2017 as also on goods and services procured on or after 03.10.20XX and used in the course or furtherance of business in accordance with section 16 of the CGST Act, 2017. However, since common input services and capital goods are used in effecting taxable supplies as well as exempt supplies, ITC attributable to the exempt supplies will need to be added to the output tax liability of the month of October, 20XX in terms of section 17(2) read with rules 42 and 43 of the CGST Rules, 2017 respectively. Further, since all the sales are made within the State (eastern part of Maharashtra), CGST and SGST @ 9% each will be payable on the outward supplies.

The tax liability for the month of October, 20XX under regular scheme will be computed as under-

Particulars	Value (₹)	CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)
Tax on outward supply of 'P' Taxable supplies from 03.10.20XX to 31.10.20XX chargeable to CGST and SGST 9% each [₹ 8,00,000 (Refer Working Note 4)]	6,25,000	56,250	56,250
Tax on inward supplies attracting reverse charge GTA services availed chargeable to CGST and SGST @ 2.5% each (₹ 1,40,000 / 7)	20,000	500	500
ITC reversal on input services [Refer Working Note 1 below]		363	363
ITC reversal on capital goods [Refer Working Note 2 below]		126	126
Total GST liability		57,239	57,239
Less: ITC [Refer Working Note 3 below]		56,739	56,739
Less: Tax paid in cash As per section 49(4) of the CGST Act, 2017 amount available in the electronic credit ledger may be used for making payment towards output tax. However, tax payable under reverse charge is not an output tax in terms of section 2(82) of the CGST Act, 2017. Therefore, tax payable under reverse charge cannot be set off against the input tax credit and thus, will have to be paid in cash.		500	500

Working Note 1

Particulars	Value (₹)	CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)
CGST & SGST @ 2.5% each paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA on 10.10.20XX (for the goods transported between 11.10.20XX & 20.10.20XX) will be available as ITC under regular scheme	20,000	500	500
CGST & SGST @ 9% each paid to Packing Agency on 09.10.20XX (for specialized packing to be carried out on 10.10.20XX on goods to be transported between 11.10.20XX & 20.10.20XX) will be available as ITC under regular scheme.	30,000	2,700	2,700

Total common credit		3,200	3,200
Common credit on input services attributable to exempt supplies (rounded off)		363	363
Common credit on input services availed during the period under regular scheme x (Exempt turnover made during the period under regular scheme / Total turnover during the period under regular scheme) = ₹ 3,200 x ₹ 80,000 / ₹ 7,05,000 Turnover of 'Q' (exempt turnover) from 03.10.20XX to 31.10.20XX - ₹ 80,000 [Refer Working Note 4] Total turnover from 03.10.20XX to 31.10.20XX - ₹ 7,05,000 [Refer Working Note 4]			

Working Note 2

Particulars	CGST @ 9% (₹)	SGST @ 9% (₹)
ITC claimed on capital goods on 02.10.20XX [Refer Ans. 13]	48,600	48,600
Air conditioner used in the office purchased on 15.10.20XX	<u>18,000</u>	<u>18,000</u>
Common ITC [Since all the capital goods are used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies, the entire ITC on capital goods is common]	66,600	66,600
Common credit for a tax period [Common credit ÷ 60] (rounded off)	1,110	1,110
Common credit on capital goods attributable to exempt supplies (rounded off) Common credit on capital goods during the period under regular scheme x (Exempt turnover made during the period under regular scheme / Total turnover during the period under regular scheme) = ₹ 1,110 x ₹ 80,000 / ₹ 7,05,000	126	126

Working Note 3

Particulars	CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)
ITC on inputs and capital goods claimed on 02.10.20XX [Refer Ans. 13]	99,000	99,000
ITC on air conditioner used in the office purchased on 15.10.20XX	18,000	18,000

ITC on freight paid to GTA	500	500
ITC on packing charges	<u>2,700</u>	<u>2,700</u>
Total ITC available with the firm	1,20,200	1,20,200

Working Note 4

Particulars	Total turnover for the month of October, 20XX* (₹)	Turnover in the month of October under regular scheme [03.10.20XX-31.10.20XX] (₹)
'P'	8,00,000 [(10,00,000 – 3,60,000) x 125%]	6,25,000 [8,00,000 – 1,00,000 – 31,250 – 43,750]
'Q'	1,00,000 [(2,00,000 – 1,20,000) x 125%]	80,000 [1,00,000 – 3,000 – 2,000 – 15,000]
Aggregate turnover	9,00,000	7,05,000

Note - Turnover for October, 20XX will be computed as under:

*Turnover = Cost of goods sold** × 125% (20% margin on sales = 25% margin on cost)

**Cost of goods sold = Stock as on 30.09.20XX less stock as on 31.10.20XX (since no purchases are made after September, 20XX)

15. In the given situation, three supplies are involved:

- Services provided by Musicera Pvt. Ltd. to audiences by way of admission to music concert.
- Services provided by Supriya (P) Ltd. to Musicera Pvt. Ltd. by way of organising the music concert.
- Services provided by Hotel Dumdum to Supriya (P) Ltd. by way of accommodation in the Hotel lawns for organising the music concert.

The CGST and SGST or IGST liability in respect of each of the above supplies is determined as under:

- As per the provisions of section 12(6) of the IGST Act, 2017, the place of supply of services provided by way of admission to, *inter alia*, a cultural event shall be the place where the event is actually held.

Therefore, the place of supply of services supplied by Musicera Pvt. Ltd. to audiences by way of admission to the music concert is the location of the Hotel Dumdum, i.e. Gurugram, Haryana.

Since the location of the supplier (Ludhiana, Punjab) and the place of supply (Gurugram, Haryana) are in different States, IGST will be leviable. Therefore, IGST leviable will be computed as follows:

Consideration for supply (400 tickets @ ₹ 5,000 per ticket) = ₹ 20,00,000

IGST @ 18% on value of supply = ₹ 20,00,000 x 18% = ₹ 3,60,000.

- (ii) Section 12(7)(a)(i) of IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the place of supply of services provided by way of organization of, *inter alia*, a cultural event to a registered person is the location of such person.

Therefore, the place of supply of services supplied by Supriya (P) Ltd. to Musicera Pvt. Ltd. (Ludhiana, Punjab) by way of organising the music concert is the location of the recipient, i.e. Ludhiana (Punjab).

Since the location of the supplier (Delhi) and the place of supply (Ludhiana, Punjab) are in different States, IGST will be leviable. Therefore, IGST leviable will be computed as follows:

Consideration for supply = ₹ 10,00,000

IGST @ 18% on value of supply = ₹ 10,00,000 x 18% = ₹ 1,80,000

- (iii) As per the provisions of section 12(3)(c) of the IGST Act, 2017, the place of supply of services, by way of accommodation in any immovable property for organizing, *inter alia*, any cultural function shall be the location at which the immovable property is located.

Therefore, the place of supply of services supplied by Hotel Dumdum (Gurugram, Haryana) to Supriya (P) Ltd. by way of accommodation in Hotel lawns for organising the music concert shall be the location of the Hotel Dumdum, i.e. Gurugram, Haryana.

Since the location of the supplier (Gurugram, Haryana) and the place of supply (Gurugram, Haryana) are in the same State, CGST and SGST will be leviable. Therefore, CGST and SGST leviable will be computed as follows:

Consideration for supply = ₹ 4,00,000

CGST @ 9% on value of supply = ₹ 4,00,000 x 9% = ₹ 36,000

SGST @ 9% on value of supply = ₹ 4,00,000 x 9% = ₹ 36,000

If the price for the entry ticket is fixed at ₹ 450, answer will change in respect of supply of service provided by way of admission to music concert, as mentioned in point (i) above. There will be no IGST liability if the consideration for the ticket is ₹ 450 as the inter-State services by way of right to admission to, *inter alia*, musical performance are exempt from IGST vide *Notification No. 9/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017*, if the consideration for right to admission to the event is not more than ₹ 500 per person. However, there will be no change in the answer in respect of supplies mentioned in point (ii) and (iii) above.

16. (i) (a) Rule 138(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017 provides that e-way Bill is mandatorily required to be generated if the goods are moved, *inter alia*, in relation to

supply and the consignment value exceeds ₹ 50,000. Further, explanation 2 to rule 138(1) stipulates that the consignment value of goods shall be the value, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 15, declared in an invoice, a bill of supply or a delivery challan, as the case may be, issued in respect of the said consignment and also includes CGST, SGST/UTGST, IGST and cess charged, if any, in the document and shall exclude the value of exempt supply of goods where the invoice is issued in respect of both exempt and taxable supply of goods.

Accordingly, in the given case, the consignment value will be as follows:

$$= ₹ 48,000 \times 118\%$$

$$= ₹ 56,640.$$

Since the movement of goods is in relation to supply of goods and the consignment value exceeds ₹ 50,000, e-way bill is mandatorily required to be issued in the given case.

- (b) An e-way bill contains two parts namely, Part A to be furnished by the registered person who is causing movement of goods of consignment value exceeding ₹ 50,000/- and part B (transport details) is to be furnished by the person who is transporting the goods.

Where the goods are transported by the registered person as a consignor or the recipient of supply as the consignee, whether in his own conveyance or a hired one or a public conveyance, by road, the said person shall generate the e-way bill on the common portal after furnishing information in Part B [Rule 138(2)].

Where the goods are transported by railways or by air or vessel, the e-way bill shall be generated by the registered person, being the supplier or the recipient, who shall, either before or after the commencement of movement, furnish, on the common portal, the information in Part B [Rule 138(2A)].

Where the goods are handed over to a transporter for transportation by road, the registered person shall furnish the information relating to the transporter on the common portal and the e-way bill shall be generated by the transporter on the said portal on the basis of the information furnished by the registered person in Part A [Rule 138(3)].

Where the consignor or the consignee has not generated the e-way bill and the aggregate of the consignment value of goods carried in the conveyance is more than ₹ 50,000/, the transporter, except in case of transportation of goods by railways, air and vessel, shall, in respect of inter-State supply, generate the e-way bill on the basis of invoice or bill of supply or delivery challan, as the case may be, and may also generate a consolidated e-way bill on the common portal prior to the movement of goods [Rule 138(7)].

- (c) It is mandatory to generate e-way bill in all cases where the value of consignment of goods being transported is more than ₹ 50,000/- and it is not otherwise exempted in terms of rule 138(14) of CGST Rules, 2017. If e-way bills, wherever required, are not issued in accordance with the provisions contained in rule 138, the same will be considered as contravention of rules. As per section 122(1)(xiv) of CGST Act, 2017, a taxable person who transports any taxable goods without the cover of specified documents (e-way bill is one of the specified documents) shall be liable to a penalty of ₹ 10,000/- or tax sought to be evaded (wherever applicable) whichever is greater. Moreover, as per section 129(1) of CGST Act, 2017, where any person transports any goods or stores any goods while they are in transit in contravention of the provisions of this Act or the Rules made thereunder, all such goods and conveyance used as a means of transport for carrying the said goods and documents relating to such goods and conveyance shall be liable to detention or seizure.
- (ii) The goods to be moved to another State for replacement under warranty is not a 'supply'. However, rule 138(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, *inter alia*, stipulates that every registered person who causes movement of goods of consignment value exceeding ₹ 50,000:
- (i) in relation to a supply; or
 - (ii) for reasons other than supply; or
 - (iii) due to inward supply from an unregistered person,
- shall, generate an electronic way bill (E-way Bill) before commencement of such movement.

CBIC vide Q 9. of FAQs on E-way Bill has also clarified that even if the movement of goods is caused due to reasons others than supply [including replacement of goods under warranty], e-way bill is required to be issued.

Thus, in the given case, since the consignment value exceeds ₹ 50,000, e-way bill is required to be mandatorily generated. Therefore, the claim of Power Electricals Ltd. that e-way bill is not mandatorily required to be generated as the movement of goods is caused due to reasons other than supply, is not correct.

- (iii) Beauty Cosmetics Ltd. would be required to prepare two separate e-way bills since each invoice value exceeds ₹ 50,000 and each invoice is considered as one consignment for the purpose of generating e-way bills.

The FAQs on E-way Bill issued by CBIC clarify that if multiple invoices are issued by the supplier to one recipient, that is, for movement of goods of more than one invoice of same consignor and consignee, multiple e-way bills have to be generated. In other words, for each invoice, one e-way bill has to be generated, irrespective of the fact whether same or different consignors or consignees are involved. Multiple invoices cannot be clubbed to generate one e-way bill. However,

after generating all these e-way bills, one consolidated e-way bill can be prepared for transportation purpose, if goods are going in one vehicle.

17. As per section 51 of the CGST Act, 2017 read with section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017 and Notification No. 50/2018 CT 13.09.2018, with effect from 01.10.2018, following persons are required to deduct CGST @ 1% [Effective tax 2% (1% CGST + 1% SGST/UTGST)] or IGST @ 2% from the payment made/credited to the supplier (deductee) of taxable goods or services or both, where the total value of such supply, under a contract, exceeds ₹ 2,50,000:

- (a) a department or establishment of the Central Government or State Government; or
- (b) local authority; or
- (c) Governmental agencies; or
- (d) an authority or a board or any other body, -
 - (i) set up by an Act of Parliament or a State Legislature; or
 - (ii) established by any Government,
 with 51% or more participation by way of equity or control, to carry out any function; or
- (e) Society established by the Central Government or the State Government or a Local Authority under the Societies Registration Act, 1860, or
- (f) Public sector undertakings.

Further, for the purpose of deduction of tax, the value of supply shall be taken as the amount excluding CGST, SGST/UTGST, IGST and GST Compensation Cess indicated in the invoice.

Since in the given case, Manihaar Enterprises is supplying goods and services exclusively to Government departments, agencies etc. and persons notified under section 51 of the CGST Act, 2017, applicability of TDS provisions on its various receivables is examined in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Total contract value (₹)	Payment due (₹)	Tax to be deducted		
				CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)	IGST (₹)
(i)	Supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata (Note-1)	2,60,000	15,000	--		
(ii)	Supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi (Note-2)	2,95,000	20,000	--		

(iii)	Supply of a heavy machinery to Public Sector Undertaking located in Uttarakhand (Note-3)	5,90,000	25,000			500
(iv)	Supply of taxable goods to Delhi office of National Housing Bank, a society established by Government of India under the Societies Registration Act, 1860 (Note-4)	6,49,000	50,000	500	500	
(v)	Interior decoration of Andhra Bhawan located in Delhi (Note-5)	12,39,000	12,39,000	--		
(vi)	Supply of printed books and printed post cards to a West Delhi Post Office (Note-6)	9,72,000		--		
(vii)	Maintenance of street lights in Municipal area of East Delhi (Note-7)	3,50,000	3,50,000	--		

Notes:

- Being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata is subject to IGST @ 18%. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding IGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 2,60,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 2,20,339 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000, tax is not required to be deducted.
- Being an intra-State supply of services, supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding CGST and SGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 2,95,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 2,50,000$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000, tax is not required to be deducted.

3. Being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of heavy machinery to PSU in Uttarakhand is subject to IGST @ 18%. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding IGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 5,90,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 5,00,000$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract exceeds ₹ 2,50,000, PSU in Uttarakhand is required to deduct tax @ 2% of ₹ 25,000, i.e. ₹ 500.

4. Being an intra-State supply of goods, supply of taxable goods to National Housing Bank, Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding CGST and SGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 6,49,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 5,50,000 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract exceeds ₹ 2,50,000, National Housing Bank, Delhi is required to deduct tax @ 2% (1% CGST + 1% SGST) of ₹ 50,000, i.e. ₹ 1,000.

5. Proviso to section 51(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that no tax shall be deducted if the location of the supplier and the place of supply is in a State or Union territory which is different from the State or as the case may be, Union territory of registration of the recipient.

Section 12(3) of the IGST Act, 2017, *inter alia*, stipulates that the place of supply of services, directly in relation to an immovable property, including services provided by interior decorators, shall be the location at which the immovable property is located or intended to be located. Accordingly, the place of supply of the interior decoration of Andhra Bhawan shall be Delhi.

Since the location of the supplier (Manihar Enterprises) and the place of supply is Delhi and the State of registration of the recipient - Government of Andhra Pradesh is Andhra Pradesh, no tax is liable to be deducted in the given case.

6. If the contract is made for both taxable supply and exempted supply, tax shall be deducted if the total value of taxable supply in the contract exceeds ₹ 2,50,000. Being an intra-State supply of goods, supply of printed post cards to a West Delhi Post Office is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding CGST and SGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 2,72,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 2,30,509 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

Since the total value of taxable supply under the contract does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000, tax is not required to be deducted.

7. Composite supply of goods and services in which the value of supply of goods constitutes not more than 25% of the value of the said composite supply provided to, *inter alia*, local authority by way of any activity in relation to any function entrusted to a Municipality under article 243W of the Constitution is exempt from GST. Thus, maintenance of street lights (an activity in relation to a function entrusted to a Municipality) in Municipal area of East Delhi involving replacement of defunct lights and other spares where the value of supply of goods is not more than 25% of the value of composite supply is a service exempt from GST. Since tax is liable to be deducted from the payment made or credited to the supplier of taxable goods or services or both, no tax is required to be deducted in the given case as the supply is exempt.

The answer will remain unchanged even if Manihar Enterprises is registered under composition scheme. Tax will be deducted in all cases where it is required to be deducted under section 51 of the CGST Act, 2017 including the scenarios when the supplier is registered under composition scheme.

