

F No 279/Misc. 142/2007-ITJ (Pt)  
Government of India  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Revenue  
Central Board Direct Taxes  
-----

New Delhi the 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014

To


All Chief Commissioners of Income-tax and  
All Directors General of Income-tax

**Subject: Revision of monetary limits for filing of appeals by the  
Department before Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, High  
Courts and Supreme Court - measures for reducing  
litigation - Reg -**  
-----

I am directed to enclose herewith CBDT's Instruction No 5/2014 dated 10.07.2014 on the above mentioned subject.

2. This Instruction is issued u/s 268 A (1) of the Act and should be brought to the notice of all officers, DRs, Standing counsels and other counsels representing Department before ITAT or courts of law within the region for strict compliance with immediate effect.
3. Several instances have been brought to Board's notice wherein Department has had to face criticism/flak/stricture for filing appeal without due application of mind. I am, therefore, directed to draw your attention to Para 3 of the Instruction and re-iterate that the monetary limits so prescribed are merely guiding factor in deciding whether appeal should be filed or not. They should not be considered as the only factor in arriving at such decisions. Filing of appeal has to be decided strictly on merits.

Yours faithfully



(Priyanka Singh)  
(OSD), ITJ, CBDT

**Instruction No 5/2014**

F No 279/Misc. 142/2007-ITJ (Pt)  
Government of India  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Revenue  
Central Board Direct Taxes  
-----

New Delhi the 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014

To

All Chief Commissioners of Income-tax and  
All Directors General of Income-tax

**Subject: Revision of monetary limits for filing of appeals by the Department before Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, High Courts and Supreme Court - measures for reducing litigation - Reg -**

Sir/Madam,

Reference is invited to Board's instruction No 3/2011 dated 09/02/2011 wherein monetary limits and other conditions for filing departmental appeals (in Income-tax matters) before Appellate Tribunal, High Courts and Supreme Court were specified.

2. In supersession of the above instruction, it has been decided by the Board that departmental appeals may be filed on merits before Appellate Tribunal, High Courts and Supreme Court keeping in view the monetary limits and conditions specified below.

3. Henceforth appeals shall not be filed in cases where the tax effect does not exceed the monetary limits given hereunder: -

S No	Appeals in Income-tax matters	Monetary Limit (in Rs)
1.	Before Appellate Tribunal	<b>4,00,000/-</b>
2.	U/s 260 A before High Court	<b>10,00,000/-</b>
3.	Before Supreme Court	<b>25,00,000/-</b>

It is clarified that an appeal should not be filed merely because the tax effect in a case exceeds the monetary limits prescribed above. Filing of appeal in such cases is to be decided **on merits** of the case.

4. For this purpose, "tax effect" means the difference between the tax on the total income assessed and the tax that would have been chargeable had such total income been reduced by the amount of income in respect of the issues against which appeal is intended to be filed (hereinafter referred to as "disputed issues"). However the tax will not include any interest thereon, except where chargeability of interest itself is in dispute. In case the chargeability of interest is the issue under dispute, the amount of interest shall be the tax effect. In cases where returned loss is reduced or

assessed as income, the tax effect would include notional tax on disputed additions. In case of penalty orders, the tax effect will mean quantum of penalty deleted or reduced in the order to be appealed against.

5. The Assessing Officer shall calculate the tax effect separately for every assessment year in respect of the disputed issues in the case of every assessee. If, in the case of an assessee, the disputed issues arise in more than one assessment year, appeal, can be filed in respect of such assessment year or years in which the tax effect in respect of the disputed issues exceeds the monetary limit specified in para 3. No appeal shall be filed in respect of an assessment year or years in which the tax effect is less than the monetary limit specified in para 3. In other words, henceforth, appeals can be filed only with reference to the tax effect in the relevant assessment year. However, in case of a composite order of any High Court or appellate authority, which involves more than one assessment year and common issues in more than one assessment year, appeal shall be filed in respect of all such assessment years even if the 'tax effect' is less than the prescribed monetary limits in any of the year(s), if it is decided to file appeal in respect of the year(s) in which 'tax effect' exceeds the monetary limit prescribed. In case where a composite order / judgement involves more than one assessee, each assessee shall be dealt with separately.

