

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF FINANCE CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

DIRECTORATE OF INCOME TAX

(HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT)

ICADR Building, Plot No. 6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area Phase-II New Delhi

F. No. HRD/CM/220/14/2013-14/4 275

Dated: 29-09-2014

To

All Principal Chief Commissioner of Income Tax (CCA),
Andhra Pradesh/Gujrat/Mumbai/Pune/Nagpur/Delhi/NWR/
NER/UP(E)/UP(W)/West Bengal/Odisha/Bihar/Rajasthan/MP&CG/
Kerala/Karnatka/Tamilnadu.

Sir/Madam,

Subject:

Implementation of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of NR Parmar (CA No. 7514-7515 and others) - Issue of Advisory - Regarding -

The matter of drawing up of inter se seniority at the Group C cadres has been delegated to the respective Pr. CCIT(CCA)s by the CBDT. Various disputes relating to inter-se seniority between Direct Recruit (DR) and Promotee Recruit (PR) Inspectors of Income Tax (ITI) in certain Pr. CCIT(CCA) Regions had arisen on account of seniority lists prepared by various CCAs. The determination of the year of appointment of a Direct Recruit for purposes of seniority has now been settled by the hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Union of India & others vs NR Parmar & Others" vide its Order dated 27-11-2012.

- 2. Letters have been received in the CBDT from various CCAs pointing out difficulties in the implementation of the decision and seeking directions/clarifications on the mode of implementation to be adopted. Representations have also been received from CCsIT(CCA), ITGOA and ITEF, as well as from individual employees, seeking an early implementation of the judgement.
- 2. Letters were issued by the Member (P&V), CBDT seeking inputs from the all Pr. CCsIT (CCA) in the matter. Based on the inputs received, extensive consultations have been carried out by Pr. DGIT(HRD) on behalf of CBDT, with representatives of Pr. CCsIT(CCAs) and the ITGOA and ITEF. Minutes of the meetings held on 3rd December, 2013 and 11th December, 2013 in the course of consultation process have been circulated to all the participants. No dissent note has been received from the participants against the Minutes of meetings.

4. After consultations, the following Advisory based on the common queries of the Pr. CCsIT(CCA) and other issues that surfaced during the consultation process, are being issued in a FAQ format for uniform implementation of the revision of seniority lists in all Regions in the country, as follows:

| S. no. | Issues | Draft response |
|--------|---|--|
| 5. no. | Vill the seniority of the Direct Recruit reckon from the year of vacancy or the year in which the intimation has been sent to SSC or the year in which the exam was conducted or the year of selection. | The SC judgement is clear that the seniority of the DR would arise from the year in which the requisition has been sent to SSC. However, in the case before the Supreme Court, both the year of requisition and the vacancy year were the same; The Apex Court is silent on the situation that would arise if the years were different. In case requisition has been made in advance (i.e. before of the year in which the vacancy arises), then the vacancy year shall be adopted as the year of seniority. In case requisition has been made after the vacancy arises, then it is the year of such requisition that is material. Such an interpretation would not run contrary to the decision of the Supreme Court, as clearly, wherever the letter of requisition preceded the vacancy year, the vacancy itself did not exist in the year of requisition. Thus the year of vacancy and year of requisition must be read together. Of course, the SC has explicitly held that the year of examination or the year of appointment/joining is of no relevance for this purpose. Similarly, the date of advertisement by SSC would have no relevance. |
| 2 | From which date would the implementation of the Supreme Court | Further, the year of requisition will be the year in which the requisition has been sent to the SSC. The year in which requisition has been made by the CCsIT(CCA) to the CBDT is not relevant. The SC decision relies on the 1986 circulars of DoPT, which are, in turn, |
| | decision be made applicable? | prospective in application. DoPT has also advised that the seniority has to |