18. Computation of maximum amount of refund admissible to Kailash Global (P) Ltd.

Particulars	(₹)
Exports of product 'A' to UK [Note 1]	Nil
Domestic supplies of taxable product 'B' during the period [Note 2]	75,000
Supply of goods to Export Oriented Unit [Note 3]	Nil
Export of exempt supplies [Note 4]	<u>1,14,000</u>
Total refund claim admissible	1,89,000

Notes:

- Export of goods is a zero rated supply in terms of section 16(1)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017. Further, Kailash Global (P) Ltd. exports goods without payment of tax under letter of undertaking in accordance with the provisions of section 16(3)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017. Therefore, as per clause (i) of first proviso to section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017, a registered person may claim refund, of any unutilised ITC in the case of zero rated supply at the end of any tax period. However, second proviso to section 54(3) lays down that refund of unutilised ITC is not allowed if the goods exported out of India are subjected to export duty.
- Refund of unutilised ITC is allowed in case of inverted duty structure, i.e. where the credit has accumulated on account of rate of tax on inputs being higher than the rate of tax on output supplies (other than nil rated or fully exempt supplies) except

supplies of goods or services or both as may be notified by the Government on the recommendations of the GST Council [Clause (ii) of the first proviso to section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017].

Rule 89(5) of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that in the case of refund on account of inverted duty structure, refund of ITC is granted as per the following formula -

$$\text{Maximum Refund Amount} = \frac{\text{Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services} \times \text{Net ITC}}{\text{Adjusted Total Turnover}} - \text{Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services}$$

where-

“Net ITC” means ITC availed on inputs during the relevant period other than the ITC availed for which refund is claimed under sub-rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Adjusted total turnover” means the sum total of the value of:

- (a) the turnover in a State/ Union territory, as defined under section 2(112), excluding turnover of services; &
- (b) the turnover of zero-rated supply of services determined in terms of specified manner and non-zero-rated supply of services,

excluding:

- (i) the value of exempt supplies other than zero-rated supplies; and
- (ii) the turnover of supplies in respect of which refund is claimed under sub-rule (4A) or sub-rule (4B) or both, if any,

during the relevant period.

Tax payable on inverted rated supply of goods = ₹ 10,00,000 × 5% = ₹ 50,000

Here, Net ITC = ₹ 3,50,000, Adjusted Total Turnover = ₹ 28,00,000 [₹ 7,00,000 + ₹ 10,00,000 + ₹ 5,00,000 + ₹ 6,00,000] and Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods = ₹ 10,00,000

Thus, maximum refund amount under rule 89(5) = (₹ 10,00,000 × ₹ 3,50,000) / ₹ 28,00,000 - ₹ 50,000 = ₹ 75,000

3. As per section 2(39) of the CGST Act, 2017, deemed exports means such supplies of goods as may be notified under section 147 of the CGST Act, 2017. Supplies to EOU is notified as deemed export under section 147 vide *Notification No. 48/2017 CT dated 18.10.2017*. In respect of supplies regarded as deemed exports, the application of refund can be filed by the supplier of deemed export supplies only in cases where the recipient does not avail of ITC on such supplies and furnishes an undertaking to the effect that the supplier may claim the refund [Third proviso to rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017]. Therefore, since in the given case, the recipient is

claiming ITC, Kailash Global (P) Ltd. (supplier of deemed exports) cannot claim refund of ITC.

4. Section 16(2) of the IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that subject to the provisions of section 17(5) of the CGST Act, ITC may be availed for making zero-rated supplies, notwithstanding that such supply may be an exempt supply. Section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017 allows refund of ITC in the case of zero rated supply made without payment of tax.

Rule 89(4) of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that in the case of zero-rated supply of goods or services or both without payment of tax under bond/LUT in accordance with the provisions of section 16(3) of the IGST Act, 2017, refund of ITC shall be granted as per the following formula:

$$\text{Refund Amount} = \frac{(\text{Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods} + \text{Turnover of zero-rated supply of services})}{\text{Adjusted Total Turnover}} \times \text{Net ITC}$$

where-

“Net ITC” means ITC availed on inputs and input services during the relevant period other than the ITC availed for which refund is claimed under sub-rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods” means the value of zero-rated supply of goods made during the relevant period without payment of tax under bond/LUT, other than the turnover of supplies in respect of which refund is claimed under sub-rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Adjusted total turnover” means the same as explained in point 2 above.

Here, Turnover of zero rated supply of goods = ₹ 6,00,000, Net ITC = ₹ 5,32,000 (ITC on outdoor catering disallowed under section 17(5) of CGST Act, 2017) and Adjusted Total Turnover = ₹ 28,00,000 (as computed in point 2 above)

Thus, maximum refund amount under rule 89(4) = ₹ 6,00,000 × ₹ 5,32,000 / ₹ 28,00,000 = ₹ 1,14,000.

19. As per section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017, a show cause notice can be issued by the proper officer if it appears to him that:
- tax has not been paid; or
 - tax has been short paid; or
 - tax has been erroneously refunded; or
 - input tax credit has been wrongly availed or utilized,

for any reason other than the reason of fraud or any wilful misstatement or suppression of facts to evade tax.

The notice should be issued at least 3 months prior to the time limit specified for passing the order determining the amount of tax, interest and any penalty payable by defaulter [Sub-section (2) of section 73].

The order referred herein has to be passed within three years from the due date for furnishing the annual return for the financial year to which the tax not paid or short paid or input tax credit wrongly availed or utilised relates to or within three years from the date of erroneous refund [Sub-section (10) of section 73].

Thus, the time-limit for issuance of show cause notice is 2 years and 9 months from the due date of filing annual return for the financial year to which the demand pertains or from the date of erroneous refund. As per section 44(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, the due date of filing annual return for a financial year is 31st day of December following the end of such financial year.

20. As per section 121 of the CGST Act, 2017, no appeal shall lie against any decision taken or order passed by a CGST officer if such decision taken or order passed relates to any one or more of the following matters, namely:—
- an order of the Commissioner or other authority empowered to direct transfer of proceedings from one officer to another officer; or
 - an order pertaining to the seizure or retention of books of account, register and other documents; or
 - an order sanctioning prosecution under the CGST Act, 2017; or
 - an order passed under section 80 of the CGST Act, 2017 (payment of tax in instalments).
21. As per section 39(9) of the CGST Act, 2017, if any registered person after furnishing a return discovers any omission or incorrect particulars therein, he shall rectify such omission or incorrect particulars in the return to be furnished for the month or quarter during which such omission or incorrect particulars are noticed, subject to payment of interest.

However, section 39(9) does not permit rectification of error or omission discovered on account of scrutiny, audit, inspection or enforcement activities by tax authorities. Further, no such rectification of any omission or incorrect particulars shall be allowed after the due date for furnishing of return for the month of September or second quarter following the end of the financial year, or the actual date of furnishing of relevant annual return, whichever is earlier.

22. **Computation of export duty**

Particulars	Amount (US \$)
Assessable value [Note 1]	1,00,000
	Amount (₹)
Assessable value = US \$ 1,00,000 x ₹ 65 [Note 2]	65,00,000
Export duty @ 10% [Note 3]	6,50,000

Notes:-

1. The transaction value, i.e. FOB price of export goods, is considered as assessable value in terms of section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962.
 2. As per third proviso to section 14(1) of the Customs Act, 1962, assessable value has to be calculated with reference to the rate of exchange notified by CBEC on date of presentation of shipping bill of export.
 3. The rate of duty prevalent on the date of let export order is considered for computing export duty in terms of section 16(1)(a) of the Customs Act, 1962.
- 23. (i)** As per section 70 of the Customs Act, 1962, the conditions to be satisfied for remission of duty in case of volatile goods are:
- (a) The goods should be found deficient in quantity at the time of delivery from the warehouse;
 - (b) The deficiency should be on account of natural loss, i.e. evaporation etc. and not due to pilferage or thefts.
- (ii)** The following goods have been specified as volatile for the purpose of remission of duty vide *Notification No. 03/2016 Cus. (NT) dated 11.01.2016*:
- (a) aviation fuel, motor spirit, mineral turpentine, acetone, methanol, raw naphtha, vaporizing oil, kerosene, high speed diesel oil, batching oil, diesel oil, furnace oil and ethylene dichloride, kept in tanks;
 - (b) wine, spirit and beer, kept in casks
 - (c) liquid helium gas kept in containers
 - (d) crude stored in caverns
- 24.** Rule 3 of the General Rules for the Interpretation of the Import Tariff is used when the goods consists of more than one material or substance which are classifiable under two or more headings. The rule provides as under:
- (i) Rule 3(a) - Specific over general:** The heading that provides a more specific description should be preferred over the heading that provides a general description. However, when two or more headings each refer to part only of the materials or substances contained in mixed or composite goods or to part only of the items in a set up for retail sale, those headings are to be regarded as equally specific in relation to those goods, even if one of them gives a more complete or precise description of the goods.
 - (ii) Rule 3(b) – Essential character principle:** Sub-rule (b) would apply only if the goods cannot be classified under sub-rule (a). This sub-rule provides that composite goods consisting of different materials or made up of different components, and goods put up in sets for retail sale, should be classified on the basis of that material or substance that gives it its essential character.

- (iii) **Rule 3(c) – Latter the better:** If both sub-rules (a) and (b) fail to classify the goods in question, then resort may be had to sub-rule (c), which provides that composite goods shall be classified on the basis of the heading that occurs last in numerical order among those which equally merit consideration.
25. (i) No. The exemptions extended by Foreign Trade Policy can be taken only when the exemption notification is issued under the relevant tax laws. The provisions of FTP cannot override tax laws.
- (ii) Some of the categories of exports/sectors which are ineligible for duty credit scrip entitlement under MEIS are listed below:
- (a) Supplies made from DTA units to SEZ units
 - (b) Exports through trans-shipment, i.e. exports that are originating in third country but trans-shipped through India
 - (c) Deemed Exports
 - (d) SEZ/EOU/EHTP/BPT/FTWZ products exported through DTA units
 - (e) Export products which are subject to Minimum export price or export duty
 - (f) Exports made by units in FTWZ.

Note: GST law is in its nascent stage and has been subject to frequent changes. Although many clarifications are continually being issued by way of FAQs or otherwise, many issues continue to arise on account of varying interpretations on several of its provisions. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions depending upon the view taken.

PAPER – 8 : INDIRECT TAX LAWS

QUESTIONS

- (1) All questions should be answered on the basis of the position of GST law as amended up to 30.04.2018 and customs law as amended by the Finance Act, 2017 and notifications and circulars issued till 30.04.2018.
- (2) The GST rates for goods and services mentioned in various questions are hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates leviable on those goods and services. The rates of customs duty are also hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates. Further, GST compensation cess should be ignored in all the questions, wherever applicable.

1. 'All-in-One Store' is a chain of departmental store having presence in almost all metro cities across India. Both exempted as well as taxable goods are sold in such Stores. The Stores operate in rented properties. All-in-One Stores pay GST under regular scheme.

In Mumbai, the Store operates in a rented complex, a part of which is used by the owner of the Store for personal residential purpose.

All-in-One Store, Mumbai furnishes following details for the month of October, 20XX:

- (i) Aggregate value of various items sold in the Store:
- Taxable items – ₹ 42,00,000
- Items exempted vide a notification – ₹ 12,00,000
- Items not leviable to GST – ₹ 3,00,000
- (ii) Mumbai Store transfers to another All-in-One Store located in Goa certain taxable items for the purpose of distributing the same as free samples. The value declared in the invoice for such items is ₹ 5,00,000. Such items are sold in the Mumbai Store at ₹ 8,00,000.
- (iii) Aggregate value of various items procured for being sold in the Store:
- Taxable items – ₹ 55,00,000
- Items exempted vide a notification – ₹ 15,00,000
- Items not leviable to GST – ₹ 5,00,000
- (iv) Freight paid to goods transport agency (GTA) for inward transportation of taxable items – ₹ 1,00,000
- (v) Freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of exempted items – ₹ 80,000
- (vi) Freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of non-taxable items – ₹ 20,000

- (vii) Monthly rent payable for the complex – ₹ 5,50,000 (one third of total space available is used for personal residential purpose).
- (viii) Activity of packing the items and putting the label of the Store along with the sale price has been outsourced. Amount paid for packing of all the items – ₹ 2,50,000
- (ix) Salary paid to the regular staff at the Store – ₹ 2,00,000
- (x) GST paid on inputs used for personal purpose – ₹ 5,000
- (xi) GST paid on rent a cab services availed for business purpose – ₹ 4,000.
- (xii) GST paid on items given as free samples – ₹ 4,000

Given the above available facts, you are required to compute the following:

- A. Input tax credit (ITC) credited to the Electronic Credit Ledger
- B. Common Credit
- C. ITC attributable towards exempt supplies out of common credit
- D. Eligible ITC out of common credit
- E. Net GST liability for the month of October, 20XX

Note:

- (1) Wherever applicable, GST under reverse charge is payable @ 5% by All-in-One Stores. Rate of GST in all other cases is 18%.
 - (2) All the sales and purchases made by the Store are within Maharashtra. All the purchases are made from registered suppliers. All the other expenses incurred are also within the State.
 - (3) Wherever applicable, the amounts given are exclusive of taxes.
 - (4) All the necessary conditions for availing the ITC have been complied with.
2. XYZ Ltd., New Delhi, manufactures biscuits under the brand name 'Tastypicks'. Biscuits are supplied to wholesalers and distributors located across India on FOR basis from the warehouse of the company located at New Delhi. The company uses multiple modes of transport for supplying the biscuits to its customers spread across the country. The transportation cost is shown as a line item in the invoice and is billed to the customers with a mark-up of 2% on total amount of freight paid (inclusive of taxes).

Flour used for the production process is procured from vendors located in Madhya Pradesh on ex-factory basis. The company engages goods transport agencies (GTA) to transport the flour from the factories of the vendors to its factory located in New Delhi.

The company has provided the following data relating to transportation of biscuits and flour in the month of April 20XX:

- For sales within the NCR region (₹ 20,00,000), the company arranged a local mini-van belonging to an individual and paid him ₹ 54,000.
 - For sales to locations in distant States (₹ 1,78,00,000), the company booked the goods by Indian Railways and paid rail freight of ₹ 3,17,000.
 - For sales to locations in neighbouring States (₹ 55,00,000), the company booked the goods by road carriers (GTAs) and paid road freight of ₹ 3,73,000. Out of the total sales to neighbouring States, goods worth ₹ 10,00,000 were booked through a GTA which paid tax @ 12%. Freight of ₹ 73,000 was paid to such GTA.
 - For purchase of flour from Madhya Pradesh (₹ 25,00,000), the company booked the goods by a GTA and paid road freight of ₹ 55,000.
 - For purchase of butter from Punjab (₹ 15,00,000), the company booked the goods by a GTA and paid road freight of ₹ 35,000.
 - For local purchase of baking powder, the company booked the goods by a GTA in a single carriage and paid road freight of ₹ 1,500.
 - For transferring the biscuits (open market value - ₹ 4,00,000) to one of its sister concern in Rajasthan, the company booked the goods by a GTA and paid road freight of ₹ 40,000.
- (i) Based on the particulars given above, compute the GST payable on the amount paid for transportation by XYZ Ltd. when it avails the services of different transporters.
 - (ii) Compute the GST charged on transportation cost billed by the company to its customers.

Note: - Assume the rate of GST on transportation of goods and biscuits to be 5% and 12% respectively [except where any other rate is specified in the question].

3. Rolex Forex Private Limited, registered in Delhi, is a money changer. It has undertaken the following purchase and sale of foreign currency:
 - (i) 1,000 US \$ are purchased from Rajesh Enterprises at the rate of ₹ 68 per US \$. RBI reference rate for US \$ on that day is ₹ 68.60.
 - (ii) 2,000 US \$ are sold to Srinithi at the rate of ₹ 67.50 per US\$. RBI reference rate for US \$ for that day is not available.

Determine the value of supply in each of the above cases in terms of:

- (A) rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017
 - (B) rule 32(2)(b) of the CGST Rules, 2017.
4. Jaskaran, a registered supplier of Delhi, has made the following supplies in the month of January, 20XX:

S. No.	Particulars	Amount* (₹)
(i)	Supply of 20,000 packages at ₹ 30 each to Sukhija Gift Shop in Punjab [Each package consists of 2 chocolates, 2 fruit juice bottles and a packet of toy balloons]	6,00,000
(ii)	10 generators hired out to Morarji Banquet Halls, Chandigarh [including cost of transporting the generators (₹ 1,000 for each generator) from Jaskaran's warehouse to the Morarji Banquet Halls]	2,50,000
(iii)	500 packages each consisting of 1 chocolate and 1 fruit juice bottle given as free gift to Delhi customers on the occasion of Diwali [Cost of each package is ₹ 12, but the open market value of such package of goods and of goods of like kind and quality is not available. Input tax credit has not been taken on the items contained in the package]	
(iv)	Catering services provided free of cost for elder son's business inaugural function in Delhi [Cost of providing said services is ₹ 55,000, but the open market value of such services and of services of like kind and quality is not available.]	

*excluding GST

You are required to determine the GST liability [CGST & SGST and/or IGST, as the case may be] of Jaskaran for the month of January, 20XX with the help of the following additional information furnished by him for the said period:

1. Penalty of ₹ 10,000 was collected from Sukhija Gift Shop for the payment received with a delay of 10 days.
2. The transportation of the generators from Jaskaran's warehouse to the customer's premises is arranged by Jaskaran through a Goods Transport Agency (GTA) who pays tax @ 12%.
3. Assume the rates of GST to be as under:

Goods/services supplied	CGST	SGST	IGST
Chocolates	9%	9%	18%
Fruit juice bottles	6%	6%	12%
Toy balloons	2.5%	2.5%	5%

Service of renting of generators	9%	9%	18%
Catering service	9%	9%	18%

5. (i) Parth of Pune, Maharashtra enters into an agreement to sell goods to Bakul of Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh. While the goods were being packed in Pune godown of Parth, Bakul got an order from Shreyas of Shimoga, Karnataka for the said goods. Bakul agreed to supply the said goods to Shreyas and asked Parth to deliver the goods to Shreyas at Shimoga.

You are required to determine the place of supply(ies) in the above situation.

- (ii) Damani Industries has recruited Super Events Pvt. Ltd., an event management company of Gujarat, for organising the grand party for the launch of its new product at Bangalore. Damani Industries is registered in Mumbai. Determine the place of supply of the services provided by Super Events Pvt. Ltd. to Damani Industries.

Will your answer be different if the product launch party is organised at Dubai?