6. In a case where appeal before a Tribunal or a Court is not filed only on account of the tax effect being less than the monetary limit specified above, the Commissioner of Income-tax shall specifically record that "even though the decision is not acceptable, appeal is not being filed only on the consideration that the tax effect is less than the monetary limit specified in this instruction". Further, in such cases, there will be no presumption that the Income-tax Department has acquiesced in the decision on the disputed issues. The Income-tax Department shall not be precluded from filing an appeal against the disputed issues in the case of the same assessee for any other assessment year, or in the case of any other assessee for the same or any other assessment year, if the tax effect exceeds the specified monetary limits.

7. In the past, a number of instances have come to the notice of the Board, whereby an assessee has claimed relief from the Tribunal or the Court only on the ground that the Department has implicitly accepted the decision of the Tribunal or Court in the case of the assessee for any other assessment year or in the case of any other assessee for the same or any other assessment year, by not filing an appeal on the same disputed issues. The Departmental representatives/counsels must make every effort to bring to the notice of the Tribunal or the Court that the appeal in such cases was not filed or not admitted only for the reason of the tax effect being less than the specified monetary limit and, therefore, no inference should be drawn that the decisions rendered therein were acceptable to the Department. Accordingly, they should impress upon the Tribunal or the Court that such cases do not have any precedent value. As the evidence of not filing appeal due to this instruction may have to be produced in courts, the judicial folders in the office of CsIT must be maintained in a systemic manner for easy retrieval.

8. Adverse judgments relating to the following issues should be contested on merits notwithstanding that the tax effect entailed is less than the monetary limits specified in para 3 above or there is no tax effect.

- (a) Where the Constitutional validity of the provisions of an Act or Rule are under challenge, or
- (b) Where Board's order, Notification, Instruction or Circular has been held to be illegal or ultra vires, or
- (c) Where Revenue Audit objection in the case has been accepted by the Department.


9. The proposal for filing Special Leave Petition under Article 136 of the Constitution before the Supreme Court should, in all cases, be sent to the Directorate of Income-tax (Legal & Research), New Delhi and the decision to file Special Leave Petition shall be in consultation with the Ministry of Law and Justice.

10. The monetary limits specified in para 3 above shall not apply to writ matters and direct tax matters other than Income tax. Filing of appeals in other Direct tax matters shall continue to be governed by relevant provisions of statute & rules. Further, filing of appeal in cases of Income Tax, where the tax effect is not quantifiable or not involved, such as the case of registration of trusts or institutions under section 12 A of the IT Act, 1961, shall not be governed by the limits specified in para 3 above and decision to file appeal in such cases may be taken **on merits** of a particular case.

11. This instruction will apply to appeals filed on or after 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014. However, the cases where appeals have been filed before 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 will be governed by the instructions on this subject, operative at the time when such appeal was filed.

12. This issues under Section 268A (1) of the Income-tax Act 1961.

Yours faithfully

  
(Priyanka Singh)  
(OSD), ITJ, CBDT

Copy to:

1. The Chairman, Members and all other officers in CBDT of the rank of Under Secretary and above.
2. DIT (PR,PP & OL), Mayur Bhawan, New Delhi for printing in the quarterly Tax Bulletin and for circulation as per usual mailing list.
3. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India
4. DIT(Vigilance), Mayur Bhawan, New Delhi
5. The Joint Secretary & Legal Advisor, Ministry of Law & Justice, New Delhi
6. All Directors of Income-tax, New Delhi and DGIT(NADT), Nagpur
7. ITCC (3 copies)
8. The DIT(Systems), ARA Centre, Jhandewalan Extension, New Delhi
9. NIC, M/o Finance for uploading on the Department's website.
10. Hindi Cell for translation
11. Guard file.

(OSD), ITJ, CBDT