| | | be decided under the DoPT OMs dt |
|--|---|--|
| | 3 1 1 | 7.2.1986/3.7.1986 till 27.11.2012. Thus |
| | | review DPCs for all DPCs conducted till |
| | | 27.11.2012 would be held as per DoPT |
| | | OMs dt 7.2.1986/3.7.1986. After |
| | | 27.11.2012, the DoPT OM dt |
| | | 04.03.2014 would be applicable. |
| 3 | Is the NR Parmar decision applicable | DoPT has advised that the NR Parmar |
| يه د دو د به در بعد کا انگذام داد. | only to the post of Inspectors? | judgement is applicable to the post of inspectors. |
| 4 | The dates of requisition letters | Till the vacancy year 1991-92, |
| | informed by the Board to the SSC year- | CCIT(CCA)s were regulationing the |
| | wise are not known to the CCIT(CCA)s | Direct Recruits directly from SSC. The |
| | | dates of requisition letters (vacancy |
| | | year-wise), as intimated by CCIT(CCA) |
| | | to SSC, should be available from their |
| | , | records. In the subsequent years, the |
| i | | notification of vacancies were made to |
| | | SSC by the Board. |
| | | The dates of the requisition letter in |
| | | respect of the various vacancy years, |
| | | along with the year to which seniority |
| | | of Direct Recruit ITIs relates to, are |
| | | given in Annexure 1 . |
| 5 | How to deal with cases of inter-charge | This issue is not related to the revision |
| | transfers and with those who have | of seniority lists on basis of the NR |
| | worked earlier in other | Parmar decision. This is governed by |
| | _ , / | 1 |
| | Departments/PSUs? | separate CBDT instructions on the |
| in to a long of a beginning of the sector of | Departments/PSUs? | matter. |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The |
| 6 | | matter. |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. |
| 6 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. |
| | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? Whether ADRP would fall in the | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was not |
| 7 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? Whether ADRP would fail in the purview of Para 2.4.4 of the OM of | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was not subject matter of the Supreme Court |
| 7 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? Whether ADRP would fall in the purview of Para 2.4.4 of the OM of 1986 i.e., whether under reporting/ no | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was not subject matter of the Supreme Court judgment, this is not required to be |
| 7 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? Whether ADRP would fall in the purview of Para 2.4.4 of the OM of 1986 i.e., whether under reporting/ no reporting of DR vacancy due to ADRP | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was not subject matter of the Supreme Court |
| 7 | CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years. Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC? Whether ADRP would fall in the purview of Para 2.4.4 of the OM of 1986 i.e., whether under reporting/ no | matter. See comments at S. No. 4 above. The dossiers of the Direct Recruits received from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined. Instructions on Review DPCs are available at para 18.1 to 18.4.2 of DoPT OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC. Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was not subject matter of the Supreme Court judgment, this is not required to be |

farmi,

- 5. This advisory is being issued for enabling all Pr. CCsIT(CCA) to carry out the process of refixing of Seniority Lists of Inspectors of Income Tax (ITI) in their Regions in accordance with the Supreme Court judgement, to be followed uniformly by all Pr. CCsIT(CCA) Regions for the purpose of uniformity and consistency. It may be noted that the implementation relates to assignment of correct Recruitment Year to Direct Recruits in the ITI cadre. All other provisions of the extant OMs of DoPT relating to fixing of inter-se seniority between Direct Recruits and Promotees (other than the OM dated 30-03-2008 which has been quashed by the Supreme Court) have to be followed.
- 6. The Pr. CCslT(CCAs) are advised to form a Task Force in their respective Regions for early implementation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court decision as per the Advisory and to complete the exercise of conducting review DPCs latest by 10.10.2014.

7. This issues with prior approval of Chairman, CBDT.

Encl: As above.

Yours falltfully,

(NITIN GARG

Deputy Director of Income Tax (HRD)

Copy to

- 1. The Web Manager, <u>www.irsofficersonline.gov.in</u>, with request to upload the letter and annexure on the website.
- 2. The Web Manager, <u>www.incometaxIndia.gov.in</u>, with request to upload the letter and annexure on the website.