6. Oberoi Industries is a manufacturing company registered under GST. It manufactures two taxable products 'X' and 'Y' and one exempt product 'Z'. The turnover of 'X', 'Y' and 'Z' in the month of April, 20XX was ₹ 2,00,000, ₹ 10,00,000 and ₹ 12,00,000. Oberoi Industries is in possession of certain machines and purchases more of them. Useful life of all the machines is considered as 5 years.

From the following particulars furnished by it, compute the amount to be credited to the electronic credit ledger of Oberoi Industries and amount of common credit attributable towards exempted supplies, if any, for the month of April, 20XX.

Particulars	GST paid (₹)
Machine 'A' purchased on 01.04.20XX for being exclusively used for non-business purposes	19,200
Machine 'B' purchased on 01.04.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing zero-rated supplies	38,400
Machine 'C' purchased on 01.04.20XX for being used in manufacturing all the three products – X, Y and Z	96,000
Machine 'D' purchased on April 1, 2 years before 01.04.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing product Z. From 01.04.20XX, such machine will also be used for manufacturing products X and Y.	1,92,000
Machine 'E' purchased on April 1, 3 years before 01.04.20XX for being exclusively used in manufacturing products X and Y. From 01.04.20XX, such machine will also be used for manufacturing product Z.	2,88,000

7. Shiva Medical Centre, a Multi-speciality hospital, is a registered supplier in Mumbai. It hires senior doctors and consultants independently, without entering into any employer-employee agreement with them. These doctors and consultants provide consultancy to the in-patients - patients who are admitted to the hospital for treatment – without there being any contract with such patients. In return, they are paid the consultancy charges by Shiva Medical Centre.

However, the money actually charged by Shiva Medical Centre from the in-patients is higher than the consultancy charges paid to the hired doctors and consultants. The difference amount retained by the hospital, i.e. retention money, includes charges for providing ancillary services like nursing care, infrastructure facilities, paramedic care, emergency services, checking of temperature, weight, blood pressure, etc.

Further, Shiva Medical Centre has its own canteen – Annapurna Bhawan - which supplies food to the in-patients as advised by the doctor/nutritionists as also to other patients (who are not admitted) or their attendants or visitors.

The Department took a stand that senior doctors and consultants are providing services to Shiva Medical Centre and not to the patients. Hence, their services are not the health care services and must be subject to GST. Further, GST is applicable on the retention money kept by Shiva Medical Centre as well as on the services provided by its canteen - Annapurna Bhawan alleging that such services are not the health care services.

You are required to examine whether the stand taken by the Department is correct provided the services provided by Shiva Medical Centre are intra-State services.

8. Shubhlaxmi Foods is engaged in supplying restaurant service in Maharashtra. In the preceding financial year, it has a turnover of ₹ 90 lakh from the restaurant service and ₹ 10 lakh from the supply of farm labour in said State. Further, it has also earned a bank interest of ₹ 10 lakh from the fixed deposits.

Shubhlaxmi Foods wishes to opt for composition scheme in the current year. You are required to advise Shubhlaxmi Foods on the same.

Would your answer be different if Shubhlaxmi Foods is engaged in milling of paddy into rice on job work basis instead of supply of farm labour and the turnover from the said activity is ₹ 9 lakh?

9. Subharti Enterprises collected GST on the goods supplied by it from its customers on the belief that said supply is taxable. However, later it discovered that goods supplied by it are exempt from GST.

The accountant of Subharti Enterprises advised it that the amount mistakenly collected by Subharti Enterprises representing as tax was not required to be deposited with Government. Subharti Enterprises has approached you for seeking the advice on the same. You are required to advise it elaborating the relevant provisions.

10. Answer the following questions:

- (1) Radhaswamy owns and supplies certain goods costing ₹ 30,00,000 in a conveyance hired from Manikaran Transporters. Market value of said goods is ₹ 40,00,000 and tax chargeable thereon is ₹ 4,80,000.

The goods supplied by Radhaswamy and the conveyance [owned by Manikaran Transporters] used for carriage of such goods are confiscated since Radhaswamy has supplied said goods in contravention of the provisions of the CGST Act, 2017 with an intent to evade payment of tax.

However, the proper officer intends to give an option to Radhaswamy and Manikaran Transporters to pay in lieu of confiscation, a fine leviable under section 130 of the CGST, Act, 2017.

Determine the maximum amount of the fine in lieu of confiscation on:

- the goods liable for confiscation.
- the conveyance used for carriage of such goods.

- (2) Raghuraman is a registered supplier in Madhya Pradesh. He failed to pay the GST amounting to ₹ 7,400 for the month of January, 20XX. The proper officer imposed a penalty on Raghuraman for failure to pay tax. Raghuraman believes that it is a minor breach and in accordance with the provisions of section 126 of the CGST Act, 2017, no penalty is imposable for minor breaches of tax regulations. Examine the correctness of Raghuraman's claim.

11. Super Engineering Works, a registered supplier in Haryana, is engaged in supply of taxable goods within the State. Given below are the details of the turnover and applicable GST rates of the final products manufactured by Super Engineering Works as also the input tax credit (ITC) availed on inputs used in manufacture of each of the final products and GST rates applicable on the same, during a tax period:

Products	Turnover* (₹)	Output GST Rates	ITC availed (₹)	Input GST Rates
A	500,000	5%	54,000	18%
B	350,000	5%	54,000	18%
C	100,000	18%	10,000	18%

*excluding GST

Determine the maximum amount of refund of the unutilized input tax credit that Super Engineering Works is eligible to claim under section 54(3)(ii) of the CGST Act, 2017 provided that Product B is notified as a product, in respect of which no refund of unutilised input tax credit shall be allowed under said section.

12. Jai, a registered supplier, runs a general store in Ludhiana, Punjab. Some of the goods sold by him are exempt whereas some are taxable. You are required to advise him on the following issues:
- (i) Whether Jai is required to issue a tax invoices in all cases, even if he is selling the goods to the end consumers?
 - (ii) Jai sells some exempted as well as taxable goods valuing ₹ 5,000 to a school student. Is he mandatorily required to issue two separate GST documents?
 - (iii) Jai wishes to know whether it's necessary to show tax amount separately in the tax invoices issued to the customers. You are required to advise him.
13. Kulbhushan & Sons has entered into a contract to supply two consignments of certain taxable goods. However, since it is unable to determine the value of the goods to be supplied by it, it applies for payment of tax on such goods on a provisional basis along with the required documents in support of its request.

On 12.01.20XX, the Assistant Commissioner of Central Tax issues an order allowing payment of tax on provisional basis indicating the value on the basis of which the assessment is allowed on provisional basis and the amount for which the bond is to be executed and security is to be furnished.

Kulbhushan & Sons complies with the same and supplies both the consignments of goods on 25.01.20XX thereafter paying the tax on provisional basis in respect of both the consignments on 19.02.20XX.

Consequent to the final assessment order passed by the Assistant Commissioner of Central Tax on 21.03.20XX, a tax of ₹ 1,80,000 becomes due on 1st consignment whereas a tax of ₹ 4,20,000 becomes refundable on 2nd consignment.

Kulbhushan & Sons pays the tax due on 1st consignment on 09.04.20XX and applies for the refund of the tax on 2nd consignment same day. Tax was actually refunded to it on 05.06.20XX.

Determine the interest payable and receivable, if any, by Kulbhushan & Sons in the above case.

14. With reference to section 108 of the CGST Act, 2017, elaborate whether a CGST/SGST authority can revise an order passed by his subordinates.
15. Discuss the liability to pay in case of an amalgamation/merger under section 87 of the CGST Act, 2017.
16. Unicalp Textile Industries imported a machine from Eureka Engineering Works Inc., New Jersey, for dyeing the fabric. The price of the machine was settled at US \$ 25,000. The machine was shipped on 10.02.20XX. Meanwhile, Unicalp Textile Industries negotiated for a reduction in the price.

As a result, Eureka Engineering Works Inc. agreed to reduce the price by \$ 4,250 and sent the revised price of \$ 20,750 on 15.02.20XX. The machine arrived in India on 18.02.20XX. The Commissioner of Customs decided to take the original price of \$ 25,000 as the transaction value of the goods on the ground that the price is reduced only after the goods have been shipped.

Do you agree to the stand taken by the Commissioner? Give reasons in support of your answer.

17. Abhimanyu Enterprises, India imported a machine costing US \$ 17,000 from George Corp., US through a vessel. Determine the assessable value of the said machine under the Customs Act, 1962 with the help of the additional information given below:

	US \$
(i) Transport charges from the factory of George Corp. to the port for shipment	850
(ii) Freight charges from US to India	1,700
(iii) Handling charges paid for loading the machine in the ship	85
(iv) Buying commission paid by Abhimanyu Enterprises	85
(v) Exchange rate to be considered: 1\$ = ₹ 60	
(vi) Actual insurance charges paid are not ascertainable	

18. Raghupati Energy Corporation had imported certain goods and got them cleared for home consumption. Subsequently, the Department discovered that import of such goods was prohibited under the Customs Act, 1962. Consequently, the goods were confiscated under section 111 of the Customs Act, 1962 and a penalty was levied under section 112 of the said Act.

Examine the veracity of confiscation of the goods and imposition of penalty by the Department, in the given case, with the help of a decided case law, if any.

19. Explain with reference to the Customs Act, 1962, the conditions to be fulfilled for filing application to Settlement Commission.
20. Explain the relevant dates as provided in section 26A(2) of the Customs Act, 1962 for purpose of refund of customs duty under specified circumstances, namely:
- goods exported out of India
 - relinquishment of title to goods
 - goods destroyed or rendered commercially valueless.

SUGGESTED ANSWERS

1. A. Computation of ITC credited to Electronic Credit Ledger

As per rule 42 of the CGST Rules, 2017, the ITC in respect of inputs or input services being partly used for the purposes of business and partly for other purposes, or partly used for effecting taxable supplies and partly for effecting exempt supplies, shall be attributed to the purposes of business or for effecting taxable supplies.

ITC credited to the electronic credit ledger of registered person ['C₁'] is calculated as under-

$$C_1 = T - (T_1 + T_2 + T_3)$$

Where,

T	=	Total input tax involved on inputs and input services in a tax period.
T₁	=	Input tax attributable to inputs and input services intended to be used exclusively for non-business purposes
T₂	=	Input tax attributable to inputs and input services intended to be used exclusively for effecting exempt supplies
T₃	=	Input tax in respect of inputs and input services on which credit is blocked under section 17(5) of the CGST Act, 2017

Computation of total input tax involved [T]

Particulars	(₹)
GST paid on taxable items [₹ 55,00,000 x 18%]	9,90,000
Items exempted vide a notification [Since exempted, no GST is paid]	Nil
Items not leviable to tax [Since non-taxable, no GST is paid]	Nil
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of taxable items - [₹ 1,00,000 x 5%]	5,000
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of exempted items - [₹ 80,000 x 5%]	4,000
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of non-taxable items - [₹ 20,000 x 5%]	1,000
GST paid on monthly rent - [₹ 5,50,000 x 18%]	99,000
GST paid on packing charges [₹ 2,50,000 x 18%]	45,000
Salary paid to staff at the Store	Nil
[Services by an employee to the employer in the course of or in relation to his employment is not a supply in terms of para 1 of the	

Schedule III to CGST Act, 2017 and hence, no GST is payable thereon].	
GST paid on inputs used for personal purpose	5,000
GST paid on rent a cab services availed for business purpose	4,000
GST paid on items given as free samples	4,000
Total input tax involved in a tax period (October, 20XX) [T]	11,57,000

Computation of T₁, T₂, T₃

Particulars	(₹)
GST paid on monthly rent attributable to personal purposes [1/3 of ₹ 99,000]	33,000
GST paid on inputs used for personal purpose	<u>5,000</u>
Input tax exclusively attributable to non-business purposes [T₁]	<u>38,000</u>
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of exempted items [As per section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017, exempt supply means, <i>inter alia</i> , supply which may be wholly exempt from tax by way of a notification issued under section 11. Hence, input service of inward transportation of exempt items is exclusively used for effecting exempt supplies.]	4,000
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of non-taxable items [Exempt supply includes non-taxable supply in terms of section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017. Hence, input service of inward transportation of non-taxable items is exclusively used for effecting exempt supplies.]	<u>1,000</u>
Input tax exclusively attributable to exempt supplies [T₂]	<u>5,000</u>
GST paid on rent a cab services availed for business purpose [ITC on rent a cab service is blocked under section 17(5)(b)(iii) of the CGST Act, 2017 as the same is not used by All-in-One Store for providing the rent a cab service or as part of a taxable composite or mixed supply. It has been assumed that the Government has not notified such service under section 17(5)(b)(iii)(A) of the CGST Act, 2017].	4,000
GST paid on items given as free samples [ITC on goods <i>inter alia</i> , disposed of by way of free samples is blocked under section 17(5)(h) of the CGST Act, 2017].	<u>4,000</u>
Input tax for which credit is blocked under section 17(5) of the CGST Act, 2017 [T₃] **	8,000

**Since GST paid on inputs used for personal purposes has been considered while computing T_1 , the same has not been considered again in computing T_3 .

ITC credited to the electronic credit ledger

$$C_1 = T - (T_1 + T_2 + T_3)$$

$$= ₹ 11,57,000 - (₹ 38,000 + ₹ 5,000 + ₹ 8,000)$$

$$= ₹ 11,06,000$$

B. Computation of Common Credit

$$C_2 = C_1 - T_4$$

where C_2 = Common Credit

T_4 = Input tax credit attributable to inputs and input services intended to be used exclusively for effecting taxable supplies

Computation of T_4

Particulars	(₹)
GST paid on taxable items	9,90,000
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of taxable items	5,000
Input tax exclusively attributable to taxable supplies [T_4]	<u>9,95,000</u>

$$\text{Common Credit } C_2 = C_1 - T_4$$

$$= ₹ 11,06,000 - ₹ 9,95,000$$

$$= ₹ 1,11,000$$

C. Computation of ITC attributable towards exempt supplies out of common credit

ITC attributable towards exempt supplies is denoted as ' D_1 ' and calculated as -

$$D_1 = (E \div F) \times C_2$$

where,

'E' is the aggregate value of exempt supplies during the tax period, and

'F' is the total turnover in the State of the registered person during the tax period

Aggregate value of exempt supplies during October, 20XX

$$= ₹ 15,00,000 (₹ 12,00,000 + ₹ 3,00,000)$$

Total turnover in the State during the tax period

$$= ₹ 65,00,000 (₹ 42,00,000 + ₹ 12,00,000 + ₹ 3,00,000 + ₹ 8,00,000)$$

Note: Transfer of items to Store located in Goa is inter-State supply in terms of section 7 of the IGST Act, 2017 and hence includible in the total turnover. Such supply is to be valued as per rule 28 of the CGST Rules, 2017. However, the value declared in the invoice cannot be adopted as the value since the recipient Store at Goa is not entitled for full credit. Therefore, open market value of such goods, which is the value of such goods sold in Mumbai Store, is taken as the value of items transferred to Goa Store.

$$D_1 = (15,00,000 \div 65,00,000) \times 1,11,000$$

$$= ₹ 25,615 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

D. Computation of Eligible ITC out of common credit

Eligible ITC attributed for effecting taxable supplies is denoted as 'C₃', where, -

$$C_3 = C_2 - D_1$$

$$= ₹ 1,11,000 - ₹ 25,615$$

$$= ₹ 85,385$$

E. Computation of Net GST liability for the month of October, 20XX

Particulars	GST (₹)
<i>GST liability under forward charge</i>	
Taxable items sold in the store [₹ 42,00,000 x 18%]	7,56,000
Taxable items transferred to Goa Store [₹ 8,00,000 x 18%]	1,44,000
Ineligible ITC [ITC out of common credit, attributable to exempt supplies]	25,615
Total output tax liability under forward charge	9,25,615
Less: ITC credited to the electronic ledger	<u>11,06,000</u>
ITC carried forward to the next month	(1,80,385)
Net GST payable [A]	Nil
<i>GST liability under reverse charge</i>	
Freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of taxable items - [₹ 1,00,000 x 5%]	5,000
Freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of exempted items - [₹ 80,000 x 5%]	4,000
Freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of non-taxable items - [₹ 20,000 x 5%]	<u>1,000</u>

Total output tax liability under reverse charge [B]	10,000
Net GST liability [A] + [B]	10,000
As per section 49(4) of the CGST Act, 2017 amount available in the electronic credit ledger may be used for making payment towards output tax. However, tax payable under reverse charge is not an output tax in terms of section 2(82) of the CGST Act, 2017. Therefore, tax payable under reverse charge cannot be set off against the input tax credit and thus, will have to be paid in cash.	

