

After a close reading of the RBI circular titled “Prudential Treatment of Bad and Doubtful Debt Reserve (BDDR) by Co-operative Banks” issued on August 2, 2024 (RBI/2024-25/58), few pertinent issues arise and that have caused lots of confusion amongst the cooperative banks. Here is a comprehensive analysis of the key provisions, interpretational contradictions, and the anomalies that are creating confusion among stakeholders.

Purpose of the Circular:

The RBI aims to standardize how BDDR (Bad and Doubtful Debt Reserve) is treated, especially:

- Its accounting treatment in the Profit & Loss (P&L) account,
 - Its impact on Net NPAs and regulatory capital,
 - And to align it with Accounting Standard 5 (Net Profit or Loss for the Period, Prior Period Items and Changes in Accounting Policies) and IRACP (Income Recognition, Asset Classification, Provisioning and Other Related Matters) norms.
-

What the Circular Prescribes (in essence):

1. Going Forward (FY 2024–25 Onwards):

- All NPA provisions (as per IRACP norms) must be charged as expense in the P&L—even if they’re recorded under “BDDR” or any other head.
- The eligibility of such provisions towards regulatory capital shall be governed by the existing guidelines on Capital Adequacy.
- After charging applicable provisions as per IRACP Norms, banks may appropriate profits below the line to BDDR if required by State laws or internal policy.

2. One-Time Transition Provision:

Banks who previously (till FY 2023–24) made required NPA provisions directly from appropriation of net profit (below the line) to BDDR (and not as an expense) must:

- Identify the BDDR2024 balance (provisions not routed through P&L).
- By March 31, 2025, pass rectification entries by:
 - Transferring from General Reserves or P&L (below the line) to “Provisions for NPA” (liability).

- Then, these provisions can be deducted from Gross NPAs to arrive at Net NPAs.
- Remaining BDDR (not required for provisioning) may be transferred to General Reserve or P & L Account (below the line).
- After these adjustments, the balance in the BDDR can be reckoned as Tier 1 Capital but cannot be netted from Gross NPA to arrive at Net NPA.

Key Anomalies and Confusions Identified:

1. Contradictory Treatment of BDDR for Net NPA Computation

- Point 3(c)(ii) says: BDDR²⁰²⁴ once transferred to "Provisions for NPA" can be deducted from GNPAs to arrive at NNPA.
- Point 3(c)(iii) says: Balance in BDDR not required as per applicable statute can also be transferred to General Reserve or P&L (below the line).
- But Point 3(c)(iv) says: BDDR balances shall not be reduced from Gross NPAs to calculate Net NPAs.

Conflict: There appears to be contradiction in all the 3 clauses which needs to be sorted out. The word "BDDR" being used at multiple places but in different context is creating confusion. The fallout of the confusion is : which BDDR balance is deductible from GNPAs?

2. Ambiguity Between 'Provision' and 'Reserve'

- The circular switches interchangeably between "reserves" and "provisions" [(Clause c(ii))], despite clear accounting differences:
 - Provisions are expenses (which are done by debiting P&L above the line).
 - Reserves are appropriations from profit (i.e. Distributable Profit).

Result: This creates confusion about what constitutes regulatory provision and what counts as Tier 1 capital, especially when provisions are "moved into" or "out of" BDDR.

3. Impact on Tier 1 Capital Not Consistently Defined

- It states: "After passing the above entries, balances in BDDR can be reckoned as Tier 1 capital."
- But doesn't clarify:

- Whether this applies only to residual balances not used for provisioning.
- Or to the entire BDDR balance post adjustments.
- (It further states : The balance in the BDDR shall not be reduced from Gross NPA to arrive at Net NPA.)

Concern: Banks might overstate Tier 1 Capital by including entire BDDR balances.

4. Ambiguity regarding Compliance

- The circular is dated 2nd August, 2024 and is applicable with immediate effect but also says that rectification to be carried out as at March 31, 2025, but:
 - It does not clarify whether quarterly reporting of BDDR2024 is required during FY 2024–25.
 - Leaves uncertainty for statutory auditors and managements.
-

5. If any Conflict with State Co-op Laws?

- Point 4 states banks must comply with the provisions of respective State Co-op Acts/MSCS Act, 2002.
- But what if State Acts mandate BDDR to be created by appropriation of profits?

Conflict: RBI's directive to recognize all IRACP provisions through P&L may contradict such statutory mandates. No clarity is given on resolution of such legal conflicts.

6. Lack of Reporting Format or Disclosure Norms

- No guidance is provided on:
 - How banks must disclose BDDR adjustments in financial statements,
 - Whether separate schedules or notes are expected,
 - Any audit confirmation requirements.
-

Suggestions for Clarity:

1. RBI may consider issuing FAQs or a follow-up clarification, especially on:
 - BDDR deduction from GNPA's
 - Tier 1 capital inclusion

- State Act overrides
 - Interim period disclosures
2. Provide model journal entries and accounting illustrations.
 3. Standardize disclosure formats in balance sheets and notes.

-CA Gokul Rathi, Pune