Deputy Director of Income Tax (HRD)

LETTERS OF REQUISITION SENT TO SSC

For ITi level requirment

| S.no. | Vacancy Year | Date of requisition letter | Examination | File no. | Recruitment year of Direct Recruits | Remarks |
|-------|-----------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | 1986-87 | and the same of th | | | Requisition by CCIT(CCA)s from SSC directly. Information is available with SSCs | CCsTT(CCA) to utilize the date of requisition letter sent by them to SSC in order to determine correct RY for DRs |
| 2 | 1987-88 | | | | -đo- | -do- |
| 3 | 1988-89 | | | <u></u> | -do- | -do- |
| 4 | 1989-90 | | | | -do- | -do- |
| 5 | 1990-91 | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | -do- | -do· |
| 6 | 1991-92 | | | | -do- | -do- |
| 7 | 1992-93 | Non-the italian in the italian in th | 1991 | A-12021/2/92- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 1992-93. | Letter to SSC not available. However, the letter of SSC forwarding the dossiers to CCIT, Ahmedabad, mentions the CBDT letter no. A-12021/2/92/Ad.VIIdt. 03.06.1992. |
| 8 | 1993-94 | 29.12.1993 | 1992 | A-12021/1/93- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 1993-94 | - |
| 9 | 1994-95 | 27.07.1994 | 1993 | A-12021/14/94- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 1994-95 | •• |
| 10 | 1995-96 | 16.10.1995 | 1994 | A-12021/7/95- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 1995-96 | |
| 11 | 1996-97 | 7.6.1999 | 1995 | A-12021/1/99- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 1999-2000 | - |



ANNEXURE 1

| S.no. | Vacancy Year | Date of requisition letter | Examination year | File no. | Recruitment year of Direct Recruits | Remarks |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 12 | 1997-98 | 28.11.2001 | 1996 | A-41015/51/2000- Ad VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2001-02 | |
| 13 | 1998-99 & 1999-00 | 10.8. 2001 | 1999 | A-12021/3/2000- Ad VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2001-02 | Selections of vacancy year 1997-98 would get seniority over selections of vacancy year 1998-99/1999-00 |
| 14 | 2000-01 | | | A-12021/11/99- Ad.VII dt 21.12.2011 r.w. DOPT instruction no. 48/1/2001- AP/DOMS/41 dt 4.6.01 | | No Direct Recruitment Undertaken |
| 15 | 2001-02 | 9.5. 2006 | 2005 | A-14013/1/2004- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2006-07 | |
| 16 | 2002-03 to 2005-06 | 20.2. 2007 | 2005 | A-12021/3/2004- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2006-07 | : |
| 17 | 2006-07 & 2007-08 | 1.2.2008 | 2006 | A-12021/27/2007- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2007-08 | - |
| 18 | 2008-09 | 13.7.2009 | 2008 | A-12021/15/2009- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2009-10 | |
| 19 | 2009-10 | 21.01.2010 | 2009 | A-12021/37/2009- Ad.VII | Seniority of DRs is of 2009-10 | Selections of vacancy year 2009-10 would getseniority over selections of vacancy year 2010-11 |
| 20 | 2010-11 | 27.10.2010 | 2010 | A-12021/11/2010- AdVII | Seniority of DRs is of 2010-11 | |
| 21 | 2011-12 | 02.02.2011 | 2011 | HRO/CM/127/2/20 10-11 | Seniority of DRs is of 2011-12 | |



ANNEXURE 1

| S.no. | Vacancy Year | Date of requisition letter | Examination | File no. | Recruitment year of Direct Recruits | Remarks |
|-------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 22 | 2012-13 | 12.10.2012 | 2012 | HRD/CM/127/14/2 011-12 | Seniority of DRs is of 2012-13 | - |
| 23 | 2013-14 | 17.12. 2013 | 2013 | HRD/CM/127/07/2 012-13 | Seniority of DRs is of 2013-14 | Section 1 |

Pari-