Note: While computing net GST liability, ITC credited to the electronic ledger can alternatively be computed as follows:

Particulars	(₹)
GST paid on taxable items [₹ 55,00,000 x 18%]	9,90,000
Items exempted vide a notification [Since exempted, no GST is paid]	Nil
Items not leviable to tax [Since non-taxable, no GST is paid]	Nil
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of taxable items - [₹ 1,00,000 x 5%]	5,000
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of exempted items - [₹ 80,000 x 5%]	Nil
[As per section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017, exempt supply means, <i>inter alia</i> , supply which may be wholly exempt from tax by way of a notification issued under section 11. Hence, input service of inward transportation of exempt items is exclusively used for effecting exempt supplies. Input tax exclusively attributable to exempt supplies is to be excluded]	
GST paid under reverse charge on freight paid to GTA for inward transportation of non-taxable items - [₹ 20,000 x 5%]	Nil
[Exempt supply includes non-taxable supply in terms of section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017. Hence, input service of inward transportation of non-taxable items is exclusively used for effecting exempt supplies. Input tax exclusively attributable to exempt supplies is to be excluded]	
GST paid on monthly rent - for business purposes [(₹ 5,50,000 x 18%) - 1/3 of [(₹ 5,50,000 x 18%)]]	66,000
GST paid on packing charges [₹ 2,50,000 x 18%]	45,000
Salary paid to staff at the Store	Nil
[Services by an employee to the employer in the course of or in relation to his employment is not a supply in terms of para 1 of the	

Schedule III to CGST Act, 2017 and hence, no GST is payable thereon]	
GST paid on inputs used for personal purpose [ITC on goods or services or both used for personal consumption is blocked under section 17(5)(g) of the CGST Act, 2017]	Nil
GST paid on rent a cab services availed for business purpose [ITC on rent a cab service is blocked under section 17(5)(b)(iii) of the CGST Act, 2017 as the same is not used by All-in-One Store for providing the rent a cab service or as part of a taxable composite or mixed supply. It has been assumed that the Government has not notified such service under section 17(5)(b)(iii)(A) of the CGST Act, 2017]	Nil
GST paid on items given as free samples [ITC on goods <i>inter alia</i> , disposed of by way of free samples is blocked under section 17(5)(h) of the CGST Act, 2017]	Nil
Total ITC credited to the electronic ledger	11,06,000

2. (i) **Computation of GST payable on amount paid for transportation by XYZ Ltd. when it avails the services of different transporters**

Particulars	Freight [₹]	GST payable [₹]
Transportation of biscuits in a local mini van belonging to an individual [Only the transportation of goods by road by a GTA is liable to GST. Therefore, transportation of goods by road otherwise than by a GTA is exempt from GST – <i>Notification No. 12/2017 CT (R) & 9/2017 IT (R) both dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	54,000	Nil
Transportation of biscuits by Indian Railways	3,17,000	15,850
Transportation of biscuits by GTA [GST is payable by XYZ Ltd. under reverse charge in terms of section 5(3) of the IGST Act, 2017 read with <i>Notification No. 10/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	3,00,000	15,000
Transportation of biscuits by GTA @ 12% [When the GTA pays tax @ 12%, tax is payable by the GTA under forward charge and not by the recipient under reverse charge - <i>Notification No. 10/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	73,000	8,760

Transportation of flour by GTA [Services provided by GTA by way of transport (in a goods carriage) of, <i>inter alia</i> , flour are exempt from GST vide <i>Notification No. 9/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	55,000	Nil
Transportation of butter by GTA [Though services provided by GTA by way of transport (in a goods carriage) of, <i>inter alia</i> , milk is exempt from GST vide <i>Notification No. 9/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017</i> , road transport of butter will not be exempted as butter is milk product and not milk. GST is payable by XYZ Ltd. under reverse charge in terms of section 5(3) of the IGST Act, 2017 read with <i>Notification No. 10/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	35,000	1,750
Transportation of baking powder by GTA [Services provided by a GTA by way of transport in a goods carriage of goods, where consideration charged for the transportation of goods on a consignment transported in a single carriage does not exceed ₹ 1,500, are exempt from GST vide <i>Notification No. 9/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	1,500	Nil
Transportation of biscuits by GTA to sister concern [GST is payable by XYZ Ltd. under reverse charge in terms of section 5(3) of the IGST Act, 2017 read with <i>Notification No. 10/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017.</i>]	40,000	<u>2,000</u>
Total tax payable by XYZ Ltd. on availing services of different transporters		43,360

(ii) **Computation of GST charged on transportation cost billed by XYZ Ltd. to its customers**

Since XYZ Ltd. is supplying biscuits on FOR basis, the service of transportation of biscuits gets bundled with the supply of biscuits. Thus, the supply of biscuits and transportation service is a composite supply, chargeable to tax at the rate applicable to the principal supply (biscuits) i.e., 12% [Section 8(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 read with the definition of 'composite supply' under section 2(30) of the CGST Act, 2017 and 'principal supply' under section 2(90) of the CGST Act, 2017].

Particulars	Freight paid [₹] [A]	GST paid on freight [₹] [B]	Freight billed (with mark-up @ 2% on [A] + [B]) [₹]	GST charged @ 12% [₹]
Transportation of biscuits in a local mini van belonging to an individual	54,000	-	55,080	6,610
Transportation of biscuits by Indian Railways	3,17,000	15,850	3,39,507	40,741
Transportation of biscuits by GTA	3,00,000	15,000	3,21,300	38,556
Transportation of biscuits by GTA @ 12%	73,000	8,760	83,395	10,007
Total tax charged by XYZ Ltd. on transportation cost billed to the customers*				95,914

**Note: It has been assumed that there is no mark-up on transportation cost billed to sister concern (non-customer).*

3. Rule 32(2) of the CGST Rules, 2017 prescribes the provisions for determining the value of supply of services in relation to the purchase or sale of foreign currency, including money changing.

(A) Determination of value under rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017

- (i) Rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that the value of supply of services for a currency, when exchanged from, or to, Indian Rupees, shall be equal to the difference in the buying rate or the selling rate, as the case may be, and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) reference rate for that currency at that time, multiplied by the total units of currency. Thus, value of supply is:
- $$= (\text{RBI reference for US \$} - \text{Buying rate of US \$}) \times \text{Total number of units of US \$ bought}$$
- $$= (\text{₹ } 68.6 - \text{₹ } 68) \times 1,000$$
- $$= \text{₹ } 600$$
- (ii) First proviso to rule 32(2)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 lays down that when the RBI reference rate for a currency is not available, the value shall be 1% of the gross amount of Indian Rupees provided or received by the person changing the money. Thus, value of supply is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1\% \text{ of the gross amount of Indian Rupees received} \\
 &= 1\% \text{ of } (\text{₹ } 67.50 \times 2,000) \\
 &= \text{₹ } 1,350
 \end{aligned}$$

(B) Determination of value under rule 32(2)(b) of the CGST Rules, 2017

Third proviso to rule 32(2)(a) stipulates that a person supplying the services in relation to the purchase or sale of foreign currency, including money changing may exercise the option to ascertain the value in terms of rule 32(2)(b) for a financial year and such option shall not be withdrawn during the remaining part of that financial year.

Rule 32(2)(b) provides that value in relation to the supply of foreign currency, including money changing shall be deemed to be –

S. No.	Currency exchanged	Value of supply
1.	Upto ₹ 1,00,000	1% of the gross amount of currency exchanged OR ₹ 250 whichever is higher
2.	Exceeding ₹ 1,00,000 and upto ₹ 10,00,000	₹ 1,000 + 0.50% of the (gross amount of currency exchanged - ₹ 1,00,000)
3.	Exceeding ₹ 10,00,000	₹ 5,500 + 0.1% of the (gross amount of currency exchanged - ₹ 10,00,000) OR ₹ 60,000 whichever is lower

Thus, the value of supply in the given cases would be computed as under:

- (i) Gross amount of currency exchanged = ₹ 68 × 1,000 = ₹ 68,000. Since the gross amount of currency exchanged is less than ₹ 1,00,000, value of supply is 1% of the gross amount of currency exchanged [1% of ₹ 68,000] or ₹ 250, whichever is higher.
- $$= \text{₹ } 680$$
- (ii) Gross amount of currency exchanged = ₹ 67.50 × 2,000 = ₹ 1,35,000. Since the gross amount of currency exchanged exceeds ₹ 1,00,000, but less than ₹ 10,00,000, value of supply is ₹ 1,000 + 0.50% of (₹ 1,35,000 - ₹ 1,00,000).
- $$= \text{₹ } 1,175$$

4. Computation of GST liability of Jaskaran for the month of January, 20XX

Particulars	CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)	IGST (₹)
Supply of 20,000 packages to Sukhija Gift Shop, Punjab [Note-1]			1,09,526 [6,08,475 × 18%]
Renting of 10 generators to Morarji Banquet Halls, Chandigarh [Note-2]			45,000 [2,50,000 × 18%]
500 packages given as free gift to the customers [Note-3]	Nil	Nil	Nil
Catering services provided free of cost for elder son's business inaugural function in Delhi [Note-3]	5,445 [60,500 × 9%]	5,445 [60,500 × 9%]	
Total GST liability (rounded off)	5,445	5,445	1,54,526

Notes:

- As per section 2(74) of the CGST Act, 2017, mixed supply means two or more individual supplies of goods or services, or any combination thereof, made in conjunction with each other by a taxable person for a single price where such supply does not constitute a composite supply.

Supply of a package containing chocolates, fruit juice bottles and a packet of toy balloons is a mixed supply as each of these items can be supplied separately and is not dependent on any other. Further, as per section 8(b) of the CGST Act, 2017, the mixed supply is treated as a supply of that particular supply which attracts the highest rate of tax. Thus, in the given case, supply of packages is treated as supply of chocolates [since it attracts the highest rate of tax]. Consequently, being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of packages to Sukhija Gift Shop of Punjab is subject to IGST @ 18% each.

Further, value of supply includes interest or late fee or penalty charged for delayed payment of any consideration for any supply in terms of section 15(2)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017. Thus, penalty of ₹ 10,000 [considered as inclusive of GST] collected from Sukhija Gift Shop for the delayed payment will be included in the value of supply. The total value of supply is ₹ 6,08,475 [₹ 6,00,000 + (₹ 10,000 × 100/118)]

- Services by way of transportation of goods by road except the services of a Goods Transportation Agency (GTA) are exempt vide *Notification No. 9/2017 IT (R) dated 28.06.2017*. Since Jaskaran is not a GTA, transportation services provided by him are exempt from GST. However, since the generators are invariably hired out along with their transportation till customer's premises, it is a case of composite supply

under section 2(30) of the CGST Act, 2017 wherein the principal supply is the renting of generator.

As per section 8(a) of the CGST Act, 2017, the composite supply is treated as the supply of the principal supply. Therefore, the service of transportation of generators will also be taxed at the rate applicable for renting of the generator (principal supply).

Consequently, being an inter-State supply of service, service of hiring out the generators to Morarji Banquet Halls of Chandigarh is subject to IGST @ 18% each.

3. As per section 7(1)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017, an activity made without consideration can be treated as supply only when it is specified in Schedule I of the CGST Act, 2017. Para 2. of Schedule I provides that supply of goods or services or both between related persons or between distinct persons as specified in section 25, when made in the course or furtherance of business, are to be treated as supply even if made without consideration.

However, since the question does not provide that customers are related to Jaskaran, free gifts given to the customers cannot be considered as a supply under section 7. Consequently, no tax is leviable on the same.

Further, the catering services provided by Jaskaran to his elder son without consideration will be treated as supply as Jaskaran and his elder son, being members of same family, are related persons in terms of explanation (a)(viii) to section 15 of the CGST Act, 2017 and said services have been provided in course/furtherance of business. Value of supply of services between related persons, other than through an agent is determined as per rule 28 of the CGST Rules, 2017. Accordingly, the value of supply is the open market value of such supply; if open market value is not available, the value of supply of goods or services of like kind and quality. However, if value cannot be determined under said methods, it must be worked out based on the cost of the supply plus 10% mark-up. Thus, in the given case, value of catering services provided to the elder son of Jaskaran is ₹ 60,500 [₹ 55,000 × 110%]. Further, being an intra-State supply of services, catering services are subject to CGST and SGST @ 2.5% each.

5. As per *Notification No. 13/2017 CT(R) dated 28.06.2017*, GST is payable by the recipient on reverse charge basis on the receipt of services of transportation of goods by road from a goods transport agency (GTA) provided such GTA has not paid GST @ 12%. Since in the given case, Jaskaran has received services from a GTA who has paid GST @ 12%, reverse charge provisions will not be applicable.
5. (i) The supply between Parth (Pune) and Bakul (Bareilly) is a **bill to ship to supply** where the goods are delivered by the supplier [Parth] to a recipient [Shreyas (Shimoga)] or any other person on the direction of a third person [Bakul]. The place of supply in case of bill to ship to supply of goods is determined in terms of section 10(1)(b) of IGST Act, 2017.

As per section 10(1)(b) of IGST Act, 2017, where the goods are delivered by the supplier to a recipient or any other person on the direction of a third person, whether acting as an agent or otherwise, before or during movement of goods, either by way of transfer of documents of title to the goods or otherwise, it shall be deemed that the said third person has received the goods and the place of supply of such goods shall be the principal place of business of such person.

Thus, in the given case, it is deemed that the Bakul has received the goods and the place of supply of such goods is the principal place of business of Bakul. Accordingly, the place of supply between Parth (Pune) and Bakul (Bareilly) will be Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh.

This situation involves another supply between Bakul (Bareilly) and Shreyas (Shimoga). The place of supply in this case will be determined in terms of section 10(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017.

Section 10(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where the supply involves movement of goods, whether by the supplier or the recipient or by any other person, the place of supply of such goods shall be the location of the goods at the time at which the movement of goods terminates for delivery to the recipient.

Thus, the place of supply in second case is the location of the goods at the time when the movement of goods terminates for delivery to the recipient (Shreyas) i.e., Shimoga, Karnataka.

- (ii) Section 12(7)(a)(i) of IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that when service by way of organization of an event is provided to a registered person, place of supply is the location of recipient.

Since, in the given case, the product launch party at Bangalore is organized for Damani Industries (registered in Mumbai), place of supply is the location of Damani Industries i.e., Mumbai.

In case the product launch party is organised at Dubai, the answer will remain the same, i.e. the place of supply is the location of Damani Industries – Mumbai.

6.

Particulars	₹	Ineligible credit (₹)	Amount to be credited to ECrL (₹)
Machine 'A' [Since exclusively used for non-business purposes, ITC is not available under rule 43(1)(a) of CGST Rules, 2017]		19,200	
Machine 'B' [For ITC purposes, taxable supplies include zero-rated supplies under rule 43(1)(b) of CGST Rules, 2017. Hence, full ITC is available]			38,400
Machine 'C' [Commonly used for taxable and exempt supplies – Rule 43(1)(c) of the CGST Rules, 2017]	96,000		96,000
Machine 'D' [Owing to change in use from exclusively exempt to both taxable and exempt, common credit to be reduced by ITC @ 5% per quarter or part thereof in terms of proviso to rule 43(1)(c) of CGST Rules, 2017] = ₹ 1,92,000 – ₹ 76,800 (₹ 1,92,000 × 5% × 8 quarters)	1,15,200		<u>1,15,200</u>
Machine 'E' [Owing to change in use from exclusively taxable to both taxable and exempt, common credit to be reduced by ITC @ 5% per quarter or part thereof in terms of proviso to rule 43(1)(d) of CGST Rules, 2017] = ₹ 2,88,000 – ₹ 1,72,800 (₹ 2,88,000 × 5% × 12 quarters)	<u>1,15,200</u>		
Total common credit	3,26,400		
Common credit for the tax period (in the given case, a month) under rule 43(1)(e) of CGST Rules, 2017 = ₹ 3,26,400 ÷ 60	5,440		
Common credit attributable to exempt supplies in April, 20XX under rule 43(1)(g) of the CGST Rules, 2017			

= (Turnover of exempt supplies/Total turnover) × Common credit		2,720	
= (12,00,000/24,00,000) × ₹ 5,440			
[Such credit, along with the applicable interest, shall be added to the output tax liability of Oberoi Industries]			
Amount to be credited to the electronic credit ledger of Oberoi Industries for the month of April, 20XX			2,49,600

7. As per *Notification No. 12/2017 CT (R) dated 28.06.2017*, services by way of health care services by a clinical establishment, an authorised medical practitioner or para-medics are exempt from GST.

Health care services have been defined to mean any service by way of diagnosis or treatment or care for illness, injury, deformity, abnormality or pregnancy in any recognised system of medicines in India and includes services by way of transportation of the patient to and from a clinical establishment, but does not include hair transplant or cosmetic or plastic surgery, except when undertaken to restore or to reconstruct anatomy or functions of body affected due to congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, injury or trauma.

Circular No. 32/06/2018 GST dated 12.02.2018 has clarified that in view of the above definition, it can be inferred that hospitals also provide healthcare services. The entire amount charged by them from the patients including the retention money and the fee/payments made to the doctors etc., is towards the healthcare services provided by the hospitals to the patients and is exempt from GST. In view of the same, GST is not applicable on the retention money kept by Shiva Medical Centre.

The circular also clarified that services provided by senior doctors/ consultants/ technicians hired by the hospitals, whether employees or not, are also healthcare services exempt from GST. Hence, services provided by the senior doctors and consultants hired by Shiva Medical Centre, being healthcare services, are also exempt from GST.

The circular further explained that food supplied by the hospital canteen to the in-patients as advised by the doctor/nutritionists is a part of composite supply of healthcare services and is not separately taxable. Thus, it is exempt from GST. However, other supplies of food by a hospital to patients (not admitted) or their attendants or visitors are taxable. In view of the same, GST is not applicable on the food supplied by Annapurna Bhawan to in-patients as advised by doctors/nutritionists while other supplies of food by it to patients (not admitted) or their attendants/visitors are taxable.

8. As per section 10(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, a registered person, whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year did not exceed ₹ 1 crore, may opt to pay, in lieu of the tax payable by him, an amount calculated at the specified rates if, *inter alia*, he is not engaged in the supply of services other than restaurant services.

However, the restriction on service provider not to be engaged in any service other than restaurant service for being eligible for composition levy has been relaxed vide *Order No. 01/2017 CT dated 13.10.2017*. The said order clarifies that:

- (i) if a person supplies goods and/or services referred to in clause (b) of paragraph 6 of Schedule II of the said Act (restaurant service) and also supplies any exempt services including services by way of extending deposits, loans or advances in so far as the consideration is represented by way of interest or discount, said person shall not be ineligible for the composition scheme under section 10 of the CGST Act, 2017 subject to the fulfilment of all other conditions specified therein.
- (ii) in computing his aggregate turnover in order to determine his eligibility for composition scheme, value of supply of any exempt services including services by way of extending deposits, loans or advances in so far as the consideration is represented by way of interest or discount, shall not be taken into account.

In the given case, the two other services provided by Shubhlaxmi Foods apart from the restaurant service, viz. the services of supply of farm labour and services by way of extending deposits where the consideration is represented by way of interest, are exempt from GST vide *Notification No. 12/2017 CT (R) dated 28.06.2017*.

Thus, in view of the aforementioned order, since other services supplied by Shubhlaxmi Foods apart from restaurant service are exempt services, Shubhlaxmi Foods is not ineligible for the composition scheme.

Further, in computing his aggregate turnover in order to determine the eligibility of Shubhlaxmi Foods for composition scheme, value of supply of exempt services - supply of farm labour and bank interest shall not be taken into account. Thus, the aggregate turnover of Shubhlaxmi Foods is ₹ 90 lakh (turnover from restaurant services).

From the aforesaid discussion, it can be inferred that Shubhlaxmi Foods is eligible for composition scheme.

However, if Shubhlaxmi Foods is engaged in milling of paddy into rice instead of supply of farm labour, it will not be eligible for composition levy since as per *Order No. 01/2017 CT*, a person supplying restaurant services is eligible for composition levy only when other services provided by it are exempt services and milling of paddy into rice on job work basis is not an exempt service as clarified by *Circular No. 19/19/2017 GST dated 20.11.2017*.

9. The provisions of section 76 of the CGST Act, 2017 make it mandatory on Subharti Enterprises to pay amount collected from other person representing tax under this Act, to the Government.

Section 76 of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in any order or direction of any Appellate Authority or Appellate Tribunal or Court or in any other provisions of the CGST Act or the rules made thereunder or any other law for the time being in force, every person who has collected from any other person any amount as representing the tax under this Act, and has not paid the said amount to the Government, shall forthwith pay the said amount to the Government, irrespective of whether the supplies in respect of which such amount was collected are taxable or not.

Where any amount is required to be paid to the Government as mentioned above, and which has not been so paid, the proper officer may serve on the person liable to pay such amount a notice requiring him to show cause as to why the said amount as specified in the notice, should not be paid by him to the Government and why a penalty equivalent to the amount specified in the notice should not be imposed on him under the provisions of this Act.

The proper officer shall, after considering the representation, if any, made by the person on whom show cause notice (SCN) is served, determine the amount due from such person and thereupon such person shall pay the amount so determined.

The person who has collected any amount as representing the tax, but not deposited the same with the Government shall in addition to paying the said amount determined by the proper officer shall also be liable to pay interest thereon. Interest is payable at the rate specified under section 50. Interest is payable from the date such amount was collected by him to the date such amount is paid by him to the Government.

The proper officer shall issue an order within 1 year [excluding the period of stay order] from the date of issue of the notice. The proper officer, in his order, shall set out the relevant facts and the basis of his decision.

10. (1) (a) In case of goods liable for confiscation, the maximum amount of fine leviable in lieu of confiscation in terms of first proviso to section 130(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 is the market value of the goods confiscated, less the tax chargeable thereon.

Therefore, in the given case, maximum fine leviable:

$$= ₹ 40,00,000 - ₹ 4,80,000 = ₹ 35,20,000$$

- (b) In case where conveyance used for carriage of such goods is liable for confiscation, the maximum amount of fine leviable in lieu of confiscation in terms of third proviso to section 130(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 is equal to tax payable on the goods being transported thereon.

Therefore, in the given case, maximum fine leviable = ₹ 4,80,000

- (2) No, Raghuraman's claim is not tenable in law. Section 126(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that no officer shall impose any penalty under CGST Act, 2017,

inter alia, for minor breaches of tax regulations or procedural requirements. Further, explanation to section 126(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a breach shall be considered a 'minor breach' if the amount of tax involved is less than ₹ 5,000.

In the given case, breach made by Raghuraman is not a 'minor breach' since the amount involved is not less than ₹ 5,000. So, penalty is imposable under the CGST Act, 2017.

11. Section 54(3)(ii) of the CGST Act, 2017 allows refund of unutilized input tax credit (ITC) at the end of any tax period to a registered person where the credit has accumulated on account of inverted duty structure i.e. rate of tax on inputs being higher than the rate of tax on output supplies (other than nil rated or fully exempt supplies), except supplies of goods or services or both as may be notified by the Government on the recommendations of the Council.

In the given case, the rates of tax on inputs used in Products A and B (18% each) are higher than rates of tax on output supplies of Products A and B (5% each). However, Product B is notified as a product, in respect of which no refund of unutilised ITC shall be allowed under section 54(3)(ii) of the CGST Act, 2017. Therefore, only Product A is eligible for refund under section 54(3)(ii).

Further, rule 89(5) of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that in the case of refund on account of inverted duty structure, refund of ITC shall be granted as per the following formula -

$$\text{Maximum Refund Amount} = \frac{\text{Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services} \times \text{Net ITC}}{\text{Adjusted Total Turnover}} - \text{Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services}$$

where,-

- A. **"Net ITC"** means input tax credit availed on inputs during the relevant period;
- B. **"Adjusted Total turnover"** means the turnover in a State or a Union territory, excluding the value of exempt supplies other than zero-rated supplies, during the relevant period.

In accordance with the aforesaid provisions, the maximum refund amount which Super Engineering Works is eligible to claim shall be computed as follows:

Tax payable on inverted rated supply of Product A = ₹ 5,00,000 × 5% = ₹ 25,000

Net ITC = ₹ 1,18,000 (₹ 54,000 + ₹ 54,000 + ₹ 10,000) [Net ITC availed during the relevant period needs to be considered irrespective of whether the ITC pertains to inputs eligible for refund of inverted rated supply of goods or not]

Adjusted Total Turnover = ₹ 9,50,000 (₹ 5,00,000 + ₹ 3,50,000 + ₹ 1,00,000)

Turnover of inverted rated supply of Product A = ₹ 5,00,000

Maximum refund amount for Super Engineering Works is as follows:

$$= [(\text{₹ } 5,00,000 \times \text{₹ } 1,18,000) / \text{₹ } 9,50,000] - \text{₹ } 25,000$$

$$= \text{₹ } 37,105 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

12. (i) No, he is not required to issue tax invoice in all cases. As per section 31(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, every registered person supplying taxable goods is required to issue a 'tax invoice'. Section 31(3)(c) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that every registered person supplying exempted goods is required to issue a bill of supply instead of tax invoice.

Further, rule 46A of the CGST Rules, 2017 provides that a registered person supplying taxable as well as exempted goods or services or both to an un-registered person may issue a single 'invoice-cum-bill of supply' for all such supplies.

However, as per section 31(3)(b) of the CGST Act, 2017 read with rule 46 of the CGST Rules, 2017, a registered person may not issue a tax invoice if:

- (i) value of the goods supplied < ₹ 200,
- (ii) the recipient is unregistered; and
- (iii) the recipient does not require such invoice.

Instead, such registered person shall issue a Consolidated Tax Invoice for such supplies at the close of each day in respect of all such supplies.

- (ii) As per rule 46A of the CGST Rules, 2017, where a registered person is supplying taxable as well as exempted goods or services or both to an unregistered person, a single **"invoice-cum-bill of supply"** may be issued for all such supplies. Thus, there is no need to issue a tax invoice and a bill of supply separately to the school student in respect of supply of the taxable and exempted goods respectively.
- (iii) As per section 33 of the CGST Act, 2017 read with rule 46(m) of the CGST Rules, 2017, where any supply is made for a consideration, every person who is liable to pay tax for such supply shall prominently indicate in all documents relating to assessment, tax invoice and other like documents, the amount of tax which shall form part of the price at which such supply is made. Hence, Jai has to show the tax amount separately in the tax invoices issued to customers.
13. Section 60(4) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where the tax liability as per the final assessment is higher than under provisional assessment i.e. tax becomes due consequent to order of final assessment, the registered person shall be liable to pay interest on tax payable on supply of goods but not paid on the due date, at the rate specified under section 50(1) [18% p.a.], from the first day after the due date of payment of tax in respect of the goods supplied under provisional assessment till the date of actual payment, whether such amount is paid before/after the issuance of order for final assessment.

In the given case, due date for payment of tax on goods cleared on 25.01.20XX under provisional assessment is 20.02.20XX.

In view of the provisions of section 60(4), in the given case, Kulbhushan & Sons is liable to pay following interest in respect of 1st consignment:

$$= ₹ 1,80,000 \times 18\% \times 48/365$$

$$= ₹ 4,261 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

Further, section 60(5) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where the tax liability as per the final assessment is less than in provisional assessment i.e. tax becomes refundable consequent to the order of final assessment, the registered person shall be paid interest at the rate specified under section 56 [6% p.a.] from the date immediately after the expiry of 60 days from the date of receipt of application under section 54(1) till the date of refund of such tax.

However, since in the given case, refund has been made (05.06.20XX) within 60 days from the date of receipt of application of refund (09.04.20XX), interest is not payable to Kulbhushan & Sons on tax refunded in respect of 2nd consignment.

14. Section 2(99) of the CGST Act, 2017 defines “Revisional Authority” as an authority appointed or authorised under the CGST Act for revision of decision or orders referred to in section 108 of the CGST Act, 2017.

Section 108 of the CGST Act, 2017 authorizes such “Revisional Authority” to call for and examine any order passed by his subordinates and in case he considers the order of the lower authority to be erroneous in so far as it is prejudicial to revenue and is illegal or improper or has not taken into account certain material facts, whether available at the time of issuance of the said order or not or in consequence of an observation by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, he may, if necessary, can revise the order after giving opportunity of being heard to the noticee. The “revisional authority” can also stay the operation of any order passed by his subordinates pending such revision.

The “revisional authority” shall not revise any order if-

- (a) the order has been subject to an appeal under section 107 or under section 112 or under section 117 or under section 118; or
 - (b) the period specified under section 107(2) has not yet expired or more than three years have expired after the passing of the decision or order sought to be revised.
 - (c) the order has already been taken up for revision under this section at any earlier stage.
 - (d) the order is a revisional order.
15. Section 87 of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that when two or more companies are amalgamated or merged in pursuance of an order of court or of Tribunal or otherwise and the order is to take effect from a date earlier to the date of the order and any two or more

of such companies have supplied or received any goods or services or both to or from each other during the period commencing on the date from which the order takes effect till the date of the order, then such transactions of supply and receipt shall be included in the turnover of supply or receipt of the respective companies and they shall be liable to pay tax accordingly.

Notwithstanding anything contained in the said order, for the purposes of the CGST Act, 2017, the said two or more companies shall be treated as distinct companies for the period up to the date of the said order. The registration certificates of the said companies shall be cancelled with effect from the date of the said order.

16. No, the stand taken by the Commissioner is not correct in law.

As per section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962, the transaction value of the goods is the price actually paid or payable for the goods at the time and place of importation. Further, the Supreme Court in the case *Garden Silk Mills Ltd. v. UOI 1993 (113) E.L.T. 358 (SC)* has held that importation gets complete only when the goods become part of mass of goods within the country.

Therefore, since in the instant case, the price of the goods was reduced while they were in transit, it could not be contended that the price was revised after importation took place. Hence, the goods should be valued as per the reduced price, which was the price actually paid at the time of importation.

17. **Computation of assessable value of the imported machine**

Particulars	US \$
Cost of the machine at the factory	17,000.00
Add: Transport charges up to port	850.00
Add: Handling charges at the port	<u>85.00</u>
FOB	17,935.00
Add: Freight charges up to India	1,700.00
Add: Insurance charges @ 1.125% of FOB [Note 1]	<u>201.77</u>
CIF	19,836.77
CIF in Indian rupees @ ₹ 60/ per \$	₹ 11,90,206.13
Assessable Value (rounded off)	₹11,90,206

Notes:

- (1) Insurance charges have been included @ 1.125% of FOB value of the machine [Third proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].
- (2) Buying commission is not included in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(a)(i) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007].

18. The facts of the case are similar to the case of *Bussa Overseas & Properties P. Ltd. v C.L. Mahar, Asstt. C.C., Bombay 2004 (163) E.L.T. 304 (Bom.)* [maintained by the Supreme Court] wherein the Bombay High Court observed that once goods are cleared for home consumption, they cease to be imported goods as defined in section 2(25) of the Customs Act, 1962. The goods lose its character of imported goods on being granted clearance for home consumption and thereafter the power to confiscate can be exercised only in cases where the order of clearance is revised and cancelled.

Therefore, in the given case the **confiscation of the goods by the Department is illegal.**

The Bombay High Court further observed that section 112(a) of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that any person who in relation to any goods, does or omits to do any act which act or omission would render such goods liable to confiscation under section 111, or abets the doing or omission of such act, is liable to a penalty.

The High Court held that the power to impose penalty can be exercised not only when the goods are available for confiscation but when such goods are liable to confiscation. The expression 'liable to confiscation' clearly indicates that the power to impose penalty can be exercised even if the goods are not available for confiscation. Mere fact that the importers secured such clearance and disposed of the goods and thereafter goods are not available for confiscation cannot divest Customs Authorities of the powers to levy penalty under section 112 of the Act.

Thus, **penalty levied by the Department in the given case is tenable in law.**

19. According to section 127B of the Customs Act 1962, the following conditions are to be fulfilled for filing an application for settlement of cases:
- (i) the applicant has filed a bill of entry, or a shipping bill, or a bill of export, or made a baggage declaration, or a label or declaration accompanying the goods imported or exported through post or courier, as the case may be, and in relation to such document or documents, a show cause notice has been issued to him by the proper officer.
 - (ii) the additional duty accepted is more than ₹ 3 lakhs.
 - (iii) the applicant has paid the additional amount of customs duty accepted by him alongwith interest due under section 28AA.
 - (iv) the case is not pending with Tribunal or any Court.
 - (v) the application does not relate to goods to which section 123 applies or to goods in relation to which any offence under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 has been committed.
 - (vi) the application is not for the interpretation of the classification of the goods under the Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

Further, application before Settlement Commission can be made only when adjudication is pending.

20. The relevant dates provided under Explanation to section 26A(2) of the Customs Act, 1962 for purpose of refund of duty under specified circumstances are as follows:-

	Case	Relevant date
(i)	Goods exported out of India	Date on which the proper officer makes an order permitting clearance and loading of goods for exportation
(ii)	Relinquishment of title to the goods	Date of such relinquishment
(iii)	Goods being destroyed or rendered commercially valueless	Date of such destruction or rendering of goods commercially valueless

Note: GST law has been subject to frequent changes since its inception. Although many clarifications have been issued by way of FAQs or otherwise, many issues continue to arise on account of varying interpretations on several of its provisions. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions depending upon the view taken.

PAPER – 8 : INDIRECT TAX LAWS

QUESTIONS

- | |
|--|
| <p>(1) All questions should be answered on the basis of the position of GST law as amended up to 31.10.2017 and customs law as amended by the Finance Act, 2017 and notifications and circulars issued till 31.10.2017.</p> <p>(2) The GST rates for goods and services mentioned in various questions are hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates leviable on those goods and services. The rates of customs duty are also hypothetical and may not necessarily be the actual rates. Further, GST compensation cess should be ignored in all the questions, wherever applicable.</p> |
|--|

1. Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd., a registered supplier, is engaged in providing expert maintenance and repair services for large power plants that are in the nature of immovable property, situated all over India. The company has its Head Office at Bangalore, Karnataka and branch offices in other States. The work is done in the following manner.
- The company has self-contained mobile workshops, which are container trucks fitted out for carrying out the repairs. The trucks are equipped with items like repair equipments, consumables, tools, parts etc. to handle a wide variety of repair work.
 - The truck is sent to the client location for carrying out the repair work. Depending upon the repairs to be done, the equipment, consumables, tools, parts etc. are used from the stock of such items carried in the truck.
 - In some cases, a stand-alone machine is also sent to the client's premises in such truck for carrying out the repair work.
 - The customer is billed after the completion of the repair work depending upon the nature of the work and the actual quantity of consumables, parts etc. used in the repair work.
 - Sometimes the truck is sent to the company's own location in other State(s) from where it is further sent to client locations for repairs.

Work out the GST liability [CGST & SGST or IGST, as the case may be] of Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore on the basis of the facts as described, read with the following data for the month of November 20XX.

S. No.	Particulars	₹
A.	Truck sent to own location in Tamil Nadu	
	(i) Value of items contained in the truck - ₹ 3,00,000	
	(ii) Value of truck - ₹ 25,00,000	

B.	Truck sent to a client location in Tamil Nadu for carrying out repairs. Stand- alone machine is also sent in the truck to client location for repairs (i) Value of items contained in the truck – ₹ 2,85,000 (ii) Value of stand-alone machine - ₹ 4,00,000 (iii) Value of truck - ₹ 20,00,000 (Billing for repairs to be done afterwards depending upon the actual items used)	
C.	Truck sent to a client location in Karnataka for carrying out repairs (i) Value of items contained in the truck - ₹ 1,06,000 (ii) Value of truck - ₹ 20,00,000 (Billing for repairs to be done afterwards depending upon the actual items used)	
D.	Invoices raised for repair work carried out in Tamil Nadu [including the invoice for repair work done in 'B'] -	70,00,000
E.	Invoices raised for repair work carried out in Karnataka [including the invoice for repair work done in 'C']	12,00,000

Also, specify the document(s), if any, which need to be issued by Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore for the above transactions.

All the given amounts are exclusive of GST, wherever applicable. Assume the rates of taxes to be as under:

Items used for repairs		
CGST – 6%	SGST – 6%	IGST – 12%
Container truck, Stand-alone machines		
CGST – 2.5%	SGST – 2.5%	IGST – 5%
Works contract for repairs and maintenance of immovable property		
CGST – 9%	SGST – 9%	IGST – 18%

You are required to make suitable assumptions, wherever necessary.

2. ABC Ltd., Noida (Uttar Pradesh) is a supplier of machinery used for making bottle caps. The supply of machinery is effected as under:
 - The wholesale price of the machinery (excluding all taxes and other expenses) at which it is supplied in the ordinary course of the business to various customers is ₹ 42,00,000. However, the actual price at which the machinery is supplied to an

individual customer varies within a range of $\pm 10\%$ depending upon the terms of contract of supply with the particular customer.

- Apart from the price of the machinery, ABC Ltd. charges from the customer the following incidental expenses:

- associated handling and loading charges of ₹ 10,000
- installation and commissioning charges of ₹ 1,00,000

The machinery can be dismantled and erected at another site, if required. The above charges are compulsorily levied in every case of supply of machinery.

- Transportation of machinery to the customer's premises is arranged by ABC Ltd. through a third-party service provider [Goods Transport Agency (GTA)]. The customer enters into a separate service contract with the GTA and pays the freight directly to it.
- The company provides one year free warranty for the machinery. Further, the company also provides an extended two-year warranty on payment of additional charge of ₹ 3,00,000, to all its customers.
- A cash discount of 2% on the price of the machinery is offered at the time of supply, if the customer agrees to make the payment within 15 days of the receipt of the machinery at his premises. In the event of failure to make the payment within the stipulated time, the company-
 - recovers the discount given; and
 - charges interest @ 1% per month or part of the month on the total amount due from the customer (towards the machinery supplied) from the date of making the supply till the date of payment. However, no interest is charged on the tax dues.
- For every machinery supplied, ABC Ltd. receives a grant of ₹ 2,00,000 from its holding company DEF Ltd.

ABC Ltd. has supplied a machinery to D Pvt. Ltd. on August 1, 20XX at a price of ₹ 40,00,000 (excluding all taxes). D Pvt. Ltd has its corporate office in New Delhi. However, the machinery has been installed at its manufacturing unit located in Gurugram (Haryana). D Pvt. Ltd. has paid the freight directly to the GTA and the charges for two-year extended warranty. Discount @ 2% was given to D Pvt. Ltd. as it agreed to make the payment within 15 days. However, D Pvt. Ltd. paid the consideration on 31st October, 20XX.

Assume the rates of taxes to be as under:

Bottle cap making machine		
CGST – 6%	SGST – 6%	IGST – 12%

Service of transportation of goods		
CGST – 2.5%	SGST – 2.5%	IGST – 5%
Other services involved in the above supply		
CGST – 9%	SGST – 9%	IGST – 18%

Calculate the GST payable [CGST & SGST or IGST, as the case may be] on the machinery and support your conclusions with legal provisions in the form of explanatory notes.

Make suitable assumptions, wherever needed.

3. M/s XYZ, a registered supplier, supplies the following goods and services for construction of buildings and complexes -
- excavators for required period at a per hour rate
 - manpower for operation of the excavators at a per day rate
 - soil-testing and seismic evaluation at a per sample rate.

The excavators are invariably hired out along with operators. Similarly, excavator operators are supplied only when the excavator is hired out.

M/s XYZ receives the following services:

- Annual maintenance services for excavators;
- Health insurance for operators of the excavators;
- Scientific and technical consultancy for soil testing and seismic evaluation.

For a given month, the receipts (exclusive of GST) of M/s XYZ are as follows:

- Hire charges for excavators - ₹ 18,00,000
- Service charges for supply of manpower for operation of the excavator - ₹ 20,000
- Service charges for soil testing and seismic evaluation at three sites - ₹ 2,50,000

The GST paid during the said month on services received by M/s XYZ is as follows:

- Annual maintenance for excavators - ₹ 1,00,000
- Health insurance for excavator operators - ₹ 11,000
- Scientific and technical consultancy for soil testing and seismic evaluation - ₹ 1,00,000

Compute the net GST payable by M/s XYZ for the given month.

Assume the rates of GST to be as under:

Hiring out of excavators – 12%

Supply of manpower services and soil-testing and seismic evaluation services – 18%

Note: - Opening balance of input tax credit of GST is nil.

4. Bansal and Chandiok is a partnership firm of Chartered Accountants in Jaipur (Rajasthan). The firm specialises in bank audits providing services to banks across India. It has an annual turnover of ₹ 110 lakh in the preceding financial year.

With reference to the provisions of the CGST Act, 2017, examine whether the firm can opt for the composition scheme. Will your answer change, if-

- (a) the turnover of the firm is ₹ 90 lakhs?
 - (b) Bansal and Chandiok is not a partnership firm of Chartered Accountants but a partnership firm providing support services to restaurants like booking tables, advertisement etc.?
5. (i) Mr. Z, a supplier registered in Hyderabad (Telangana), procures goods from China and directly supplies the same to a customer in US. With reference to the provisions of GST law, examine whether the supply of goods by Mr. Z to customer in US is an inter-State supply?
- (ii) RST Inc., a corn chips manufacturing company based in USA, intends to launch its products in India. However, the company wishes to know the taste and sensibilities of Indians before launching its products in India. For this purpose, RST Inc. has approached ABC Consultants, Mumbai, (Maharashtra) to carry out a survey in India to enable it to make changes, if any, in its products to suit Indian taste.

The survey is to be solely based on the oral replies of the surveyees; they will not be provided any sample by RST Inc. to taste. ABC Consultants will be paid in convertible foreign exchange for the assignment.

With reference to the provisions of GST law, determine the place of supply of the service. Also, explain whether the said supply will amount to export of service?

6. Krishna Motors is a car dealer selling cars of an international car company. It also provides maintenance and repair services of the cars sold by it as also of other cars. It seeks your advice on availability of input tax credit in respect of the following expenses incurred by it during the course of its business operations:
- (i) Cars purchased from the manufacturer for making further supply of such cars. Two of such cars are destroyed in accidents while being used for test drive by potential customers.
 - (ii) Works contract services availed for constructing a car shed in its premises

7. ABC Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, provides support services to foreign customers in relation to procuring goods from India. The company identifies the prospective vendor, reviews product quality and pricing and then shares the vendor details with the foreign customer.

The foreign customer then directly places purchase order on the Indian vendor for purchase of the specified goods. ABC Pvt. Ltd. charges its foreign customer cost plus 10% mark up for services provided by it.

For the month of December, 20XX, the company has charged US \$ 1,00,000 (exclusive of GST) to its foreign customer. With reference to the provisions of GST law, examine whether the company is liable to pay IGST or CGST and SGST.

Note: GST @ 18% is applicable on supply of the support services provided by ABC Pvt. Ltd. Rate of exchange is ₹ 65 per US \$.

8. SNP Pvt. Ltd., Coimbatore exclusively manufactures and sells product 'Z' which is exempt from GST vide notifications issued under relevant GST legislations. The company sells 'Z' only within Tamil Nadu. The turnover of the company in the previous year was ₹ 55 lakh. The company expects the sales to grow by 20% in the current year. Owing to the growing demand for the product, the company decided to increase its production capacity and purchased additional machinery for manufacturing 'Z' on 01.07.20XX. The purchase price of the capital goods was ₹ 20 lakh exclusive of GST @ 18%.

However, effective from 01.11.20XX, exemption available on 'Z' was withdrawn by the Central Government and GST @ 12% was imposed thereon. The turnover of the company for the half year ended on 30.09.20XX was ₹ 40 lakh.

- (a) The Board of Directors of SNP Pvt. Ltd. wants to know whether they have to register under GST?
- (b) In case in the above question, SNP Pvt. Ltd. is already registered with respect to certain taxable supplies being made by it along with manufacture of exempt product 'Z', other facts remaining the same, can it take input tax credit on additional machinery purchased exclusively for manufacturing 'Z'? If yes, then how much credit can be availed?

Advice SNP Pvt. Ltd. on the above issues with reference to the provisions of GST law.

9. Rishabh Enterprises – a sole proprietorship firm – started an air-conditioned restaurant in Virar, Maharashtra in the month of February wherein the customers are served cooked food as well as cold drinks/non-alcoholic beverages. In March, the firm opened a liquor shop in Raipur, Uttarakhand for trading of alcoholic liquor for human consumption.

Determine whether Rishabh Enterprises is liable to be registered under GST law with the help of the following information:

Particulars	February	March
	(₹)*	(₹)*
Serving of cooked food and cold drinks/non-alcoholic beverages in restaurant in Maharashtra	5,50,000	6,50,000
Sale of alcoholic liquor for human consumption in Uttarakhand		5,00,000
Interest received from banks on the fixed deposits	1,00,000	1,00,000
Supply of packed food items from restaurant in Maharashtra	1,50,000	2,00,000

* excluding GST

You are required to provide reasons for treatment of various items given above.

10. Answer the following questions:

- (i) Shagun started supply of goods in Vasai, Maharashtra from 01.01.20XX. Her turnover exceeded ₹ 20 lakh on 25.01.20XX. However, she didn't apply for registration. Determine the amount of penalty, if any, that may be imposed on Shagun on 31.03.20XX, if the tax evaded by her, as on said date, on account of failure to obtain registration is ₹ 1,26,000.
- (ii) Sagar, managing director of Telecom Solutions Ltd., is issued a summon to appear before the central tax officer to produce the books of accounts of Telecom Solutions Ltd. in an inquiry conducted on said company. Determine the amount of penalty, if any, that may be imposed on Sagar, if he fails to appear before the central tax officer.

11. Rajul has been issued a show cause notice (SCN) on 31.12.2021 under section 73(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 on account of short payment of tax during the period between 01.07.2017 and 31.12.2017. He has been given an opportunity of personal hearing on 15.01.2022.

Advice Rajul as to what should be the written submissions in the reply to the show cause notice issued to him.

12. Mr. A had filed an appeal before the Appellate Tribunal against an order of the Appellate Authority where the issue involved related to place of supply. The order of Appellate Tribunal is also in favour of the Department. Mr. A now wants to file an appeal against the decision of the Appellate Authority as he feels the stand taken by him is correct.

You are required to advise him suitably with regard to filing of an appeal before the appellate forum higher than the Appellate Tribunal.

13. Elaborate the duties of Anti-profiteering Authority.

14. With reference to section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017, mention the cases where refund of unutilised input tax credit is allowed.

15. Ranjan intends to start selling certain goods in Delhi. However, he is not able to determine (i) the classification of the goods proposed to be supplied by him [as the classification of said goods has been contentious] and (ii) the place of supply if he supplies said goods from Delhi to buyers in U.S.

Ranjan's tax advisor has advised him to apply for the advance ruling in respect of these issues. He told Ranjan that the advance ruling would bring him certainty and transparency in respect of the said issues and would avoid litigation later. Ranjan agreed with his view, but has some apprehensions.

In view of the information given above, you are required to advise Ranjan with respect to following:

- (i) The tax advisor asks Ranjan to get registered under GST law before applying for the advance ruling as only a registered person can apply for the same. Whether Ranjan needs to get registered?
- (ii) Can Ranjan seek advance ruling to determine (a) the classification of the goods proposed to be supplied by him and (b) the place of supply, if he supplies said goods from Delhi to buyers in U.S?
- (iii) Ranjan is apprehensive that if at all advance ruling is permitted to be sought, he has to seek it every year. Whether Ranjan's apprehension is correct?
- (iv) The tax advisor is of the view that the order of Authority for Advance Ruling (AAR) is final and is not appealable. Whether the tax advisor's view is correct?
- (v) Sambhav - Ranjan's friend - is a supplier registered in Delhi. He is engaged in supply of the goods, which Ranjan proposes to supply at the same commercial level that Ranjan proposes to adopt.

He intends to apply the classification of the goods as decided in the advance ruling order to be obtained by Ranjan, to the goods supplied by him in Delhi. Whether Sambhav can do so?

16. Product 'Z' was imported by Mr. X by air. The details of the import transaction are as follows:

Particulars	US \$
Price of 'Z' at exporter's factory	8,500
Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter)	250
Loading and handling charges at the load airport	250
Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India	4,500
Insurance charges	2,000

Though the aircraft arrived on 22.08.20XX, the bill of entry for home consumption was presented by Mr. X on 20.08.20XX.

The other details furnished by Mr. X are:

	20.08.20XX	22.08.20XX
Rate of basic customs duty	20%	10%
Exchange rate notified by CBEC	₹ 60 per US\$	₹ 63 per US\$
Exchange rate prescribed by RBI	₹ 61 per US\$	₹ 62 per US\$
Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975	18%	12%

Compute-

- (i) value of product 'Z' for the purpose of levying customs duty
- (ii) customs duty and tax payable

17. An importer from Cochin imports goods from an exporter in US. The vessel carrying the goods reaches Mumbai port first and from there goods are transhipped to Cochin port.

Determine the assessable value of the imported goods under the Customs Act, 1962 from the following particulars:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount
(i)	Cost of the machine at the factory of the exporter	US \$ 20,000
(ii)	Transport charges from the factory of exporter to the port for shipment	US \$ 1,000
(iii)	Handling charges paid for loading the machine in the ship	US \$ 100
(iv)	Buying commission paid by the importer	US \$ 100
(v)	Freight charges from exporting country to India	US \$ 2,000
(vi)	Actual insurance charges paid are not ascertainable	---
(vii)	Charges for design and engineering work undertaken for the machine in US	US \$ 5,000
(viii)	Unloading and handling charges paid at the place of importation	₹ 1,500
(ix)	Transport charges from Mumbai to Cochin port	₹ 25,000
(x)	Exchange rate to be considered: 1\$ = ₹ 60	

18. Determine the assessable value of imported goods in the following cases:

Case I

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	Not known
Insurance charges	10

Case II

Particulars	US \$
FOB value plus insurance charges	1,010
Freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	Not known

Case III

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Sea freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	60
Insurance charges	Not known

Case IV

Particulars	US \$
FOB value plus sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	1,060
Insurance charges	Not known

Case V

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Air freight, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	250
Insurance charges	10

19. With reference to the recent amendments made in the Customs Act, 1962, examine the validity of the following statements:

- (a) A beneficial owner of imported goods is a person on whose behalf the goods are being imported.
- (b) Customs area does not include a warehouse.
- (c) Customs station includes international courier terminal.
20. With reference to the recent facility, 'Clear first-Pay later' extended to importers under the customs law, answer the following questions:
- (i) What is the objective of the facility?
- (ii) Who is eligible to avail this scheme?
- (iii) What are the due dates for payment of duty under this facility?
- (iv) What are the circumstances when the deferred payment facility will not be available?

SUGGESTED ANSWERS

1. **Computation of GST Liability of Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore for the month of November 20XX**

S.No.	Particulars	₹
A.	Items sent in container truck to own location in Tamil Nadu - IGST @ 12% [Note 1]	36,000
	Container truck sent to own location in Tamil Nadu [Note 2]	-
B.	Stand-alone machine sent in container truck to client location in Tamil Nadu, for carrying out repairs [Note 3]	-
	Container truck sent to client location in Tamil Nadu [Note 3]	-
	Items sent in container truck to client location in Tamil Nadu, for carrying out repairs [Note 4]	-
C.	Container truck sent to client location in Karnataka [Note 3]	-
	Items sent in container truck to client location in Karnataka, for carrying out repairs [Note 4]	-
D.	Invoices raised for repair work carried out in Tamil Nadu: IGST @ 18% [Note 5 and Note 6]	12,60,000
E.	Invoices raised for repair work carried out in Karnataka: CGST 9% + SGST 9% [Note 5 and Note 7]	2,16,000
Total GST liability		15,12,000

Notes:

- (1) Movement of goods without any consideration to a 'distinct person' as specified in section 25(4) of the CGST Act, 2017 is deemed to be a supply in terms of Schedule I of the said Act. The purchase value is taken as taxable value, being the open market value in terms of rule 28(a) of the CGST Rules 2017. (However, if the regional office is eligible to take full input tax credit, any value may be declared in the tax invoice and that will be taken to be the open market value in terms of the second proviso to the same rule.)

In the given case-

- the location of the supplier is in Bangalore (Karnataka); and
- the place of supply of items contained in the truck is the location of such goods at the time at which the movement of goods terminates for delivery to the recipient i.e., Tamil Nadu in terms of section 10(1)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017.

Therefore, the given supply of items is an inter-State supply as the location of the supplier and the place of supply are in two different States [Section 7(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017]. Thus, the supply is leviable to IGST in terms of section 5(1) of the IGST Act, 2017.

Since the activity is a supply, a tax invoice is to be issued by Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in terms of section 31(1)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017 for sending the items to its own location in Tamil Nadu.

- (2) As per section 25(4) of the CGST Act, 2017, a person who has obtained more than one registration, whether in one State or Union territory or more than one State or Union territory shall, in respect of each such registration, be treated as 'distinct persons'.

Schedule I to the CGST Act, 2017 specifies situations where activities are to be treated as supply even if made without consideration. Supply of goods and/or services between 'distinct persons' as specified in section 25 of the CGST Act, 2017, when made in the course or furtherance of business is one such activity included in Schedule I under para 2.

However, in view of the GST Council's recommendation, it has been clarified that the inter-State movement of various modes of conveyance between 'distinct persons' as specified in section 25(4), not involving further supply of such conveyance, including trucks carrying goods or passengers or both; or for repairs and maintenance, may be treated 'neither as a supply of goods nor supply of service' and therefore, will not be leviable to IGST. Applicable CGST/SGST/IGST, however, shall be leviable on repairs and maintenance done for such conveyance [Circular No. 1/1/2017 IGST dated 07.07.2017].

Since the activity is not a supply, tax invoice is not required to be issued by Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd. However, a delivery challan is to be issued by the company in terms of rule 55(1)(c) of CGST Rules, 2017 for sending the truck to its own location in Tamil Nadu.

- (3) Supply of goods without consideration is deemed to be a supply *inter alia* when the goods are supplied to a 'distinct person'. However, in this case, stand-alone machine and container truck are moved to client location and not between 'distinct persons'. Hence, the same will fall outside the scope of definition of supply and will not be leviable to GST.

Here again, a delivery challan is to be issued in terms of rule 55(1)(c) of CGST Rules, 2017 for sending the stand-alone machines and container truck to client location.

- (4) As per section 2(119) of the CGST Act, 2017, 'works contract' means a contract for, *inter alia*, repair, maintenance of any immovable property wherein transfer of property in goods (whether as goods or in some other form) is involved in the execution of such contract.

In this case, the supplier provides maintenance and repair services for power plants that are in the nature of immovable property and uses consumables and parts, wherever necessary, for the repairs. Hence, the contract is that of a works contract.

Further, as per section 2(30) of the CGST Act, 2017, a works contract is a 'composite supply' as it consists of taxable supplies of both goods and services which are naturally bundled and supplied in conjunction with each other. The composite supply of works contract is treated as supply of service in terms of para 6(a) of Schedule II to the CGST Act, 2017.

The items used in relation to the repair and maintenance work could be consumables or could be identifiable items/parts. In either case, the transfer of property in goods is incidental to a composite supply of works contract service. Thus, the value of the items actually used in the repairs will be included in the invoice raised for the service and will be charged to tax at that point of time.

Here again, a delivery challan is to be issued in terms of rule 55(1)(c) of CGST Rules, 2017 for sending the items for carrying out the repairs.

- (5) The activity is a composite supply of works contract, which is treated as supply of service. As per section 8(a) of the CGST Act, 2017, a composite supply is treated as a supply of the principal supply involved therein and charged to tax accordingly.

Since the activity is a supply of service, a tax invoice is to be issued by Power Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in terms of section 31(2) of the CGST Act, 2017.

- (6) In the given case-
- the location of the supplier is in Bangalore (Karnataka); and

- the place of supply of works contract services relating to the power plant (immovable property) is the location at which the immovable property is located i.e., Tamil Nadu in terms of section 12(3)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017.

Therefore, the given supply is an inter-State supply as the location of the supplier and the place of supply are in two different States [Section 7(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017]. Thus, the supply will be leviable to IGST in terms of section 5(1) of the IGST Act, 2017.

- (7) In the given case, the location of the supplier and the place of supply of works contract services are within the same State. Therefore, the given supply is an intra-State supply in terms of section 8(1) of IGST Act, 2017 and thus, chargeable to CGST and SGST.

2. Computation of GST liability of ABC Ltd.

Particulars	(₹)
Price of machine [Note 1]	40,00,000
Handling and loading charges [Note 2]	10,000
Installation and commissioning charges [Note 3]	1,00,000
Transportation cost [Note 4]	Nil
Additional warranty cost [Note 5]	3,00,000
Grant from DEF Ltd. [Note 6]	<u>2,00,000</u>
Total price of the machine	46,10,000
Less: 2% cash discount on price of machinery = Rs.40,00,000 × 2% [Note 7]	<u>80,000</u>
Taxable value of supply	45,30,000
Tax liability for the month of August 20XX [Note 11]	
IGST @ 12% [Note 8 and Note 9]	5,43,600
Tax liability for the month of October 20XX [Note 11]	
Interest collected @ 3% on ₹ 44,10,000 [Note 10]	1,32,300
Cash discount recovered [Note 10]	<u>80,000</u>
Cum-tax value of interest and cash discount	2,12,300
IGST = (₹ 2,12,300/112) × 12%	22,746
Total IGST payable on the machinery	5,66,346

Notes:

- (1) As per section 15(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, the value of a supply is the transaction value i.e., the price actually paid or payable for the said supply when the supplier

and the recipient of the supply are not related and the price is the sole consideration for the supply. It is assumed that ABC Ltd. and D Pvt. Ltd are not related and the price is the sole consideration for the supply.

- (2) All incidental expenses charged by the supplier to the recipient of a supply are includible in the value of supply in terms of section 15(2)(c) of CGST Act, 2017.
- (3) Any amount charged for anything done by the supplier in respect of the supply of goods at the time of, or before delivery of goods is includible in the value of supply in terms of section 15(2)(c) of CGST Act, 2017.
- (4) Transportation cost has not been included in the value of supply of the machinery as it is a separate service contract between the customer and the third-party service provider. The customer pays the freight directly to the service provider.

The supplier (ABC Ltd), in this case, merely arranges for the transport and does not provide the transport service on its own account. Tax will be separately levied on the supply of service of transportation of goods under reverse charge.

- (5) Warranty cost is includible in the value of the supply since transaction value includes all elements of the price excluding those that can be specifically excluded as per section 15 of the CGST Act.
- (6) Subsidies directly linked to the price excluding subsidies provided by the Central Government and State Governments are includible in the value of supply in terms of section 15(2)(e) of the CGST Act, 2017.
- (7) Cash discount was deducted by ABC Ltd. upfront at the time of supply on August 1, 20XX and hence, the same is excluded from the value of supply as it did not form part of the transaction value.
- (8) In the given case-
 - the location of the supplier is in Noida (UP); and
 - the place of supply of machinery is the place of installation of the machinery i.e., Gurugram (Haryana) in terms of section 10(1)(d) of the IGST Act, 2017.

Therefore, the given supply is an inter-State supply as the location of the supplier and the place of supply are in two different States [Section 7(1)(a) of IGST Act, 2017]. Thus, the supply will be leviable to IGST in terms of section 5(1) of the IGST Act, 2017.

- (9) The given supply is a composite supply involving supply of goods (machinery) **and** services (handling and loading and installation and commissioning) where the principal supply is the supply of goods.

As per section 8(a) of the CGST Act, 2017, a composite supply is treated as a supply of the principal supply involved therein and charged to tax accordingly. Thus, tax rate applicable to the goods (machinery) has been considered.

- (10) Interest for the delayed payment of any consideration for any supply is includible in the value of supply in terms of section 15(2)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017. Further, cash discount recovered will also be includible in the value of supply as now the transaction value i.e., the price actually paid for the machinery is devoid of any discount.

The cash discount not allowed and interest have been considered as cum tax value on the logical assumption that tax component could not be recovered from the client. Thus, tax payable thereon has to be computed by making back calculations in terms of rule 35 of CGST Rules, 2017.

- (11) It has been assumed that the invoice for the supply has been issued on August 1, 20XX, the date on which the supply is made. Thus, the time of supply of goods is August 1, 20XX in terms of section 12(1)(a) of the CGST Act, 2017.

As per section 12(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, the time of supply in case of addition in value by way of interest, late fee, penalty etc. for delayed payment of consideration for goods is the date on which the supplier receives such addition in value. Thus, the time of supply of interest received and cash discount recovered on account of delayed payment of consideration is 31st October, 20XX, the date when the full payment was made. The supplier may issue a debit note for such interest and cash discount recovered.

3. Computation of net GST payable by M/s XYZ

Particulars	GST payable (₹)
Gross GST liability [Refer Working Note 1 below]	2,63,400
Less: Input tax credit [Refer Working Note 2 below]	2,00,000
Net GST liability	63,400

Working Notes

(1) Computation of gross GST liability

Particulars	Value received (₹)	Rate of GST	GST payable (₹)
Hiring charges for excavators	18,00,000	12%	2,16,000
Service charges for supply of manpower for operation of excavators [Refer Note 1]	20,000	12%	2,400
Service charges for soil testing and	2,50,000	18%	45,000

seismic evaluation [Refer Note 2]			
Gross GST liability			2,63,400

Notes:

- (i) Since the excavators are invariably hired out along with operators and excavator operators are supplied only when the excavator is hired out, it is a case of composite supply under section 2(30) of the CGST Act, 2017 wherein the principal supply is the hiring out of the excavator.

As per section 8(a) of the CGST Act, 2017, the composite supply is treated as the supply of the principal supply. Therefore, the supply of manpower for operation of the excavators will also be taxed at the rate applicable for hiring out of the excavator (principal supply), which is 12%.

- (ii) Soil testing and seismic evaluation services being independent of the hiring out of excavator will be taxed at the rate applicable to them, which is 18%.

(2) **Computation of input tax credit available for set off**

Particulars	GST paid (₹)	ITC available (₹)
Annual maintenance services for excavators [Refer Note 1]	1,00,000	1,00,000
Health insurance for excavator operators [Refer Note 2]	11,000	-
Scientific and technical consultancy [Refer Note 1]	1,00,000	1,00,000
Total input tax credit available		2,00,000

Notes:

- (i) Section 17(5)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017 blocks credit on goods and/or services received by a taxable person for construction of an immovable property on his own account. Here, though the excavators are used for building projects, the same are not used by M/s XYZ on its own account for construction of immovable property; instead they are used for outward taxable supply of hiring out of machinery.

Therefore, the annual maintenance service for the excavators does not get covered by the bar under section 17 of the CGST Act, 2017 and the credit thereon will be available. The same applies for scientific & technical

consultancy for construction projects because in this case also, the service is used for providing the outward taxable supply of soil testing and seismic evaluation service and not for construction of immovable property.

- (ii) Section 17(5)(b)(iii) of the CGST Act, 2017 allows input tax credit on health insurance only when:
- (a) the Government notifies the services as obligatory for an employer to provide to its employees under any law for the time being in force; or
 - (b) the said service is used for making an outward taxable supply of the same category of service or as part of a taxable composite or mixed supply.

Since, in the given case, the health insurance service does not fall under any of the above two categories, the credit thereon will not be allowed.

4. As per section 10(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, a registered person, whose aggregate turnover in the preceding financial year did not exceed ₹ 1 crore, may opt to pay, in lieu of the tax payable by him, an amount calculated at such rate as may be prescribed, but not exceeding,—

- (a) 1% of the turnover in State/ Union territory in case of a manufacturer,
- (b) 2.5% of the turnover in State/ Union territory in case of persons engaged in making supplies referred to in clause (b) of paragraph 6 of Schedule II (restaurant services), and
- (c) 0.5% of the turnover in State/ Union territory in case of other suppliers.

Further, sub-section (2) of section 10 lays down that the registered person shall be eligible to opt for composition levy if:—

- (a) he is not engaged in the supply of services other than restaurant services;
- (b) he is not engaged in making any supply of goods which are not leviable to tax under CGST Act, 2017
- (c) he is not engaged in making any inter-State outward supplies of goods;
- (d) he is not engaged in making any supply of goods through an electronic commerce operator who is required to collect tax at source under section 52; and
- (e) he is not a manufacturer of such goods as may be notified by the Government on the recommendations of the Council.

Basis above provisions, a firm of Chartered Accountants, being a supplier of professional services (other than restaurant services) is not eligible to apply for composition scheme. Therefore, it has to discharge its tax liability under regular provisions at the applicable rates.

- (a) The answer will not change even if the turnover of the firm had been ₹ 90 lakh since the ineligibility of the firm to opt for composition scheme is not linked with the turnover of the firm, but with the nature of the services supplied by the firm.

Therefore, since even with turnover of ₹ 90 lakh the ineligibility in respect of nature of services supplied by firm exists i.e., the firm provides professional services and not restaurant services; it will not be eligible for composition scheme.

- (b) The answer will not change even if the firm is providing support services to restaurants as only the supplier providing restaurant services *per se* are eligible for composition scheme.

5. (i) The transaction undertaken by Mr. Z is neither import nor export of goods in terms of Customs Act, 1962. However, it is an inter-State supply in terms of provisions of section 7(5)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017 which provides that when the supplier is located in India and the place of supply is outside India, supply of goods or services or both, shall be treated to be a supply of goods or services or both in the course of inter-State trade or commerce.

- (ii) As per section 13(2) of the IGST Act, 2017, in case where the location of the supplier of services or the location of the recipient of services is outside India, the place of supply of services except the services specified in sub-sections (3) to (13) shall be the location of the recipient of services. Sub-sections (3) to (13) provide the mechanism to determine the place of supply in certain specific situations.

The given case does not fall under any of such specific situations and thus, the place of supply in this case will be determined under sub-section (2) of section 13. Thus, the place of supply of services in this case is the location of recipient of services i.e., USA.

As per section 2(6) of the IGST Act, 2017, export of services means the supply of any service when,—

- (a) the supplier of service is located in India;
- (b) the recipient of service is located outside India;
- (c) the place of supply of service is outside India;
- (d) the payment for such service has been received by the supplier of service in convertible foreign exchange; and
- (e) the supplier of service and the recipient of service are not merely establishments of a distinct person in accordance with Explanation 1 in section 8.

Since all the above five conditions are fulfilled in the given case, the same will be considered as an export of service.

6. As per section 16(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, every registered person can take credit of input tax charged on any supply of goods or services or both to him which are used or

intended to be used in the course or furtherance of his business. However, section 17(5) of CGST Act, 2017 specifies certain goods and services on which the input tax credit is not available.

In the light of the foregoing provisions, the availability of input tax credit (ITC) in respect of the various expenses incurred by Krishna Motors is discussed below:

- (i) Section 17(5)(a) specifically blocks ITC on motor vehicles and other conveyances. However, the same is allowed when the motor vehicles and other conveyances are used, *inter alia*, for further supply of such vehicles or conveyances. Thus, ITC on cars purchased from the manufacturer for making further supply of such cars will be allowed.

However, ITC on the cars destroyed in accident will not be allowed as the ITC on goods destroyed for whichever reason is specifically blocked under section 17(5)(h) of CGST Act.

- (ii) Section 17(5)(c) specifically blocks ITC on works contract services when supplied for construction of an immovable property (other than plant and machinery) except where it is an input service for further supply of works contract service. Since, in this case the car shed is not a plant and machinery and the works contract service is not used for further supply of works contract service, ITC thereon will not be allowed.

- 7. Section 2(13) of the IGST Act, 2017 defines “intermediary” to mean a broker, an agent or any other person, by whatever name called, who arranges or facilitates the supply of goods or services or both, or securities, between two or more persons, but does not include a person who supplies such goods or services or both or securities on his own account.

In this case, since ABC Pvt. Ltd. is arranging or facilitating supply of goods between the foreign customer and the Indian vendor, the said services can be classified as intermediary services.

If the location of the supplier of services or the location of the recipient of service is outside India, the place of supply is determined in terms of section 13 of the IGST Act, 2017. Since, in the given case, the recipient of supply is located outside India, the provisions of supply of intermediary services will be determined in terms of section 13 of the IGST Act, 2017.

As per section 13(8)(b), the place of supply in case of intermediary services is the location of the supplier i.e., the location of ABC Pvt. Ltd. which is New Delhi. Further, as per section 8(2) of the IGST Act, 2017, supply of services where the location of the supplier and the place of supply of services are in the same State is treated as intra-State supply.

Therefore, since in the given case, both the location of ABC Pvt. Ltd. and the place of supply of the service provided by it are in New Delhi, the supply of service will be an intra-State supply leviable to CGST & SGST.

Assuming that the given rate of exchange is prevailing on the date of time of supply of services, the CGST and SGST liability will be worked out as under:

$$\text{CGST} = ₹ 5,85,000 (1,00,000 \times 65 \times 9\%)$$

$$\text{SGST} = ₹ 5,85,000 (1,00,000 \times 65 \times 9\%)$$

8. (a) Section 22(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 *inter alia* provides that every supplier, whose aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds ₹ 20,00,000, is liable to be registered under GST in the State/ Union territory from where he makes the taxable supply of goods and/or services.

However, a person exclusively engaged in the business of supplying goods and/or services that are not liable to tax or are wholly exempt from tax is not liable to registration in terms of section 23(1)(a) of CGST Act, 2017.

In the given case, the turnover of the company for the half year ended on 30.09.20XX is ₹ Rs 40 lakh which is more than the threshold limit of ₹ 20 lakh. Therefore, as per section 22 of CGST Act 2017, the company will be liable to registration. However, since SNP Pvt. Ltd. supplied exempted goods till 31.10.20XX, it was not required to be registered till that day; though voluntary registration was allowed under section 25(3) of the CGST Act, 2017.

However, the position will change from 01.11.20XX as the supply of goods become taxable from that day and the turnover of company is above ₹ 20 lakh. It is important to note here that in terms of section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, the aggregate turnover limit of ₹ 20 lakh includes exempt turnover also.

Therefore, turnover of 'Z' will be considered for determining the limit of ₹ 20 lakh even though the same was exempt from GST. Therefore, the company needs to register within 30 days from 01.11.20XX (the date on which it becomes liable to registration) in terms of section 25(1) of the CGST Act, 2017.

Further, the company cannot avail exemption of ₹ 20 lakh from 01.11.20XX as the GST law does not provide any threshold exemption from payment of tax but threshold exemption from obtaining registration (which in this case had been crossed).

- (b) Rule 43(1)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017 disallows input tax credit on capital goods used or intended to be used exclusively for effecting exempt supplies.

However, as per section 18(1)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017, where an exempt supply of goods and/or services by a registered person becomes a taxable supply, such person gets entitled to take credit of input tax in respect of inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock relating to such

exempt supply and on capital goods exclusively used for such exempt supply on the day immediately preceding the date from which such supply becomes taxable.

Rule 40(1)(a) of the CGST Rules, 2017 lays down that the credit on capital goods can be claimed after reducing the tax paid on such capital goods by 5% per quarter of a year or part thereof from the date of the invoice.

Therefore, in the given case, SNP Pvt. Ltd. could not claim credit on machinery till the time the supply of product 'Z' for which said machinery was being used was exempt. However, it can claim credit from 31.10.20XX - the day immediately preceding the date from which the supply of product 'Z' became taxable (01.11.20XX).

The credit will be available for the remaining useful life of the machinery and will be computed as follows:

Date of purchase of machinery	01.07.20XX
Date on which credit becomes eligible	31.10.20XX
Number of quarters for which credit is to be reduced	2 (including part of quarter)
GST paid on machinery [₹ 20,00,000 x 18%]	₹ 3,60,000
Credit to be reduced [₹ 3,60,000 x 5% x 2]	₹ 36,000
Amount of credit that can be taken [₹ 3,60,000 – ₹ 36,000]	₹ 3,18,000

9. As per section 22 of the CGST Act, 2017, a supplier is liable to be registered in the State/Union territory from where he makes a taxable supply of goods or services or both, if his aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds ₹ 20 lakh.

However, if such taxable supplies are made from any of the specified special category States, namely, States of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Tripura, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand, he shall be liable to be registered if his aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds ₹ 10 lakh.

In the given question, since Rishabh Enterprises is engaged in making taxable supplies from Maharashtra which is not a specified Special Category State, the threshold limit for obtaining registration is ₹ 20 lakh.

The threshold limit is not reduced to ₹ 10 lakh in this case, as sale of alcoholic liquor for human consumption from Uttarakhand (one of the specified Special Category States) are non-taxable supplies in terms of section 9(1) of CGST Act, 2017.

As per section 2(6) of the CGST Act, 2017, aggregate turnover includes the aggregate value of:

- (i) all taxable supplies,

- (ii) all exempt supplies,
- (iii) exports of goods and/or services and
- (iv) all inter-State supplies of persons having the same PAN.

The above is computed on all India basis. Further, the aggregate turnover excludes central tax, State tax, Union territory tax, integrated tax and cess. Moreover, the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable under reverse charge is not taken into account for calculation of 'aggregate turnover'.

In the light of the afore-mentioned provisions, the aggregate turnover of Rishabh Enterprises is computed as under:

Computation of aggregate turnover of Rishabh Enterprises

Particulars	Turnover of February (₹)	Cumulative turnover of February & March (₹)
Serving of cooked food and cold drinks/non-alcoholic beverages in restaurant in Maharashtra	5,50,000	12,00,000 [₹ 5,50,000 + ₹ 6,50,000]
Add: Sale of alcoholic liquor for human consumption in Uttarakhand [Note-1]		5,00,000
Add: Interest received from banks on the Fixed Deposits [Note-2]	1,00,000	2,00,000 [₹ 1,00,000 + ₹ 1,00,000]
Add: Supply of packed food items from restaurant in Maharashtra	1,50,000	3,50,000 [₹ 1,50,000 + ₹ 2,00,000]
Aggregate Turnover	8,00,000	22,50,000

Notes:

- As per section 2(47) of the CGST Act, 2017, exempt supply includes non-taxable supply. Thus, supply of alcoholic liquor for human consumption in Uttarakhand, being a non-taxable supply, is an exempt supply and is, therefore, includible while computing the aggregate turnover.
- Services by way of extending deposits, loans or advances in so far as the consideration is represented by way of interest or discount (other than interest involved in credit card services) is exempt vide *Notification No. 12/2017 CT (R) dated 28.06.2017*. Thus, interest received from banks on the fixed deposits is an exempt supply and is, therefore, includible while computing the aggregate turnover.

Rishabh Enterprises was not liable to be registered in the month of February since its aggregate turnover did not exceed ₹ 20 lakh in that month. However, since its aggregate turnover exceeds ₹ 20 lakh in the month of March, it should apply for registration within 30 days from the date on which it becomes liable to registration.

10. (i) Where the aggregate turnover of a supplier making supplies from a State/UT exceeds ₹20 lakh in a financial year, he is liable to be registered in the said State/UT. The said supplier must apply for registration within 30 days from the date on which he becomes liable to registration. However, in the given case, although Shagun became liable to registration on 25.01.20XX, she didn't apply for registration within 30 days of becoming liable to registration.

Section 122(1)(xi) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a taxable person who is liable to be registered under the CGST Act, 2017 but fails to obtain registration shall be liable to pay a penalty of:

(a) ₹ 10,000

or

(b) an amount equivalent to the tax evaded [₹ 1,26,000 in the given case], whichever is higher.

Thus, the amount of penalty that can be imposed on Shagun is ₹ 1,26,000.

- (ii) Section 122(3)(d) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that any person who fails to appear before the officer of central tax, when issued with a summon for appearance to give evidence or produce a document in an inquiry is liable to a penalty which may extend to ₹ 25,000. Therefore, penalty upto ₹ 25,000 can be imposed on Sagar, in the given case.

11. The written submissions in reply to SCN issued to Rajul are as follows:

- i. The show cause notice (SCN) issued for normal period of limitation under section 73(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 is not sustainable.
- ii. The SCN under section 73(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 can be issued at least 3 months prior to the time limit specified for issuance of order under section 73(10) of the CGST Act, 2017. The adjudication order under section 73(10) of the CGST Act, 2017 has to be issued within 3 years from the due date for furnishing of annual return for the financial year to which the short-paid tax relates to.

The due date for furnishing annual return for a financial year is on or before the 31st day of December following the end of such financial year [Section 44 of the CGST Act, 2017]. Thus, SCN under section 73(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 can be issued within 2 years and 9 months from the due date for furnishing of annual return for the financial year to which the short-paid tax relates to.

- iii. The SCN has been issued for the period between 01.07.2017 to 31.12.2017 which falls in the financial year (FY) 2017-18. Due date for furnishing annual return for the FY 2017-18 is 31.12.2018 and 3 years' period from due date of filing annual return lapses on 31.12.2021. Thus, SCN under section 73(1) ought to have been issued latest by 30.09.2021.
 - iv. Since the notice has been issued after 30.09.2021, the entire proceeding is barred by limitation and deemed to be concluded under section 75(10) of the CGST Act, 2017.
- 12.** As per section 117(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, an appeal against orders passed by the State Bench or Area Benches of the Tribunal lies to the High Court if the High Court is satisfied that such an appeal involves a substantial question of law.
- However, appeal against orders passed by the National Bench or Regional Benches of the Tribunal lies to the Supreme Court and not High Court. As per section 109(5) of the Act, only the National Bench or Regional Benches of the Tribunal can decide appeals where one of the issues involved relates to the place of supply.
- Since the issue involved in Mr. A's case relates to place of supply, the appeal in his case would have been decided by the National Bench or Regional Bench of the Tribunal. Thus, Mr. A will have to file an appeal with the Supreme Court and not with the High Court.
- 13.** The duties of the Anti-profiteering Authority are:
- (i) to determine whether the reduction in tax rate or the benefit of input tax credit has been passed on by the seller to the buyer (hereinafter collectively referred to as 'benefit') by reducing the prices
 - (ii) to identify the taxpayer who has not passed on the benefit
 - (iii) to order
 - (a) reduction in prices
 - (b) return to the recipient, an amount equivalent to the amount not passed on by way of commensurate reduction in prices along with interest at the rate of 18% from the date of collection of the higher amount till the date of the return of such amount or recovery of the amount not returned, as the case may be.
If the eligible person does not claim return of the amount or is not identifiable, the amount must be deposited in the Consumer Welfare Fund;
 - (c) imposition of penalty
 - (d) cancellation of registration
 - (iv) to furnish a performance report to the GST Council by the 10th of the month succeeding each quarter [Rule 127 of the CGST Rules, 2017].

14. As per section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017, a registered person may claim refund of unutilised input tax credit at the end of any tax period in the following cases:

- (i) **Zero rated supplies:** Supply of goods/services/both to an SEZ developer/unit or export of goods or services or both. However, refund of unutilized input tax credit shall not be allowed if:
 - the goods exported out of India are subjected to export duty;
 - the supplier of goods or services or both avails of drawback in respect of CGST or claims refund of the IGST paid on such supplies.
- (ii) **Accumulated ITC on account of inverted duty structure:** Where the credit has accumulated on account of rate of tax on inputs being higher than the rate of tax on output supplies (other than nil rated or fully exempt supplies), except supplies of goods or services or both as may be notified by the Government on the recommendations of the Council.

15. (i) Advance ruling under GST can be sought by a registered person or a person desirous of obtaining registration under GST law [Section 95(c) of the CGST Act, 2017]. Therefore, it is not mandatory for a person seeking advance ruling to be registered.

- (ii) Section 97(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates the questions/matters on which advance ruling can be sought. It provides that advance ruling can be sought for, *inter alia*, determining the classification of any goods or services or both. Therefore, Ranjan can seek the advance ruling for determining the classification of the goods proposed to be supplied by him.

Determination of place of supply is not one of the specified questions/matters on which advance ruling can be sought under section 97(2). Further, section 96 of the CGST Act, 2017 provides that AAR constituted under the provisions of an SGST Act/UTGST Act shall be deemed to be the AAR in respect of that State/Union territory under CGST Act also.

Thus, AAR is constituted under the respective State/Union Territory Act and not the central Act. This implies that ruling given by AAR will be applicable only within the jurisdiction of the concerned State/Union territory.

It is also for this reason that the questions on determination of place of supply cannot be raised with the AAR. Hence, Ranjan cannot seek the advance ruling for determining the place of supply of the goods proposed to be supplied by him.

Note: The above answer is based on the view taken by the CBEC in its e-flier issued on the subject of advance ruling. The e-flier is available on the CBEC's website. However, it can be also be argued that the question relating to determination of the liability to pay tax on goods and/or services as provided under section 96(2)(e) of the CGST Act, 2017 encompasses within its ambit the question

relating to place of supply. This is so because place of supply is one of the factor to determine as to whether the supply is leviable to CGST & SGST or IGST.

- (iii) Section 103(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the advance ruling shall be binding unless the law, facts or circumstances supporting the original advance ruling have changed. Therefore, once Ranjan has sought the advance ruling with respect to an eligible matter/question, it will be binding till the time the law, facts and circumstances supporting the original advance ruling remain same.
- (iv) No, the tax advisor's view is not correct. As per section 100 of the CGST Act, 2017, if the applicant is aggrieved with the finding of the AAR, he can file an appeal with Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling (AAAR). Similarly, if the concerned/jurisdictional officer of CGST/SGST does not agree with the findings of AAR, he can also file an appeal with AAAR.

Such appeal must be filed within 30 days from the receipt of the advance ruling. The Appellate Authority may allow additional 30 days for filing the appeal, if it is satisfied that there was a sufficient cause for delay in presenting the appeal.

- (v) Section 103 of the CGST Act provides that an advance ruling pronounced by AAR is binding only on the applicant who had sought it and on the concerned officer or the jurisdictional officer in respect of the applicant. This implies that an advance ruling is not applicable to similarly placed other taxable persons in the State. It is only limited to the person who has applied for an advance ruling.

Thus, Sambhav will not be able to apply the classification of the goods that will be decided in the advance ruling order to be obtained by Ranjan, to the goods supplied by him in Delhi.

16. Computation of assessable value of product 'Z'

Particulars		Amount
Ex-factory price of the goods		8,500 US \$
Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter)	250 US \$	
Loading and handling charges at the load airport	250 US \$	
Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India	4,500 US \$	
Total cost of transport, loading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	5,000 US \$	
Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation (restricted to 20% of FOB value) [Note 1]		1,800 US \$

Insurance (actual)	2,000 US \$
CIF for customs purpose	12,300 US \$
Value for customs purpose	12,300 US \$
Exchange rate as per CBEC [Note 2]	₹ 60 per US \$
	Amount (₹)
Assessable value (₹ 60 x 12,300 US \$)	7,38,000
Add: Basic customs duty @ 10% [Note 3]	73,800
Add: Education cess @ 2% & Secondary & Higher Education Cess @ 1% of custom duty [3% of ₹ 73,800]	2,214
Value for the purpose of levying integrated tax [Note 4]	8,14,014
Add: Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) @ 12%	97,681.68
Total duty & tax payable (rounded off)	1,73,696

Notes:

- (1) In the case of goods imported by air, the cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation shall not exceed 20% of the FOB value of the goods. [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 (CVR)].
FOB value in this case is the ex-factory price of the goods (8,500 US \$) plus the cost of transport from factory to load airport (250 US \$) plus loading and handling charges at the load airport (250 US \$) which is 9,000 US \$.
- (2) Rate of exchange determined by CBEC is to be considered [Clause (a) of the explanation to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (3) Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that rate of duty shall be the rate in force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or the rate in force on the date of arrival of aircraft, whichever is later.
- (4) Integrated tax is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods and customs duties [Section 3(8) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1962]. Education Cess and Secondary Higher Education Cess leviable on integrated tax have been exempted vide *Notification Nos. 54 & 55 Cus both dated 30.06.2017*.
- (5) No landing charges are to be added to the CIF value in view of the amendment in rule 10(2) of the CVR vide *Notification No. 91/2017 – Cus. (NT) dated 26.09.2017*.

17. **Computation of assessable value of imported goods**

Particulars	Amount (US \$)
Price of the machine at the factory of the exporter	20,000
Add: Transport charges up to the port in the country of the exporter [Note 1]	1,000
Handling charges at the port in the country of the exporter [Note 1]	100
Charges for design and engineering work undertaken for the machine in US [Note 2]	5,000
Buying commission [Note 3]	Nil
FOB value	26,100.00
Add: Freight charges up to India	2,000.00
Insurance charges @ 1.125% of FOB [Note 4]	293.63
Transport charges from Mumbai to Cochin port [Note 5]	Nil
CIF value	28,393.63
Add: Unloading and handling charges paid at the place of importation [Note 6]	Nil
Assessable value	28,393.63
Assessable value in Indian rupees @ ₹ 60/ per \$	₹ 17,03,617.80
Assessable value (rounded off)	₹ 17,03,618

Notes:

- (1) The cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation are includible in the assessable value [Rule 10(2)(a) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 (CVR)].
- (2) Design and engineering work undertaken elsewhere than in India and necessary for the production of the imported goods is includible in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(b)(iv) of the CVR].
- (3) Buying commission is not included in the assessable value [Rule 10(1)(a)(i) of the CVR].
- (4) If insurance cost is not ascertainable, the same shall be added @ 1.125% of FOB value of the goods [Third proviso to rule 10(2) of the CVR].

- (5) Cost of insurance, transport, loading, unloading, handling charges associated with transshipment of imported goods to another customs station in India is not included in the assessable value [Sixth proviso to rule 10(2) of the CVR].
- (6) By virtue of the amendment carried out in rule 10(2) of the CVR vide *Notification No. 91/2017Cus. (NT) dated 26.09.2017*, only charges incurred for delivery of goods “to” the place of importation are includible in the transaction value.

The loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods at the place of importation are not to be added to the CIF value of the goods. [*Circular No. 39 / 2017 Cus. dated 26.09.2017*].

18. Rule 10(2) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 (CVR) has been substituted by a new sub-rule. The new sub-rule provides that for the purposes of sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 and these rules, the value of the imported goods shall be the value of such goods, and shall include -

- (a) the cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation;
- (b) the cost of insurance to the place of importation:

Provided that where the cost referred to in clause (a) is not ascertainable, such cost shall be 20% of the free on board value of the goods.

Provided further that where the free on board value of the goods is not ascertainable but the sum of free on board value of the goods and the cost referred to in clause (b) is ascertainable, the cost referred to in clause (a) shall be 20% of such sum:

Provided also that where the cost referred to in clause (b) is not ascertainable, such cost shall be 1.125% of free on board value of the goods.

Provided also that where the free on board value of the goods is not ascertainable but the sum of free on board value of the goods and the cost referred to in clause (a) is ascertainable, the cost referred to in clause (b) shall be 1.125% of such sum.

Provided also that in the case of goods imported by air, where the cost referred to in clause (a) is ascertainable, such cost shall not exceed 20% of free on board value of the goods.

Provided also that in the case of goods imported by sea or air and transshipped to another customs station in India, the cost of insurance, transport, loading, unloading, handling charges associated with such transshipment shall be excluded.

Explanation-

The cost of transport of the imported goods referred to in clause (a) includes the ship demurrage charges on chartered vessels, lighterage or barge charges.

In the backdrop of the above provisions, the assessable value in the various cases will be computed as under:

Computation of assessable value

Case I

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [20% of FOB value in terms of first proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]	200
Cost of insurance [Includible in terms of rule 10(2)(b) of CVR]	10
Assessable value [CIF value]	1,210

Case II

Particulars	US \$
FOB value plus insurance charges	1,010
Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [20% of sum of FOB value of the goods and the cost of insurance in terms of second proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]	202
Assessable value [CIF value]	1,212

Case III

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Add: Cost of sea transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation [Includible in terms of rule 10(2)(a) of CVR]	60
Insurance [1.125% of sum of FOB value of the goods in terms of third proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]	11.25
Assessable value [CIF value]	1,071.25
Assessable value rounded off	1,071

Case IV

Particulars	US \$
FOB value plus sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	1,060
Add: Insurance [1.125% of sum of FOB value of the goods and sea freight and loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation in terms of fourth proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]	11.925
Assessable value CIF value	1071.925
Assessable value rounded off	1,072

Case V

Particulars	US \$
FOB value	1,000
Add: Cost of air transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation is restricted to 20% of FOB value when transportation of goods is through air [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of CVR]	200
Cost of insurance	10
Assessable value [CIF value]	1,210

19. (a) **The statement is valid.** A new section 2(3A) has been inserted in the Customs Act, 1962 vide the Finance Act, 2017 to define beneficial owner to mean any person on whose behalf the goods are being imported or exported or who exercises effective control over the goods being imported or exported.
- (b) **The statement is not valid.** The definition of customs area as provided under section 2(11) of the Customs Act, 1962 has been amended vide the Taxation Laws (Amendment) Act, 2017 to include within its ambit a warehouse too.
- The customs area is now defined to mean the area of a customs station **or a warehouse** and includes any area in which imported goods or export goods are ordinarily kept before clearance by customs authorities.
- (c) **The statement is valid.** The Finance Act, 2017 has included international courier terminal and foreign post office within the scope of customs station as defined under section 2(13) of the Customs Act, 1962.

As per the amended section 2(13), a customs station means any customs port, customs airport, international courier terminal, foreign post office or land customs station.

20. (i) 'Clear first-Pay later' i.e., deferred duty payment is a mechanism for delinking duty payment and customs clearance. The aim is to have a seamless wharf to warehouse transit in order to facilitate just-in-time manufacturing. This scheme is in force w.e.f. 16th November, 2016.
- (ii) Central Government has permitted importers certified under Authorized Economic Operator programme as AEO (Tier-Two) and AEO (Tier-Three) to make deferred payment of import duty (eligible importers).

As a part of the ease of doing business focus of the Government of India, the CBEC has rolled out the AEO (Authorized Economic Operator) programme.

It is a trade facilitation move wherein benefits are extended to the entities who have demonstrated strong internal control systems and willingness to comply with the laws administered by the CBEC.

- (iii) The due dates for payment of deferred duty are -

S. No.	Goods corresponding to bill of entry returned for payment from	Due date of payment of duty, inclusive of the period (excluding holidays) as mentioned in section 47(2)
1.	1 st day to 15 th day of any month	16 th day of that month
2.	16 th day till the last day of any month other than March	1 st day of the following month
3.	16 th day till the 31 st day of March	31 st March

- (iv) If there is default in payment of duty by due date more than once in three consecutive months, the facility of deferred payment will not be allowed unless the duty with interest has been paid in full.

The benefit of deferred payment of duty will not be available in respect of the goods which have not been assessed or not declared by the importer in the bill of entry.

Note: GST law is in its nascent stage and has been subject to frequent changes. Although many clarifications have been issued in the last six months by way of FAQs or otherwise, many issues continue to arise on account of varying interpretations on several of its provisions. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions depending upon the view taken.